# **Appendix C**

# **Transcripts of Public Meetings**

- C-1 New York Scoping Meeting July 9, 2013
- C-2 New Jersey Scoping Meeting July 10, 2013

# **Appendix C-1**

New York Scoping Meeting - July 9, 2013

INFORMATIONAL OPEN HOUSE & PUBLIC SCOPING MEETING, US COAST GUARD AND THE MARITIME ADMINISTRATION, PORT AMBROSE PROJECT July 9, 2013 4:30 p.m. 80 West Broadway Long Beach, New York PRESENT: RODNEY BACHMAN, US COAST GUARD KEITH LESNICK, MARITIME ADMIN, MARAD TIM FEEHAN, TETRA TECH, BOSTON, MA LYNN GOLDHAMMER, MODERATOR, BEACON CONSULTANTS .17 

# [TIME NOTED: 4:45]

MS. GOLDHAMMER: Thank you all for coming. I just want to let you know before we get started that in a case of an emergency, the stairs to leave here are right next to the elevator that you came up. Also, if you have any need for the restroom right outside this door and to the left is a women's room. The men's room is at the end of the hallway to the right.

All right. Now, just getting started, my name is Lynn Goldhammer and I'm the Facilitator this evening. I'm with Beacon Consultants from Princeton, New Jersey and here at the panel is Mr. Roddy Bachman from the US Coast Guard, Mr. Keith Lesnick from the Maritime Administration and Mr. Tim Feehan from Tetra Tech. We also have over there to your left, Ms. Charmaine DeRosa. She is a Court Reporter. So, she is going to be taking down everything that's said and put it in and that will be the notes for the evening. Okay,

As for me, the Facilitator, my job is to focus on the process adhere. I'm responsible for keeping things on time and on track.

The panel will discuss the project and then

- 8

we're going to have public discussion. When we have the public discussion we're going to have the elected officials speak first and then we'll have anyone from the State, Federal or Local governments and then the public will be given an opportunity to speak. We have a lot of speakers. We have like six pages of people.

So, what I'm going to do when we get to that part is I'm going to call the person who gets to speak as well as the next person and the next person, the person on deck, will come up and be ready and behind the speaker so that we can move right into the next discussion.

As for the ground rules, I posted them over there in the back, and over here also. I'll go into further detail on that but basically, just so you know, each speaker will be given three minutes to speak. I'll hold up different colored folders so that you'll know how much time you have remaining. When there's one minute remaining I'll hold up the blue folders so you'll know it's time to start wrapping up. When I here's lifteen seconds left I'll hold up a yellow folder so you know it's really time to start getting to the end

point, and then when we get to red, you have no more time remaining and we need to move on to the next person.

I also want to just really reiterate to people that we really need to listen respectfully to everybody and what they have to say, and this is especially critical because we do have a Court Reporter to take notes. So, we need to be able to hear everyone. So, no applauding, booing or whatever else,

We need to have the statements and just move on so that everyone can be heard.

Now, I'd like to hand it over to Mr. Bachman.

Thank you.

MR. BACHMAN: Thank you, Lynn.

Good evening and welcome, ladies and gentlemen,
I hope you had the opportunity to attend our
informational open house across the hall. I'm
now going to welcome you to the Public Scoping
Meeting, for Port Ambrose Deepwater LNG Port.

My name is Rodney Bachman, I'm Project Manager in the Deepwater Port Standards Division in the US Coast Guard Headquarters. Lynn is going to address the other people here.

#### · PORT AMBROSE PROJECT ·

In a few minutes my Colleagues will provide
you with an overview of their agency organizations
or roles and responsibilities regarding Port
Ambrose Deepwater License Application. First, I'd
like to give you a brief background on the
process.

In November 2002 Congress passed the Maritime Transportation Security Act which amended the Deepwater Port Act in 1974, adding the importation of natural gas. Since that addition of natural gas, the Coast Guard and Maritime Administration received eighteen applications for natural gas deepwater ports. One of those applications was submitted September 28, 2012 by Liberty Natural Gas to own, construct and operate a natural gas deepwater port in Federal waters of the New York Bight, approximately seventeen nautical miles south east of Jones Beach, New York, twenty-four nautical miles east of Long Branch, New Jersey and twenty-seven miles from New York Harbor-

The application also includes closed loop vaporization, vessels, two submerged lowering buoys, flexible steal riser/umbilicals, anchoring array, subsea manifolds and lateral pipelines.

mainline pipeline that would tie into the existing
Transco lateral only 2.2 nautical miles south of
Long Island and thirteen nautical miles east of
Sandy Hook, New Jersey

This project is referred to as Port Ambrose

Deepwater Port. As a clarification, this is a

different project, under a new application, from

the Liberty Deepwater Port proposed in 2010.

Port Ambrose is further east as has no show of

cross pipeline component, has 2 buoys versus the 4

proposed with the last project, and though the

Liberty application was amended in November 2011,

similar to what was proposed for Port Ambrose,

that application amendment was never processed due

to withdrawal of the application in April 2012.

On June 14, 2013, the Maritime Administration and Coast Guard published a notice on the Federal Register stating that the Port Ambrose application appeared to contain required information to proceed. In that notice New York and New Jersey were identified as adjacent coastal states. This gives government specific authority in the deepwater port approval process, under the

Deepwater Port Act, to approve or disapprove or notify the Maritime Administration of Inconsistencies with State programs relating to environmental protection land or water use in Coastal Zoning Management for which MARAD had a conditional license to make them consistent. An important part of the overall licensing process required by the Deepwater Port Act, is an environmental review, in this case an Environmental Impact Statement, to be prepared in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act, or NEPA.

While the Coast Guard and Maritime administration work together in processing Deepwater Port applications, it is the Coast Guard's role to serve as the lead agency in developing the environmental analysis for these projects under NEPA. I will be working with the appropriate Federal and State agency representatives from New Jersey and New York to ensure potential impacts and concerns of each state are addressed.

The Notice of Intent to prepare the EIS was announced in the Federal Register on June 24th,

which also announced this meeting, including instructions for submitting comments. The EIS will describe the nature and extent of the environmental impacts of the proposed action, alternatives, a description of the effected environment an evaluation of impacts of a natural and human environment.

We must seek input from the public as well as Federal, State and Local agencies. The intent of this Public Scoping meeting is to receive your input on any issues you think should be considered in the scope of this environmental analysis.

I'd like to emphasis that this meeting will not be the only opportunity that you will have to provide comment on this application.

Written comments on the scope should be received by July 23, 2013 and not July 2014 as in the notice. The corrected dates should be in the Federal Register Notice today published, correcting the date to July 23, 2013. That being said, yesterday the Maritime Administrator extended the deadline for comments to August 22nd. There will be official notifications transmitted on this. There are letters going to several

Individuals. So, August 22nd is the new official deadline for comments.

Our environmental consultant, Mr. Tim Feehan to discuss additional comment opportunities in a few moments. For the purposes of tonight, please note that the written and oral comments are given equal consideration, and it is not necessary to repeat them. Written comments may also be submitted to the document management system at regulations.gov, which is the public record for the project.

It contains or will contain all of the application, Federal Register Notices, associated documentation, public and agency comments, public meeting transcripts, including this one and the draft and final EIS, as they become available.

To issue a Deepwater Port License there are a number of elements that must be considered by the Maritime Administration, which Mr. Lesnick will discuss in a moment.

I need to provide a brief overview of the

Coast Guard's responsibility regarding the

license. I want to make it clear that the

Coast Guard is neither opponent or proponent of

any Deepwater Port Project, and emphasis that only after the Environmental Review and license processes have been completed, can there be any activity on the proposed project.

Coast Guard and MARAD work together processing the port applications. Although the Maritime Administrator will ultimately decide to approve, or approve with conditions or disapprove a license for this port, Coast Guard will lead the development of the Environmental Impact Analysis as one of the key components for the administrator to make that decision.

In fulfilling this role, the Coast Guard must seek input from the public as well as other Federal and State agencies. We would also be responsible for conducting independent risk assessment of the port and operations and equipment to identify potential hazards and Maritime safety and security risk mitigations, include water as management and such managers for safety and security zones in anchoring areas and other navigational measures, and should the port be approved, and for the life of the port, we want approval of the port's design, standards and

PORT AMBROSE PROJECT
construction, commission, operations, security,

maintenance and inspection will continue

throughout the port's life.

The Maritime Administration and other

appropriate agencies review the approval of any substantive changes for the property or equipment that could impact the environment or maritime safety and security must be properly evaluated.

Finally, ensuring compliance with the various domestic and international laws and regulations for LNG vessels calling into port.

At this time I'd like to Introduce

Mr. Keith Lesnick from Maritime Administration.

who will describe the responsibilities of his agency.

MR. LESNICK: Good evening. My name is Keith Lesnick and I'm an Associate Administrator of the Maritime Administration.

I'd like to thank you all for attending the session today, the Scoping Meeting for the Port Ambrose Deepwater Port Import license application.

I think it's important to repeat something that Rodney said, and I should note because I think there is some confusion on this. This is

a license to import natural gas only. We do have the authority to license both import and export, but this particular license application is for importing the natural gas only.

The Maritime Administrator ultimately has the authority to issue a Deepwater Port license. We are very pleased to work in tandem with the Coast Guard in processing this application. I should note that we, like the Coast Guard, we are neither a proponent or opponent of these applications when they come to us for a bigger process, and then we'll here. One of the reasons we're happy to be here this evening is to get your views and hear what you have to say about that.

Obviously, we're keenly interested in hearing environmental analysis of this project and value our partnership with the Coast Guard.

At the end of the Deepwater Port application process, the Maritime Administrator will have a decision to make, where he will either issue the water port license, reject the port license, or issue a license subject to certain conditions.

The decision to approve or disapprove the application will be reflected in an official

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

record of decision issued by the administrator and a Federal decision would be based upon several assurances: 1) That the applicant is financially responsible: 2) That the applicant is able to comply with the applicable laws, regulations and license conditions, 3) That the Deepwater Port will operate in the National interest and be consistent with National Security and, energy sufficiency and environmental policy codes. 4) That the Deepwater Port will not unreasonably interfere with National navigation. 5) That the Deepwater Port will be constructed and operated to prevent or minimize adverse impacts to the main environment. 6) The Deepwater Port will comply with National environmental laws. 7) That approved coastal zone management program is in place, and that would be for both New York and New Jersey. 8) That the Secretaries of Army, State and Defense were consulted on the effects on them, and that was considered in the issuance of a license. 9) That the governments of the adjacent coastal states must approve or have been presumed to approve the Deepwater Port proposed application proposal.

It is important to note that the Governor, in this case, the Governors, that they approve the license or they may approve the license with certain conditions, or they may deny the Deepwater Port License. In that case the licenses would not be issued, I should emphasize that the license requirements are stringent and completely enforceable. If the licensee violates the conditions of its license, the Maritime Administration will suspend their licenses.

We have done that in the past, or assist in the operation of the facility until their conditions are met.

Further, we consider the Environmental Impact document a major part of the application. We assure you that we'll have substantial bearing on the issue of the final license. We appreciate, very much, your interest and encourage you to express your views and I look forward to hearing from all of you this evening. Thank you.

MR, FEEHAN: Good evening, I'm

Tim Feehan with Tetra Tech. We are the US Coast

Guard's Environmental Consultant, preparing the

Coast Guard and MARAD's Environmental Impact

Statement, or EIS, for the proposed Port Ambrose

LNG Deepwater Port,

I am Tetra Tech's Project Manager for the

EIS. I'll provide an overview of the public

participation process that is involved in

preparing the EIS. Tetra Tech is a science based

Environmental consulting firm experienced in

preparing EIS's and related studies. My team

includes specialists in many resource areas

covering environmental sciences, including

biologists, chemists, geologists and engineers.

We will be doing an independent evaluation of the environmental impacts of the proposed project, and we'll assist the US Coast Guard in preparing the EIS to explain what the impacts would be. The EIS is being conducted in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act, that was NEPA. Public involvement is a fundamental aspect of NEPA, and is in the US Coast Guard's environmental policies.

We are now in the early stage of the EIS process, a step called Scoping, in which we seek early input from the public on potential impacts, and especially sources of information relating to

those potential impacts. It is termed Scoping because we determine the scope of issues that should be included in the EIS as we move forward.

The US Coast Guard began the Scoping process by publishing a Notice of Intent, or NOI, to prepare in the EIS in the Federal Register on June 24, 2013. The NOI was also delivered electronically to State and Federal Agencies. The Notice of Intent provided directions from occurred of the Scoping process, and announces the location and time of these public Scoping meetings.

In addition, the US Coast Guard distributed a Dear Interested Party letter. This letter was distributed widely throughout New York and New Jersey to Federal, State and Local agencies, elected officials and the public. This provides a project summary and Scoping meeting logistics for those who do not read the Federal Register.

A public notice of concerning this meeting was also published in the local newspapers.

We're also holding Scoping meetings in Edison,

New Jersey tomorrow night. Formal and written comments made today will be recorded and addressed in the Draft EIS.

In addition to providing comments tonight, you may also submit written comments to the docket over the internet, by fax or by mail. Details are provided over on our posters across the hall, especially for the Deepwater Port applications and the NEPA process, Part 2. This is also provided as a handout.

Please use only one of the methods to make your comments. At a minimum an Environmental analysis will be completed on the following topics in the EIS: Geology, sediments, water resources, fisheries, wildlife, threatened and endangered species, socio-economics, transportation, cultural resources, marine biology, noise, recreation and esthetics, and reliability and safety.

We will consider the environmental effects
of construction, operation and de-commissioning of
this Deepwater Port. In addition, we will look at
the cumulative impact of other foreseeable
projects within the project vicinity. One of
those possibilities in preparing the EIS is to
analyze

and arrange alternatives. We will consider

alternative locations for the Deepwater Port, and alternative off shore pipeline routes.

We will look at alternative Deepwater Port concepts and alternative LNG technologies. The No Action alternative, or what would happen if a license is not granted, and the project is not built, and as was mentioned earlier, the cumulative impacts of the project will also be considered.

The next step in this process will be to prepare the Draft EIS, to announce the availability of the Draft EIS for public review, we will publish a notice of availability for the Draft EIS in the Federal Register and place ads in local

newspapers.

When the Draft EIS is completed, copies will be sent to Federal, State, Regional and Local agencies and local groups and individuals who have requested copies. Additional copies will be placed in public libraries where the public may review them.

The Draft EIS will also be available on the docket via the internet, or by requesting one

from the US Coast Guard. If you have not already done so, please indicate on the registration card, located outside on our sign-in desk, if you would like to receive a copy of the Draft EIS when it becomes available, and a copy will be sent to you. US Coast Guard and MARAD will return to the New York and New Jersey area to hold a public meeting to provide citizens an opportunity to make formal, oral comments concerning the Draft EIS. Resource experts will be present to answer questions and the public will have an additional opportunity to enter comments and concerns into the official record.

After the close of the public period on the Draft EIS, we will assist the US Coast Guard in preparing the final EIS including an explanation of how comments were considered. On completion of the final EIS, not part of NEPA in the EIS process, we will hold at least one public meeting in New York and New Jersey to solicit comments on the final EIS and the Port Ambrose license application.

Again, the dates, locations and times will be announced in the Federal Register and local

newspapers. When the EIS is finalized MARAD will use it as one of the inputs to their decision on whether to issue the license, not issue the license, or to issue it with specific conditions.

As described on one of our posters and this is required to issue a license and construct a Deepwater Port, located across the hall. There are requirements in addition to the environmental impacts that must be considered in the decision of the final license action.

Thank you for taking the time to come up here and meet with us tonight.

MS. GOLDHAMMER: Please consider our reporter over there. You have three minutes and I'll let you know when you have fifteen seconds I'll hold up a blue folder, a yellow when you have to tie things up, and I'll hold up a red one to indicate that your time is up and the next person will be speaking.

Also, I just want to reiterate that this is
for you to make comments and not for discussion.
We can't really answer your questions. We are
just here to give you an opportunity to be heard.

We want to keep any disturbances out of this room. So, no applause, cheering or boos or anything like that because we need to make sure that the Court Reporter can hear what is being said. We don't want any noise that will drown out any speaker, no matter how loud you speak. Okay.

We'll start in the following order: The elected officials will speak, and then Federal, State and Local officials, and then individuals will have an opportunity or given a chance to speak.

Actually, we're going to call you by name.

Since there's so many people, when I call the person to speak, I'm also going to call the next person in line so you can get behind the speaker and be ready for your time to speak. Okay? So we can move everything along.

We're going to start right now with the officials who came here and they also get only three minutes. So, I'm just reiterating that. First, we have Councilwoman Elleen Goggin, followed by Nassau County Legislator, Dave Denemberg. Are they here?

COUNCILWOMAN GOGGIN: Good evening.

My name is Councilwoman Eileen Goggin from

Long Beach. As an Environmental Advocate,

Community Leader and Council-person, I'm here

tonight to express my concern over the proposed

Liberty Natural Gas Port Ambrose Project.

We the city of Long Beach and its people, have not been apprised of the important details of this project. I, like I believe many of the people of Long Beach, approach this project with serious trepidation and concern. I'm aware that this is only the beginning of this process, and there will be continued hearings. However, I am here tonight to insist that there be a full, thorough and transparent review of the proposed project. The full impact of this project including those who seek to benefit from the project should be exposed and taken into consideration.

As we begin, my questions and concerns are quite serious. Some of the initial issues that I believe will need to be addressed during this process include the potential environmental impact to our waterfront, our ocean, its environment, our residents and our wildlife.

The security concerns that this project proposes, the health and safety concerns, the cost benefit concerns, the potential for gas explosions, and tanker accidents, and the economic impact to our community.

We are only eight months after the devastation of Sandy. Our residents and our businesses are still recovering and our focus has been on that recovery here in Long Beach. We believe that our continued recovery and the needs of our community, must be taken into consideration throughout this review. I'm here tonight and I will continue to be here throughout this entire process to stand up for the residents of Long Beach. I'm the city who will be keeping a sharp eye on this process as it moves forward. Thank you very much.

MS. GOLDHAMMER: Can we now have Legislator Dave Denemberg, followed by Harvey Weisenberg.

MR. DENENBERG: I'm Nassau County

Legislator Dave Denenberg. First and foremost,

I think that it's imperative that the public,

the public, have more information, more hearings,

more time for comments, and more opportunity

to ask questions and to find out about what's a massive and major project.

I was out. My district is Seaford, Wantagh,
Bellmore, Merrick and Freeport. I was in Seaford
and Wantagh today, throughout the day, with people
who are trying to get back into their homes,
People are worried about recovering from the
storm and they're doing a great job recovering,
but people are trying to get into their homes.
They don't know about this project. They
don't have an opportunity to know about it:

The original July 14th, July 23rd, less than thirty days -- I think it was twenty-two days. Thank you for extending it, but how about 90 or 120 days? Give people some real comment period. You know what I mean,

The information that you said, that this is for import only. Is the US Maritime and Coast Guard administration or Maritime Administration, and Coast Guard process, once this is built as an import facility, the same opportunity, the same review, the same environmental impact studies if it becomes an export facility? And it it is an export facility a lot of people are concerned

1

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 that that would promote fracking. As an import 3 facility, I don't really understand the economics. 4 I do know that natural gas produced here is cheap, 5 cheaper than foreign production, even Trinidad. So, how does it make economic sense? Aside from 6 7 security risks as well. How are those being 8 addressed? We're worried about security risks. 9 We're also worried about importing natural gas. 10 We're also worried about is natural gas really a cleaner fuel. It's still a fossil fuel. Those are all issues and information that we have a right to know. Nineteen miles out will be aborted and 2 13 miles out would be a connection. In between 14 15 there's twenty or so miles of pipeline. How is 16 that going to effect our environment? How is 17 that going to effect commercial fishing and 18 recreation?

We have a right to know and 30 days and 2 hearings, one here and one in Edison is not enough time for our comments to become part of that Environmental Impact Statement.

I sponsored a bill that that says fracking waste can't be brought to be treated in our Nassau County Sewage Treatment Plants. I'm

-8

proud of that. If this is import only, answer the questions of how we would be protected from it becoming export.

Let me just close by saying, we need more information. We need more hearings. We need our time for comment and we need an opportunity to be heard and to get that information. Thank you.

MS. GOLDHAMMER: Thank you. Mr.

Denenberg. Next, we have Harvey Weisenberg, State

Assembly followed by Phillip Goldfeder, Assembly

Member 23rd District.

MR. WEISENBERG: I'm Assemblyman

Harvey Weisenberg. We had a similar proposal

approximately three years ago. I, as an elected

official, and as an educator, gave an opportunity

to the project to be able to explain what they

really wanted to accomplish, and what they were

going to do here.

Well, we had a public meeting, and I really wanted you to know that the people of Barrier Beach, and I want you to understand that first of all I'm an elected official for twenty-four hears in Albany. I'm on the Environmental Conservation

Committee for twenty-four years: The Long Island
Delegation, that represents Long Island, Democrat
or Republican, worked together in union. This
reminds me what is taking place in western New
York and what the consequences could be.
Question: Is the reward greater than the risk
Involved?

You want to talk about fracking? Let's talk about what the plan is here. Our sole source of water supply is in Lloyd Aquifer that's out here. I stopped Mayor Bloomberg from coming in and taking our water so that they can pipe it up in case of an emergency, and they would send treated water down to our Lloyd. Any geologist or anyone who has an knowledge knows that our water is 6,000 pristine years old, and we're not going to take any changes on risking anything.

When my public responded, my city, which is full of environmentalists, when you live on the beach you learn to appreciate what God has given you, they're not going to take any changes and risk the health and safety of our people or the environment that we live on and represent.

So, I'm going to give you a heads up on this,

because about 90% of the people that responded from our research, as a Legislator in the State of New York and from this region, nobody was wanting to take this chance.

anything. I care what God has given us, the Barrier Beach, for the people who live hear love the environment, and for the health and safety of our children and our grandchildren. So, I want to give you a heads up on this because I'm going to tell you that the city, in my conversations briefly that had taken place, that we're going to do everything we can to make everybody aware.

So, we will listen to our public, and our public will respond to us, and then I'll guarantee you'll hear from me again. I want to let you know.

I thank you for being here, but I really want you to know how sensitive it is because we went through hell there with the storm. I want you to know how close knit this community is.

The diversity of our population, the caring for each other, we're not going to let any project that can endanger the health and safety of our children and grandchildren to come.

So, I thank you being here and I think we need some more time to for you to hear the response that you're going to receive. Thank you for being here tonight.

MS. GOLDHAMMER: Thank you Mr.
Weisenberg. Next we'll have Phillip Goldfeder,
Assembly Member 23rd District.

MR. GOLDFEDER: Of course let me thank

Harvey Weisenberg, who is tremendous ally and

colleague and mentor of mine in Albany, and a true

champion of the communities that he represents.

So, I'm Phil Goldfeder from the 23rd District, which includes 130,000 people from Southern Queens and Rockaway in New York City. I stand here to tell you that I'm opposed to LNG project and that Queens, New York City stands together tonight here with Long Beach in opposition, protecting the health and safety of all of our families.

Quite frankly, what offends me is that you have chosen the absolute minimum amount of public involvement, that choosing to hold one public hearing tonight, and one public hearing in Edison.

New Jersey. There are communities all across Long island and all across Rockaway, Southern Queens

Jamaica and Jamaica Bay, all surrounding areas deserve the opportunity to be involved in this process. I'm standing here to tell you on behalf of them, that they oppose it. You can mark their words as well.

Our community, Southern Queens and Rockaway, we've been through a lot with Sandy, and our communities need certainty. We need reassurance and by taking this project around about and as quietly and in the night as possible, and you're saying that this is somehow going to benefit us. That's just a lie. The bottom line is, is that we need certainty. The only thing I'm certain of tonight is that this project is no good.

We have fought these projects before and to his credit, Governor Christie has vetoed it, and I'm confident that he'll veto it again. Here's what I say, I together with my colleagues, to the Governor to let him know how you feel but how people in this room feel, and how the people who have been cut out of this process feel, who are opposed to this project. We've beaten this before and I'm quite confident we're going to beat this again. Thank you.

-8

MS GOLDHAMMER; Thank you very much.

The first speaker will be Bruce Ferguson, followed by Jill Wiener.

MR. FERGUSON: Jill and I both represent Catskill Citizens for Safe Energy, which is an all volunteer, grassroots organization, centered in Marcellus Shale Region Beach in Upstate New York and we have a vested interest in what happens here, and what decisions are made by this group.

Last week, our organization, along with 130 other organizations sent a letter to the Maritime Administration asking for a longer public comment period because 30 days is not enough. Most people who even live in the vicinity of where this thing will be built, still will not even know of its potential existence.

Last night, after the close of business day,
I received a letter from Maritime Administration,
from the Coast Guard, saying that they would
extend the public comment period by 30 days.
That's nice, but it's still inadequate. We've
asked for 120 days and that's entirely reasonable,
considering the scope and scale and the potential
adverse impacts of this project.

25.

The application alone is 4,000 pages. Only 1,500 pages have been made available to the public, and the Federal agencies that have looked at it, it's called data gaps. There's lots of important missing information. We can't expect to analyze and put thoughtful comments on this by the new deadline of August 23rd.

It's still very inadequate. Also, the public hearings are inadequate. There should be hearings throughout the region, including on the shale bearing regions where I live because we're going to be impacted too if this turns into to the import and export terminal, and we'll get to that in a minute.

There's no reason to fast track this process. The public has a right to learn about it and comment on it thoughtfully. Now. whether or not this is going to be an import or export terminal is going to be a big subject here tonight. I know that. If it's an import facility, we don't need it. If it's an export facility, we don't want it.

In the letter that I received from MARAD, said that this would be an import terminal only, and if

that were the law. It's not the law. I've read
the law and it's a judgement call. This terminal
can be built with an import license, and then that
license can be amended for the port to be
re-licensed to export. That is not something
that any of us want.

No one can deny that this terminal, this
port would be a potential export facility.

That is clear. A port is a port and it's going
to serve a market. If there's no market for
imports, they're going to be used for exports.

Therefore, it's only reasonable to assume that all of the potential environmental impacts, including the impact for fracking, be evaluated before hundreds of millions are spent on this project. Thank you.

MS. WIENER: Ms. Wiener, Catskills
Citizens Energy. As Mr. Ferguson has just
mentioned, we did receive a letter from the Coast
Guard last night, after close of business, that
indicated that MARAD and Coast Guard would not
be considering up-stream impacts.

This last minute information, it's just unacceptable. Port Ambrose may actually be an

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

export facility in the future, and the more uses for this port is irresponsible.

The problem with converting this port is that it puts millions of people, who live on the shale, and live in the shadow of drilling and infrastructure, drilling infrastructure, in the cross-hairs of increased impacts from dangerous shale gas extraction.

We have a laundry list of upstream and lots of concern and here are some of the high, well, really the low lights. Impacts on health, abandoned and orphaned wells, decline of agriculture, air contamination, animal mortality, loss of biodiversity, brine spreading which would be toxic waste on public roads, secret and toxic chemicals, consumptive water use, cumulative impact, something that New York State is determined is too difficult to consider in their EIS, flaring of wells, impacts on forest. impacts on hunting and fishing, earthquakes. contamination of the food chain, fracking fluid is also secret and toxic. Even if it's not secret, it's still toxic. Greenhouse gas emissions and climate change, infrastructure, compressor

stations, pipelines, injection wells, methane migration, pipeline safety which is an oxymoron, public lands and National Parks, and the impacts on them produced water, and it's not coming to Nassau County apparently. So, where is it going to go? Radioactivity and regulatory issues. Regularatory issues are a big problem. Fracking is exempted from just about every common sense State and Federal and Environmental Law.

So, the laundry list continues but I will just say that residents of the Shale have a responsibility to protect the water, air and the public health for those living down-stream.

The permitting agencies must consider the up-stream impacts of approving this port would have on us, and everyone down-stream of us.

Once this port is built and licensed for import, it's highly unlikely that when the agencies receive the application for conversion to export that they would ask for Port Ambrose to be dismantled. No one is going to say, Liberty Energy, take our Lincoln Logs and go away.

What will happen is the conversion to export will happen, and to believe anything else

is nalve. We are New Yorkers and we have not yet ponied up the money to buy the Brooklyn Bridge and we are not going to buy this. Thank you.

MS. GOLDHAMMER: I'm sorry but the microphone no longer seems to be working. The next speaker is John Malizia, tollowed by Richard Schary.

MR. MALIZIA: John Malizia. I'm a fisherman, from the Fishermen's Conservation Association. Tuna Club and the Natural Resource Protection Association. I'm here tonight to talk about fishing and the impacts to our ocean.

As a fisherman, you know, we want to know what the impacts are going to be to the fishery because one the turret systems are close by the Yankee Wreck and the Coast-wise Wreck, What other wrecks are involved? How close are they, and what are the impacts to the fishermen? What is the safety zone? The safety zone keeps changing or nobody really knows what the real zone is. Is it five hundred meters or is it more or less? Is it only when the ship is in process of gasifying, excuse me ·· Yes. gasifying. Is it also when the ship leaves? Is

there a safety zone when the ship is in transit and from that point, and how far out do we protect is? Why do we need this project? You know there was two turnet systems in Boston, or 13 miles outside of Boston, that had been in there since 2008 or 2009. They don't do anything. You very rarely see any imports because of the market.

When they built the system each put in over \$350 million dollars and then not get any money back. So, what are they going to do with that?

What is Liberty going to do with it? You know, the market is low in the United States. We have issues in Europe, China and India and Japan. So, why do we need it?

Also, what are the impacts going to be when we build the wind turbines? That is a renewable resource and something we wanted and something we've talking about. What effects do they have. When we build those turbines will there be impacts to the fishermen? Again, will there be a safety zone, a security zone or whatever. Nobody knows the answers. We need the answers. We need more information.

Another piece of information, Ambrose Tower is

not there any longer. Why? Because Ambrose Tower was hit twice in a couple of years, and because of that the Coast Guard brought it down over there.

Thank you for your time.

MS. GOLDHAMMER: Next we have Richard Schary followed by Lisa Schary.

MR. SCHARY: For once I get to go ahead of my wife. Who's proposing this project? Is it Liberty, LNG or Ambrose. They are registered in the Cayman Islands. Right away the hair on the back of my head, what's left, went up when I heard the Cayman Islands. Does anybody know who the principals of this group are? I don't know I looked for the names and didn't find the principal.

They're registered in Delaware, which is where everybody goes to hide money after the Cayman Islands in this country, and it's managed by an investment firm in Canada: They hire other companies to do their work, so the other companies prepare the reports and do studies. If you can do a look-see and if you find anything, let us know.

How many remember the BP blowout in the Gulf of Mexico? If something goes wrong, will we be

able to find these people? They can pass the buck and everybody can blame everyone else. Who are these people and we want to know who they are? They won't care for the environment necessarily because they stand to make a great deal of money

Now, as I said before at public hearings of this nature, the most important thing we have to do in projects like this is always, always follow the money. That's the bottom line with these projects, I'm sure many other speakers tonight will describe in detail what an environmental disaster this project can be on so many levels. I just want to leave everyone here with this advice, you must categorically reject this project because always, and again remember, follow the money. Thank you.

MR. GOLDHAMMER: Lisa Schary, and then followed by Julie Sullivan.

MS, SCHARY: Lisa Schary. Good
afternoon. At least I thought it was going to be
a good afternoon. I started my day realizing that
another dolphin has beached. The brown tide is
back and now another gas barge island or whatever,
It's the same thing with a new name.

You know what, I'm so glad to see all of these young people here today, because they are our future, and we only have one aquifer, only one source of water, and the bottom line is, Sandy took out our sewage plant. Our people are trying to get back into their homes. They want to enjoy the summer. It's kind of hard, you know, I feel guilty enjoying this beautiful day, looking over at the ocean, and thinking to myself, how many people think this is a bad idea? You know what, I do.

MS GOLDHAMMER: Thank you Julie
Sullivan, followed by Sean Dixon.

MS. SULLIVAN: Good afternoon. I'm Julie Sullivan with Food and Water Watch. Thank you for the opportunity to comment today about Liberty Natural Gas' latest proposal to build a deepwater liquefied natural gas import/export facility off the coast of New York and New Jersey. Of course, just two years ago Governor Christie rejected a nearly identical proposal. We supported that decision then and we expect that the current application won't be persuasive.

We are here today to urge you to fully

consider the consequences of licensing this project in your scoping process. We maintain that if you do recommend a No Action alternative and reject the application. Now, calling it an import facility is just smoke and mirrors to obfuscate an export facility. LNG. We urge you to not only look at the negative impacts on marine eco-systems and on the future off-shore wind energy production, but also include the negative impacts that licensing exports from this facility would have on communities throughout the northeast.

Export would spur more drilling and hydraulic-fracturing or fracking resulting in an even more destructive, economic bust once the gas is gone and industry moves on.

We are aware that Liberty's stated intent is to just use this facility for imports, but there's no reason to believe that market forces won't lead them to re-visit that intent.

First, current law, as recently amended,
makes clear that the requested Deepwater Port
License would also allow for export. Second,
exports are an essential part of the oil and gas
industry's efforts to create new sources of demand

for unconventional natural gas or shale gas.

Put simply the drilling and fracking industry

needs natural gas prices to rise.

Current domestic prices barely make it profitable for them to drill and frack for shale gas given the high capital costs of deep, horizontal drilling, and high volume multi-stage fracking.

Natural gas prices in Europe and Asia are a different story and the US Department of Energy has seen a flurry of new applications from companies seeking authority to export natural gas. Current applications would amount to an export capacity equivalent to over 40% of US consumption. There's every reason to believe that Liberty's Port Ambrose project, once licensed, would join this frenzy. Accelerated drilling and fracking to fulfill export contracts will only intensify the negative impacts from communities that sit above the Marcellus Shale. Fracking can be expected to continue. Thank you.

MS. GOLDHAMMER: Thank you, Ms. Sullivan,
Sean Dixon followed by Jim Foorah.

MR. DIXON: Thank you very much. I just wanted to clear up two things for the record, and for the people here that have gathered here about this port.

First, that is the exact same proposal that was vetoed by Governor Christie. We heard, before this hearing began, that it wasn't processed but it was amended in 2012 of last year. I ask you this, if it hadn't been processed, and Governor Christie hadn't have reaffirmed his veto, a week after the amendment occurred in March of last year, we wouldn't be here today, but Port Ambrose would.

So, that project was official. That project was changed and that project was active, and that's the exact same location for the size, scope and impact of the one that we have in front of us today. So, that needs to be clarified on the record:

Second, on the issue of exports, we heard this afternoon that this is an import license only. Under the Deep Water Port Act Section 4RB2, on the petition of a licensee, a license can be amended or transferred. So, that petition

requires only written permission from the

Secretary of Transportation and the Maritime

Administration. All the requirements in the

procedure parts of the Deepwater Port Act are

written in for the purpose of reviewing

applications for licenses, not for

reviewing petitions for amendments.

It's absolutely clear in the act, that exports can be allowed from this facility with a simple petition from an amendment. We're not saying that you want that to happen, and you're saying you're impartial, but we're saying it could. It occurs everyday and you'll hear for the rest of the night, that possibility is something that is not worth the risk.

Why would this happen? Right now, under the Natural Gas Act, exports to any free-trade country has to be approved by law without modification or delay. That's already lead to over 30 approvals, 40% of our daily natural gas production, has already been approved for exports overseas.

If a sponsor wants to come in from another country, to be brought here to this terminal

for an import, that decision has to be made by that company whether to sell here or to sell somewhere else for 4 to 6 times more money. They're never going to come here.

On the exports issue, it's a simple amendment under the Deepwater Port Act would allow domestic natural gas to be sent overseas for four to six times the amount of money they can sell it for here.

All of those things have lead to the conclusion, that this is about exports. In the application Liberty's purpose for imports sites Trinidad gas supplies. That country is no longer sending to America because they can get more money overseas. They site the need, the long-term need by the experts in this country, but they're off by 470%:

At the end of the day, this port was already vetoed, exact same place and exact same project.

It's all about exports. The Federal Government can amend this without review and all of those reviews for licensing only and not for amendments.

I'm not saying that you will but you might.

```
- PORT AMBROSE PROJECT -
 1
 2
     It's something that we can not allow to happen.
  3
     It's a risk we can't take and it's a risk that
4
    Governor Christie was not willing to take when he
5
    vetoed this exact same proposal, reaffirmed it
    less than a year and a half ago today. Thank you.
 6
7
            MS. GOLDHAMMER: That was Mr. Dixon and
    now we have Jim Foorah and then Stephanie Wass.
9
            MR. FOORAH: Good people of Long Beach,
 10
    hearing officials. I live in New York City. I
 11
    live in Greenwich Village.
 12
       We have them sneak into town and start
 13
            building
 14
    a pipeline without any of us knowing anything
 15
            about
 16
    it. If it wasn't for the Energy Project and Occupy
 17
    the Pipeline, my neighbors still would not know
    about it.
 18
 19
       There's a lack of transparency on this
 20
    project, the lack of timing, the lack of public
 21
    input, the lack of hearing is applauding and seems
22
    to be a continual practice in the industry that is
23
    trying to build these places. It's not a new
24
    tactic but we're aware as the public that it is
```

going to continue to go on. I expect that to

25

change. I live in a potential glass zone,
highly urban neighborhood, in West Village,
Greenwich Village, a potential glass zone.

If any of you go on the internet and see what natural gas explosions have done to communities, most of these explosions happen out in areas that have no population.

Fossil fuel is ruining the environment for all our children and our grand children. As an old gay man, I happen to care about the generations that will come after me. Your children, my grandchildren, We have already seen extreme weather conditions. The people here do not have to be told about what happened with Hurricane Sandy.

Why the hell is Liberty asking to be allowed to put wind towers, having planned for eight years. Liberty, if that's your money in new technology, come back with a plan, where it has energy that is clean and a site that protects the water and the environment. Otherwise, go home. You are not welcome here. Go home and try to sell this dirty project in your own neighborhood. Go home and to your own coastal.

city and try to sell this dirty, dangerous, old fossil fuel based project. Thank you.

MS, GOLDHAMMER: We now have Stephanie Wass followed by Thomas Gallagher. Is there a Stephanie Wass? No? Then Thomas Gallagher, please and followed by Cindy Zipp.

MR. GALLAGHER: Thomas Gallagher from Wantagh. One thing and I don't know, maybe it's just me, but I find that this public assembly is missing one thing. Guess what it is?

The American Flag and we should have stood up and say that we are united. This is a real bad things for me. I'm a Patriot and it turned me off to see that there's no American Flag here in this hall.

Now, we do know that this gas line goes underneath the water. It's going to change the temperature of the water and it's going to change tish and shellfish life under the water and there are going to be problems there.

I did work on a project at Neptune, wanted
to put, come down through Jones Beach, and
behind the city sewage treatment plant, and put
their power station there. If they had done this,

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and this storm hit, you wouldn't have electricity today because they could not send any more over here. I feel that this natural gas situation will be the same thing. You can't get the ships in and you can't provide gas for the people, you're going to cut the people off from natural gas. I feel that this is going to start happening. There going to start setting up barges out there loading and unloading ships as cargo. We'll have other import and export items, because we're over seven miles out from the land, outside the environmental area. We have cruise ships outside of Freeport so that they can go out gambling. So, any ships out there can legally do whatever they want. It's not under the County or State Laws.

This here I'm definitely against it because it's too short a notice. I only seen it today in Newsday and I only just saw it on Channel 12 today. So, in the past few hours only do I think a lot of the people here have been notified.

They were not notified in other papers before and on the news media. A lot of people don't understand this. I don't use natural gas.

Other people that do need it pay a tremendous amount to get hooked up.

In our houses, and I think that it's a valid point, that if you burn natural gas in the house, you're taking oxygen out of the house. You're going to kill the people. They're saying it's a dangerous item and people don't realize this. Once this gets contaminated with water, product that's coming in that's mixed with a new product, such as corn oil and gasoline, these things will start going sour and might not be 100% pure product that we're buying from the companies. I thank you very much, and have a good day. As long as we sit down one more time to hear what we want to hear, not what you want to tell us. Thank you.

Mr. Gallagher. Cindy Zipp is next followed by Adrienne Esposito.

MS. GOLDHAMMER: Thank you,

MS. ZIPP: Good evening. My name is

Cindy Zipp and I'm Executive Director for Clean

Ocean Action, which is a coalition of one hundred

and thirty groups throughout the region from Cape

May to Montauk. We've been fighting for almost

30 years to keep this region living in a Clean

Ocean economy direction. We've come along way since the bad old days. We're not going back.

This proposal is not new. We've heard it over and over and over again. It's the same proposal that has been brought up before in this region, not once, but twice.

Clean Ocean Action has been examining liquefied natural gas since 2007 and we've been researching in detail, the impacts on importing as well now as exporting liquefied natural gas. It's bad news for the environment and bad news for the public. It's bad news for the economy, it's bad news for the public economy and it's bad news from a navigational standpoint because you're talking about putting a gigantic target in the middle of the region, which is the busiest port on the Atlantic Seaboard. We've got tankers coming in and out, chemical and oil tankers coming in and out of this harbor and you're talking about a giant combustible facility right smack in the middle of this region.

Not only is that threatening for the commerce of the region but also it's threatening from a security standpoint, which is why our Governor in

New Jersey, Chris Christie, vetoed the project.

It was not good for the environment, not good for the economy and not good for security. It was very susceptible to sabotage.

For you to come back to these communities now, is just unbelievable. Both the Jersey Shore and the South Shore of Long Island are devastated by Superstorm Sandy. To come sneaking back here during the summer time and they're trying to rebuild and they're very busy with very short notice for these public hearings, with a 4th of July holiday in between to try to organize. I have to say, I want to give you all a round of applause for coming out.

This is just the beginning of the public outrage for this project. It doesn't make any sense. It's not good for the economy of a clean ocean. All Liberty is offering in return for all the threat to our jobs in every coastal community, the fishing, the diving and the boating and the swimming, is 6 measly jobs. We're saying not to that. We don't need anymore time, go home. We want a clean ocean economy.

M.S. GOLDHAMMER: We'll have Adrienne

Esposito followed by Ray Ellmer.

- 8

MS, ESPOSITO: Good evening. My name is Adrienne Esposito and I'm Executive Director for Citizens Campaign for the Environment.

We're here today as a grass-roots environmental organization with 80,000 members, from Brookhaven to Buffalo and from Montauk to Medina.

We are going to be submitting extensive written comments but tonight it's about brevity and it's just me, and I have three points to make. 1) If we're an organization that fought and won against fighting Broadwater in the middle of Long Island Sound, and I find myself having a fossil fuel deja vu all over again.

the myth that LNG is a bridge fuel to renewables.

LNG is not a bridge fuel to renewables. It is a brick wall to renewables. This is a marketing ploy by the energy industry that simply is a disguise to a lot of energy industries to continue to control our world's fossil fuel based economy and strategically extravagant enhancement of energy corporations bottom lines. You know that.

Our nation is building LNG facilities off the Gulf Coast and our ocean borders that will once again shackle us to foreign fossil fuels,

with

not only environmental consequences but also yielding yet to be realized geo-political consequences as well.

Replacing oil with natural gas is not a bridge to the future. It's a side-step to continue the status quo. Why is that important for you to know at a Scoping hearing. I'll tell you why, it's called climate change. We find it, to be honest, and I'm not even trying to be funny here, ironic, but also insulting and alarming that we are having this hearing here in the great city of Long Beach. You know why? Because nobody knows better than the people of Long Beach, the impacts of climate change, the life-altering impacts, the economic impacts, the financial, the emotional and devastating impacts of climate change.

Ask anyone from Long Beach but don't stop there. Go to Freeport, go to Island Park, go to Oceanside, Massepequa, Village of Lindenhurst, Village of Babylon. Go to Mastic Beach, go to

```
PORT AMBROSE PROJECT.
 1
2
    Seaford, Patchogue, Oakdale. You know why?
3
    We know what it's like to lose it all and yet,
    we're having a community discussion about
4
5
    shackling ourselves for another 30
6
    years to the damn fossil fuels. No.
7
       We want renewable energy. We deserve it.
8
    We can't lose it all again. Your policies
9
    need to change, because we've changed and now you
   change. That area there has been researched
10
11
   for 8 years. Millions of dollars have been
   spent from public funding to look at that area
12
13
   for a wind farm. A wind farm is clean, safe and
14
   domestically produced energy. Studies have been
   done on migratory trail migration, bird migration,
15
16
   and +-
17
           MS: GOLDHAMMER: Your time is up -
18
          MS. ESPOSITO: Yes, sure. All of that
19
   has been done. We want a wind farm that is clean
20
   and safe for us. Thank you.
21
          MS. GOLDHAMMER: We now have Ray Ellmer
22
   tollowed by Nick Lynn.
23
    MR_ ELLMER: Good evening. My name is
   Ray Ellmer, In 2009, I was Co-Chair Advisory to
24
```

the City of Long Beach on the LNG project, My

25

investigation determined that Allonse D'Amato, the former United States Senator was the lobbyist for an Atlantic Sea project, which was 13 miles off shore.

This project was in conjunction with a windmill farm. Long Beach is unique because we have major ocean dumping off our shoreline for many years in the New York Harbor, and when they dig up this line, when the dig up the ocean sediment, it's going to release thousands and tons of toxic sediment on to our beaches. This is a residential barrier island with 50,000 residents.

Also, there's a person that's missing here tonight and that's the United States Senator Peter King. This is a Homeland Security Issue, If you see something, say something. These are tankers, three and a half football fields, two and a half miles of Long Beach, a residential island. This is a Homeland Security Issue.

This is an environmental issue where you're digging up the ocean. It's also a navigational issue. The gentleman before, from the fisherman, had an excellent point. The Ambrose Lighthouse was decommissioned because cargo ships kept

hitting it. Now they want to put LNG Port facilities in the windmill farm area. During Hurricane Sandy, our town was hit by forty-five foot waves. These buoys are going to show up in Manhattan. You know, the south shore of Long Island has paid the price. We have in our city, sewage treatment plants, a polluted rails channel, new discharge 2 miles off of Jones Beach. We paid the price already.

Why do they keep showing up at Long Beach.

because you have Jerry Kramer, former New York

Assemblyman, and United States US Senator, Alfonse

D'Amato, they're the lobbyist for the edge in

industry. They're bringing these energy companies

to our barrier island.

Harvey Weisenberg said. Ray, stop talking about it because you're going to be black-listed. The fact of the matter is we're not going to stop talking about it. This is a public safety issue, a Homeland Security issue, and environmental issue. This is protection for generations to come. Thank you. I would just like to submit a letter for Charles Defande [phonetic] to Governor David Paterson at the time

and the unanimous vote of the Long Beach City
Council focusing on the three liquefied natural
gas projects back in 2009. I'd like to just
submit that as part of the record. Thank you.

MS. GOLDHAMMER: Next is Nick Lynn
followed by Larry Moriarty

MR. LYNN: Hi, my name is Nick Lynn.

I'm the Chair of the Certified Foundation Chapter

for New York City. We are about 1,000 members

strong. There have been so many great points made

tonight. I just want to raise a couple of quick

things which are: 1) I don't understand why.

given all of the sentiment here about doubling

down on fossil fuels, why we're being presented

with this proposal in our area:

I think the main objection that I have is
that all the parties that are involved are
really really opaque and obtuse. They do not seem
to do anything that would reflect any kind of
stewardship either towards the environment or any
kind of vision towards the future for us
energy-wise.

Sean's point earlier about how the review process for this particular permit is one thing.

but changing the use of it does not require that kind of a review process. It indicates to me that we're in a position where the local natural gas that's in the shale can easily be pumped to this facility and ostensibly sold overseas to energy markets that are hungry, and the point that I made earlier during the press conference, fuel those economies while those economies invest in new technologies, so that we later will have to buy that technology from them.

Here's my main point and I'll close on this early. Why are we getting asked to sacrifice so much. Ask us to sacrifice in our pocketbooks and economically, even environmentally, towards the development of new clean technologies:

Don't ask us to put everything on the line so don't worry, there won't be some kind of stalled disaster or terror attack. Don't worry, that won't happen. That is too much to ask.

We will gladly sacrifice and take whatever steps that are necessary so that we can create the technologies that we will need in the future instead of having to buy them and selling our

future now to buy them later from someone else.

Thank you.

MS. GOLDHAMMER: Larry Moriarty followed by Nick Meola.

MR. MORIARTY: My name is Larry Moriarty and I'm a Long Beach resident. I'm the Chair of the Central Long Island Chapter of the Surf Rider Foundation.

I'm here to express my grave concern of the proposed industrialization of our ocean. Putting our oceans, waves and beach at risk will hurt our local businesses and economy, people are trying to get back right here.

The proposed LNG plan calls for the dredging of the ocean floor, coast of Atlantic Beach stretching for 20 miles covering Long Beach, Lido, Point Lookout, and Jones Beach, putting in a 26 inch pipe and terminal to import and possibly export natural gas.

Pipe lines and fishing grounds, we've heard about that. There's very little information available and based on the head crushing and head shaking is quite evident. We're asking and we need more information. Our local businesses

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are already starving and will not survive if they have to endure a year or more where there's perception by the public, our visitors, that our ocean is tainted by dredge material or spills from ships that will constantly be docked at the terminals off shore.

Why struggle as a business owner to come back when the key draw to this area, the ocean, is in disrepair. Compound the threat by the separately proposed sewage outflow pipe being discussed and our local economy will literally be a mess. Businesses do not thrive in ghost towns. Local eye witnesses will tell you stories that how garbage barges that used to short cut their trips for years. Will we ever know if they dredged hazardous material here during their pipe installation? Who is watching that? How will they be monitored? Economic and monitoring has not addressed before by the list that you gave us in your EIS. The jobs will not go to local businesses, of our local business install LNG energy pipe, go figure. The workers are going to come in from out of town, live on boats and fly home, according to their application.

-8

.9

Superstorm, and not even Hurricane Sandy, was responsible for waves recorded at thirty-three feet off Sandy Hook. The waves were measured at the average of the most significant third waves.

It's likely that they were fifty foot waves that day. Are they really prepared for fifty foot waves? Is that the milestone?

You saw what happened in BP in the Gulf. You saw the effects of fuel and dispersements used are still not understood. We're not convinced that they know what they are up against. Just this past Saturday views of the seventy-three car train that destroyed the downtown area of a small town in Quebec, crashed and a series of explosions from pressurized fuel cars, striking dozens of houses and buildings and forced thousands to evacuate the town. I'm sure those people were told they had no worries about the dangerous cargo that the trains would be carrying. It could never happen to them. Those catastrophes can never be reversed. The promises and claims of safety will not matter to those effected.

This proposed project can not be allowed to ruin our city. We already pay some of the

highest taxes in the country here. Our eyes are open. Do you really think we're going to live our lives with half truths. This project threatens our local economy and our tax base. Our oceans should not be for sale.

MS. GOLDHAMMER: Thank you,

Mr. Moriarty. Nick Meola followed by Jasmine

Bobis.

MR. MEOLA: Good afternoon. My name is

Nicholas Meola, long time resident of Long Beach
and local artist. Let me start by apologizing

to my neighbors of having my back turned to you.

I come here to embrace this project, and
I'm asking my neighbors and friends to embrace
It also, right now today. It's an engineering
project and we have the ability to show the
whole world what American ingenuity can be.

As an engineering project it will have safeguards. It will have tests all along the time of construction. We, Long Beach, have a wonderful opportunity to help this project along and to change some of our engineering process. By this I mean that very often it's not until the project is built that the evacuation program is

1

2

3

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

put into effect. We have the wonderful opportunity
to reverse that. Let's put the evacuation project
first, not last.

So, as I'm proposing, and as I'm speaking now at this moment, this facility has now just leaked 40% of its fuel as reported by the press, but in actuality it released 80% of its fuel. We've all been there. We know what that's about. That means that tomorrow we have to evacuate. That means the water project stops. That means that the Long Island Railroad can not bring people into Long Beach tomorrow, It can not sell beach tickets. If Long Beach can not sell beach tickets, the Atlantic Bridge will not allow people into Long Beach but only exit Long Beach. This is a wonderful opportunity to see what everyone is made off. Everyone is saying we need more time and we need more information. Nothing can be further from the truth.

The reason I say that I'm an artist is because throughout history, we've always turned to art to understand what civilization has been about. The piece of art that I would like to use this evening is the book that is entitled. The Emperor's New

Clothes. In that book, as everyone is biding for more time, and more information, trying to adjust to make things work, it was the children that noticed that the Emperor was naked. There is not nearly enough children here this evening.

what we need to do, in my opinion, and embracing this wonderful engineering project, is to draw our children close to us now and inform them that we can not go to the beach tomorrow, and we can not go to the beach for the rest of this year, and I would bet that instead of crying and complaining, they'd be standing by our side, and in doing that we would teach them a valuable lesson because these people are not here today to talk to us. They're here to talk to our children and our grandchildren. They're biding time. Bring your children and have them stand in solidarity teaches them to do it when it's their turn.

In closing I'd like to say to my fellow citizens of Long Beach, if you want to be Strong Beach, strength is an act and to those who pontificate has lost.

MS. GOLDHAMMER: Thank you. We will

· PORT AMBROSE PROJECT ·

now add Jasmine Bobis followed by Claudia Borecky.

Is Jasmine Bobis here?

[THERE WAS NO RESPONSE]

Okay. Claudia Borecky, are you here? After that
we'll have Gail Stackman.

MS. BORECKY: I'm Claudia Borecky.

I'm here speaking on behalf of the Coalition of

Nassau Civic Associations. Our coalition is

comprised of civic associations from all over

Nassau County, representing hundreds of thousands

of Nassau County residents. We come here today

to express our strong opposition to the proposed

energy terminal just 19 miles off our shores.

We oppose this project for several reasons.

Long Island is our home. We live, we work, we play here. We raise our families here. We enjoy our beaches and our house, Long Island's greatest asset. We do not want to see the industrialization of our ocean. Instead of ugly oil fields off our beaches, you're trying to disguise this monster by submerging it under water.

These tankers will be carrying the LNG to our shores that are nearly a fifth of a mile in length. What you're really doing is setting up

a fossil fuel plant off our shores. You're right in the path of a hurricane. It's unconscionable that Liberty is contemplating placing a highly explosive fossil fuel plant along a shore that is vulnerable to extreme weather, shores that are still recovering from Superstorm Sandy.

Another major concern that we have is the industry's trend to open it as an import facility and then turn around and begin exporting our domestic natural gas. It bothers us that changing from import terminal to export terminal needs nothing more then a letter of approval.

It bothers us that the United States admitted to exporting 40% of its natural gas. It bothers us that the United States is not limiting its exportation of natural gas but seems to be heading in the opposite direction. Quickly, we're becoming the Saudi Arabia of natural gas.

The only ones that will benefit from natural gas exportation will be the foreign conglomerates who will come here, grab our resources right out of our ground, and ship them overseas where they can sell them at a profit, and deplete our own supply of natural gas in process.

We all know that hydro-fracking is the cheapest and quickest ways for these foreign companies to get to our natural gas. Doesn't it all make sense now. With hydro-fracking on the horizon wouldn't these foreign companies need a port to export the natural gas? Placing an explosive fossil fuel plant 19 miles off our shores, in the path of extreme storms is not in the best interest of Long Island. We can not afford another catastrophe.

The Coalition of the Civic Association opposes Liberty's LNG project and requests that the public comment period be extended for 120 days. We are extremely uncomfortable with the industry trends and trends to export. We need more time to digest just what this will mean for Long Island. We request that a series of hearings be conducted so that we can not only voice our concerns but feel confident that our concerns will be addressed.

MS. GOLDHAMMER: Thank you Ms. Borecky

MS. BORECKY: There is a saying that

says it best. Every generation, you must consider

the impact on the next seven generations. Thank

VOU.

MS\_ GOLDHAMMER: We'll next hear from Gall Stackman followed by Mary Anne Sullivan\_

MS. STACKMAN: First let me say that I am extremely opposed to this. I'm very into saving, not just the climate but the planet. There are a few things that I did not hear mentioned.

One, was if they were to decide to do this, what kind of interval shut offs would there be in the pipeline so that there couldn't be the extreme that happened with BP in the Gulf. Also, what kind of inspection would there be? What's the time frame for the inspections? Also, who is making money on this project and how much do they intend to make? What would be the liability, you know, just throw away a community?

Who has the final say on this? Is there going to be a vote. I remember the vote that was snuck in the middle of the summer about the Nassau Colosseum. We did didn't have any preparation for it, and they tried to railroad it through, which is what I feel is what happening with this, You are not considering the birds and the fish, aside from the people. In advertising I learned

one thing, the bigger the lie, the bigger the buy.
We're not buying.

MS. GOLDHAMMER: Thank you Ms. Stackman.

We will now hear from Mary Anne Sullivan followed

by James Donofrio.

MS. SULLIVAN: Mary Anne Sullivan.

Researcher and a member of the Committee on Energy, Agriculture, Environment and New York

State Legal Voters. I testify here today on my own behalf.

The Port Ambrose LNG application is the same one turned down by Governor Christie. It is an application that must be considered as an export as well as import due to the Deepwater Act of 2013, where all import and export applications are considered both. An export LNG port is likely to further incentivize the acceleration of natural gas exploration in Marcellus Shale.

Industrializing will contribute both further contribute to warming and pollution of the air, water and land. Currently the public comment period ends August 23rd. The public needs to be informed about this project, with an application in excess of 1,500 pages, the public needs at

least 120 days to seriously analyze this application and its implications, and an opportunity for more hearings and maybe perhaps in New York City.

Some important considerations include justification of the present and projected regional needs to import natural gas.

The safety of an LNG port situated in the middle of existing shipping lines, in consideration of 32 foot waves from Sandy. We need long term economic benefits for New York and New Jersey, associated with the import application, including local jobs and income related business and tax revenue.

The disruption of shipping, commerce and lishing while these huge LNG ships and vessels are under way, turning in and out of shipping lines. Exclusion of fishing is all around the port. Projected measurement of the additional greenhouse gas emissions, which would be released in the air during the operations, construction and decommission, and potential impacts on climate and water patterns, and efforts to minimize. The importance of minimization and mitigation

measures to ensure impacts on the environment from construction of a 22 mile pipeline and water, vessel water and discharge of treated water during operations, have been avoided or minimized to the greatest extent possible.

We need a fisheries detailed watering plan, threat to endangered species, such as specific whales, seals, sea turtles and fish need to be considered and the protections provided. How about safety measures to eliminate risks of collision by LNG, wind turbines from an expansive wind power project operating in the same area. That project was proposed a long time ago. The public needs at least 120 days for this LNG import and export application.

MS. GOLDHAMMER: Thank you, Ms. Sullivan
We now have James Denofrio followed by Wendy
Scher,

MR. DONOFRIO: Thank you. Jim Donofrio,
Executive Director Recreational Fish Alliance, for
National. We're a 501C4 political action
organization. Our mission statement is to protect
the lives of water anglers, support the
sustainable fisheries, maintain and protect the

said, our concern with the project is based on mission statement only.

We don't get into commodity trade or any of

that. That's not our deal. Our deal is the ocean impact on our anglers resources. I want to thank you for extending the comment period but I agree that it should be longer. What we're finding is that our anglers, our lishing groups, our fishermen are really not informed about this project. I think that has a lot to do with Sandy. We lost, I can't tell you how many tackle stores that toppled over into the bay at different areas between Long Island and New Jersey. So, there's a lot of devastation. I

think

we need time to sort this out. I believe there's a long rigorous process that we're going to go through; the Environmental Impact Statement, MARAD. Coast Guard and we're asking lots of questions.

We want to know where the pipeline is going, what kind of effects it's going to have on our resources and on our tishermen. All of that will be answered through that process but I

think we have to extend the period so that we can educate the anglers, our fishing community, on facts not fiction. Thank you very much.

MS, GOLDHAMMER: Thank you, Mr. Donofrio.
Wendy Scher followed by Scott Reeves.

MS. SCHER: Hello, My name is Wendy

Scher. I come from many organizations but
especially including one called Trade Justice in

NY Metro, which fights International Trade

Agreements from destroying our autonomy as well
as our environment and our basic rights.

So, on that note I'm really, really impressed by the amount of points here that so many have already covered, and the voices that are coming in right now. We can't even emphasis enough that there is something basically wrong with relying on shipments full of explosives for, like, chilled liquid, and relying on that as our fuel essential to our economy. We're relying on things that are explosive. We shouldn't have stoves that are explosive for that matter.

We have to totally start rethinking that.

Us, around here, seem to be opposed to any kind of addition to that infrastructure. That's the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

basic concept here. We don't want an addition of this kind of energy coming in. It's that simple. This is a project that would take ocean water and fill it up and fill it in the tanks are empty. Every use that comes in there, it's not going to survive being put into a tank and being expelled again, It's slowly killing the ocean, I'm sure that is part of the Environmental Impact that you'll be looking at during this whole process. That's just one example of how any of these mega products can be. The reason that we're seeing this come back, coming back to the Liberty project, the reason why it's so easy for them to bounce right back in, and say here's a professional company and proposing a safe guard. This is where all of the capital for this kind of project can be concentrated into energy that can be owned, that can drilled by not just anybody, but by the wealthiest companies that there are, You can't do that with solar or wind. Anybody can collect it. That's the big discussion we have to have. Our economy is not going to be democratic. not going to be validation in any way as long as

energy is so centralized.

MS. GOLDHAMMER: Thank you, Ms. Scher.

Next is Scott Reeves followed by Mercedes Schmidt.

MR. WINTER: Actually I'm not Scott

Reeves. He's in my union. His stomach is upset so if you don't mind I'm taking his place. My name is Scott Winter and I'm further on down the list if you just want to black me off.

MS. GOLDHAMMER: Okay.

MR. WINTER: Hello again. Thank you for very much for letting me speak here today. I'm Scott Winter. I'm the President and Business Manager of the Operating Engineer, Local 25 Marine Division. My local union does all the dredging from Maine all the way to Texas, including the beach replenishment that you guys so much enjoy.

Also, we do the off shore cable and pipeline crossing. On behalf of the project owner, and myself, I would like to show my support for this project. I know that must make me rather unpopular in this room, since I'm the only one standing up in support of this project.

25 What I'm trying to do here today is try to

I'm here to speak about the job creation of this project and also to refute the propaganda that was spread around about this plan.

A statement to the public by Clean Ocean

Action presented to the public in which they refer
to as a fact sheet, however, their statement
contains virtually no facts, but instead
propaganda, in which is used to misinform and
thus mislead the public in order to sway their
opinion on the real facts of this project.

Clean Ocean Action so-called fact sheet
also states that the energy port will also be
used for importing and exporting of natural gas.
Yet, another misconception regarding this project.
Thank you.

MS. GOLDHAMMER: The speaker gets a chance. Hold the comments.

MR. WINTER: Guys, I know I'm the unpopular guy in the room but I don't mind.

You've got to let me speak and I'll explain it to you. Always here two sides of the story,

In fact this project, and you should know this by now, is only permitted for import. It's

not permitted for export. There will be no exportation of natural gas from this pipeline facility. That is a fact.

Clean Ocean Action in a the statement eludes to the project being in the middle of a proposed off-shore wind area, like this project is going to somehow

AUDIENCE MEMBER; You're a union member, MS. GOLDHAMMER: You were allowed your time to speak. Hello, this is this person's time to speak. Everyone hold our comments and let this person speak.

MR, WINTER: You have to allot me more time to speak please. Clean Ocean alludes to the project being in the middle in a proposed off-shore wind area. Like this project is somehow going to impeded off-shore wind development.

My union will be providing much of the labor resources for the off-shore wind industry. I've been the leading organized advocate for the off-shore wind industry and I've been intimately involved with that off-shore wind project from its infancy stages.

Therefore, I can Inform you with all certainty

```
79
 1
            PORT AMBROSE PROJECT:
2
    that this project will not impede the off shore
3
    wind development.
          MS. GOLDHAMMER: You have 30 seconds
4
    left, sir.
5
          MR. WINTER: You're not interested in
6
7
    hearing any opposition?
8
          AUDIENCE MEMBER: No.
9
          MS. GOLDHAMMER: I gave you 3 minutes and
10
    gave you 30 seconds for the disruption.
          MR WINTER: Do you want me to conlinue
11
12
           not?
13
           AUDIENCE MEMBER: No.
          MR. WINTER: So you guys want to hear
14
           only one side of the story and not the
15
16
           other.
17
   I'm leaving the microphone, but I want to say that
18
   the real democracy that we live in, what I tought
19
   for in several wars, in real democracy you hear
20
   both sides of the story.
21
          MS. GOLDHAMMER: I just want to
22
   reiterate that. Our next speaker is Mercedes
   Schmidt. Hello. Everybody hold it. Hold it
23
24
   please. Everyone is getting a chance to speak.
```

We've heard from one side. When someone is

25

speaking for another side, let's listen respectfully to them too.

Now, we're going to have Mercedes Schmidt followed by Doug Kramer.

MS. SCHMIDT: I'm Mercedes Schmidt.

I'm a resident of Long Beach. I share the
concerns, environmental, safety and security
of this project. Most importantly, I've tried
researching on the web, Liberty Natural Gas, LLC.

I find basically nothing on it, except a blurb
that it's a subsidiary of some resources, who also
doesn't show much on the web, and doesn't show any
LNG connection whatsoever. Only oil and the
fracking and the shaling and sand oil in Canada.

It's located in Calgary but I don't see any
connections in any resource in LNG any way.

So, my concern is why are they doing it?

Who are they. I have not seen anything. This is a company's total extent of the website.

We're talking here investing Business week.com.

Recent company transactions have no transactions available in the past 12 months.

So, are we going to be a guinea pig? Please be a little bit more transparent and give a lot more

time.

MS. GOLDHAMMER: Thank you, Ms. Schmidt.

Our next speaker is Doug Kramer followed by Peter

Gollon. Is Doug Kramer here?

Okay. Peter Gallon please? Are you here? Thank

[THERE WAS NO RESPONSE]

MR. GOLLON: Good evening. My name is Peter Gollon. I'm the energy chair of the Long Island Sera Club. The National Sera Club is the country's oldest and largest grassroots organization. I came here to voice an opinion and also to note, that on that basis I'm embarrassed that many people who probably share my opinion would interrupt the speaker with another opinion and prevent me from learning something from him.

First, I appreciate the 30 day extension of the comment period but it is clearly inadequate and I respectfully request that we extend it to 120 days. It's really absurd to expect a detailed proposal to get thoughtful, science-based comments in such a short period.

Secondly, the scope of the analysis must address the need for natural gas in this area.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

-8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

or the need for any other fossil fuel for that matter. Both President Obama and Governor Cuomo have spoken in favor of a rapid transition to renewable energy, such as that from solar and wind-

The need for such a transition is supported by world wide scientific evidence, and if you need facts on the ground, just look around to see the effects of enhanced climate disruption caused by fossil fuels. The environmental impact must consider that this project will facilitate additional consumption of fossil tuels. It's especially true when such lossil fuels, as liquefied natural gas, has a large carbon impact, because it's not just that the gas was piped within a 100 miles or 300 miles from some fracking site, somewhere to the left of us. It was chilled, which consumes energy, It was transported here, which consumes energy. It's gasified, which consumes additional energy. So, another far greater impact than locally produced natural gas, which itself is not so great.

Why then build a facility here except to

```
- PORT AMBROSE PROJECT.
 1
    perhaps to export it. Such a facility would
2
.3
    impede rather than facilitate transition to a
4
    newer branch. I hope that Mr. Cuomo has the
5
    courage to follow his neighbor, Governor Christie
6
    in opposing this. His actions need to follow his
7
    words, Thank you.
8
           MS GOLDHAMMER: Thank you Mr Gollon:
9
    Mr. Bachman wants to say something please.
10
           MR. BACHMAN: Yes, we were going to
11
    shut down at 8:00, which was the scheduled time
12
    but we got a little late start. Since we still
13
    have quite a few speakers we're going to go until
14
    8:30. So, please keep it to three minutes.
15
    Hold the applause and things.
16
      If anybody has got their statement written
   out, if they could just submit to the reporter,
17
   that would be included with the transcript.
18
          AUDIENCE MEMBER: It's too hot in here,
19
          MR. BACHMAN: The outbursts and things,
20
21
    please, we're trying to get everybody in.
   So, if you have a written statement, submit it
22
23
   to the reporter, it's late so try and help out,
   We'll continue on until 8:30 tonight.
24
```

MS, GOLDHAMMER: Thank you, Mr, Bachman,

25

we'll now have Diane Antman followed by Crystal Lake.

MS. ANTMAN: My name is Diane Antman.

I am a long time resident of Long Beach. Since time is of the essence, I'm not going to go over all of the other points, numerous and wonderful points that previous speakers have made. I would like to speak a little bit on personal experiences and concerns.

Maybe someone in this room has seen what a gas explosion can do. I have personally, and specifically tomorrow there's going to be a meeting in Edison. New Jersey. Actually, that's where I'm originally from, the next town.

When I was a youngster there was a barge loading for Korea, and an accident occurred, an explosion. Now, it wasn't natural gas, but the explosion would be similar with natural gas, should it occur. Every window in the Town of Amboy, which is on the right river and adjacent to Edison, New Jersey, was blown out. I heard this explosion in Matouches, which was 5 to 7 miles away. I actually did a benefit concert at that time, at Clara Barton School, to help the people

.5

of that town put windows in all of their businesses.

So, very young I saw what a gas explosion can do. I studied chemistry. I worked in Edison at Minerals and Chemicals Industries before I came to New York and worked for Trans World Airlines. I would like to make the observation or the thought of what would happen If we had an aircraft that should hit that terminal while it is unloading. After all, a TWA flight went down there.

The other thing that I would like to mention quickly is that the gas line is just a few blocks from here, under the soil and shallow gas and it goes up Long Beach Road. That is where this natural gas is going to come, after being loaded in the terminal.

We can't handle fire on this island, according to the former police chief, we do not have the capability. I attended a meeting of the Harborites Association while being a representative. We would have to fly in, by helicopter or by land at a distance, to bring in the proper people. You can't do that

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In a hurricane. I was here in the midst of a hurricane. I can tell you very personally that we were very ill-prepared, and this is not going to happen. We'll have another Breezy Point here if that should occur. Thank you very much.

MS. GOLDHAMMER: Thank you. Next we have Crystal Lake followed by Cynthia Kouril.

MS. KOURIL: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. I've been a resident of the City of Long Beach for 50 years. My concern is the tie, which ties into the Trans-continental gas pipeline, which does go down Long Beach Road, and veers back over to the channel, which is a Federal Navigational Channel, Keep in mind that the metering station is there, along with 3 gas heaters. This is behind us. I also believe that I read a report prepared by Tetra, it pertained National Grid and former MGP site, section block and lot 59.2.4, which is part of that entity. Along Queensboro, formally known as Queensboro Gas and Electric, section block and lot 59.79.103. So, this is also includes the underground piping. This is what is behind us, ladies and gentlemen.

So, that tie-in and to have to type of

Sea level rise, climate change, storms surge,

More people should be reading the New York Sea

Level Rise 2010 that went to the Legislature

that does show the projections and how greatly

we are affected by sea level rise.

Also, in the area of the metering station, is an environmental justice area, which is protected by Presidential Executive Order, which runs 12898. Environmental Justice.

Also, the Environmental Justice Memoranda understands, which is signed into law about August 4, 2011 by our current President Obama. So, at the City of Long Beach, as residents, we have endured enough. The magnitude of what is behind us is astronomical. Now, the potential for something in front of us, with even far greater devastation that can occur, is unconscionable.

So, I ask you, ladies and gentlemen, hear our cries, hear our needs. This is not needed here.

We are a beach community we're a proud community and we're a loving community, and we're in survival mode. So, please, down with the project.

Thank you.

MS\_ GOLDHAMMER: Thank you Crystal Lake.

Cynthia Kourii followed by Craig Stevens.

MS. KOURIL: Hi, my name is Cynthia
Kouril, I'm an attorney. Early in my career,
I was counsel to the Inspector General, for the
New York City Department of Environmental
Protection. I was then cross-designated to the
United States Attorney's Office for the Southern
District of New York, where we brought cases
Involving environmental crimes, as well as crimes
committed by people under our jurisdiction.

If you remember years ago when the medical waste washed up on the beach, we solved that and put those people in Jall and took a 30 million dollar forfeiture from them.

Several years ago, there was a similar proposal for the Broadwater Project, and the Suffolk County Legislature was looking into bringing independent litigation to stop that project. They asked my law firm, and me specifically, to put together a proposal for how we would attack that project in litigation.

I apologize because I'm working from

memory because I had very short notice of this meeting, and I didn't have a chance to get out my six Banker's Boxes of research. One of the things that I recall from that research was that the studies relating to is leakage of liquid natural gas, and was only based on very small leaks, pinhole leaks, leaks the diameter of a pencil, leaks up to an inch.

No meaningful studies have ever been done about large breaches in the hull, of the chamber that holds the gas.

worked on an LNG tanker, that they are trained, they are certified to work on that to believe that if a tanker were to explode it would knock the earth out of its orbit. I don't know if that's true or not but I just know that is the training that he received because I read his text book. My objection to this project is the same as my objection to Broadwater. You do not put something that dangerous, that explosive, next to one of the largest population centers in the world, and a population center that is both a well known target of terrorist attacks, the financial

center of the world and therefore the first place that someone is going to want to hit as an act of war, and then the path of hurricanes. This is ludicrous. This project should fail in the earliest possible stage of this application process, because the flaws in it are so self evident.

MS. GOLDHAMMER: Thank you Ms. Kouril,
We'll now hear from Craig Stevens followed
by Jessica Roff.

MR\_ STEVENS: Good evening, folks\_
Craig Stevens, Pennsylvania. Let me show
you what happens to well water all over the
country when fracking happens. That's not
just one. This is a list of over 2,000 people
from all over the United States from 40 different
states that have had contamination issues over
the last 20 years.

I find it very funny when we stand here, and everytime I go to meetings, and I go to a lot of them, I'm from Oswego, New York. I'm a fifth generation New Yorker also. So, I'm here to talk to you as an ancestral person from both states.

The guy that stood up here earlier,

## . PORT AMBROSE PROJECT .

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 Mr. Winter, I will challenge you any day of the year and I have \$500 in my pocket to back it up. 3 4 There is no way in hell that they are going to 5 be importing any gas. There was a Wall Street Journal, just a week and a half ago, natural gas drops on new signs of tallen demand. There is no demand for importing. They are lying to you and they wouldn't spend one dollar to do it. How do I know this? North Dakota, they're burning all of the gas in North Dakota. They do not capture one bit of it because nobody will invest in a pipeline, not one bit. Trillions of cubic feet a day are being burned off. You can see it from space. They're not going to import gas from Trinidad.

So, let me lell you about the lies that happen. This is the Constitution Pipeline, which also connects to the Airco Pipeline. That comes right here to this island. The Airco Pipeline connects to the Transco, also owned by Williams.

Williams, on May 17th presented to all of us, and by the way they wanted to Eminent Domain and steal our property

from us. They like to use that a lot too.

They will use that here also. On the second page the project provides a new opportunity to deliver gas supply to northeast markets through inter-connects with existing Airco and Tennessee gas pipeline systems. Liars.

Here's 4 days later, their own document. It says, key points, LNG Exports is number 4.

My dad died in our ancestral home April 30, 2007. I didn't know about fracking but he did. He said these guys lie for a living. First thing they'll do is buy off all of the politicians. Read that document, It's fracking and the revolving door in Pennsylvania. All of our 5 former Governors are working for the industry, some of them are EVP.

Here's my issues. There's a better way folks. Here's the 2030 energy plan from Dr. Jacobson. Dr. Graffia and Dr. Howard. Build a wind farm. Do you know what happens if we buy into it? If a hurricane happens, you're not going to need power or not going to need gas. Putting gas aside, that wind farm can provide the energy to rebuild this community, today right now. The day the wind stops blowing and the sun stops coming up, we

won't have to worry about an LNG import or export facility. Thank you very much.

MS. GOLDHAMMER: Thank you. We'll hear from Jessica Roff followed by Captain Donald Popella.

MS ROFF: My name is Jessica Roff.

I'm a fourth generation Brooklynite. I'm going
to talk real fast because I've got a lot to
say. I have been doing Sandy relief work,
after doing years of activism. I've been in
the Rockaways since November 1st.

As we all know all of these areas have been devastated by Hurricane Sandy. Investing in extreme and dangerous energy, which leads to climate change causes storms like Sandy to happen. It makes them worse every single time. It's literally the definition of insanity. If you do the same thing over again and expect a different result you are insane.

Therefore, what we should be doing is actually building infrastructure on moving forward.

If we rebuild our previous infrastructure you're going to keep using it. You don't throw out imports, oh yes, imports. No, they're going to

do exports. If you invest billions of dollars in infrastructure you plan to use it, which is why this is not a transition and not investing in the future. This is straight energy, which is a problem. It will also push for fracking. We all know it's going to destroy our water, our food, our land, and air and causes all of these problems where you can't do anything with energy anyway. We need to have a different system because protections don't work, as you can see from Craig's water. This industry is the worst regulated and we can't get them to do anything anyway.

Look at what's happening with BP and this case the money is actually in the Cayman Islands. What are we going to do when we can't actually follow the chain of where the money is? Who is responsible? That, we're just asking for trouble. I was told earlier that there's a safeguard, there was a gentleman who walked out and didn't want to hear the rest of the supporters. I heard him say that it's safe because if there's a leak, It's just a gas that will seep and go up into the air. It's not like an oil leak. Have you heard

of methane? That's one of the most disruptive gases on the planet. Greenhouse gas emission is just going to add to the problems.

Okay, so, those numbers that their talking about and jobs, jobs and jobs. We all know 6 jobs. They're all crap and they all lie and never true.

You want good jobs, you bring them in, you bring in wind, solar and thermal, because those are best for our future. Those are the alternatives that Tetra Tech needs to be looking at and not if we did a pipe this way or that way, It's ridiculous. That is an alterative and that is on our future. This is what we need to be doing when rebuilding Sandy, instead of making our system worse and worse.

As you've heard, Governor Christie already
vetoed this project, right. Since when did

New York become the dumping ground for New Jersey.
Give me a break. Come on. I want everyone to
take out your phone right now. Let me give you a
phone number. This is Governor Cuomo's phone.

Call Governor Cuomo and tell you that you don't
want this project. Phone number 518-474-8390

Tell him that what is not good for New Jersey is definitely not good for New York.

MS. GOLDHAMMER: Thank you. We'll next hear from Captain Donald Popella followed by Roger Clayman.

MR. POPELLA: Hello. My name is Captain

Donald Popella\_ I'm one of the founding member of
the newly formed Bay Shepherds Maritime

Preservation Group. Besides the very real and
worrying environmental questions, and the impacts
that this project immediately presents locally,
the possibility that Liberty's Port Ambrose

Project could evolve into a deportation facility
is even more alarming. More people in more
non-local areas, that aren't even aware of this,
could be adversely effected if this project is
approved. That's not fair. Not only the local
people here are effected by this project, and how
you were trying to slide through the door with
such haste and such refuge.

I am proud to say that I have lived, worked and enjoyed my life on the water as a Captain and then a commercial fisherman and clammer.

I know and have witnessed the fragility of the

environment just with natural problems and disasters, let alone this enormous man made project using an antiquated source of energy.

Just so that you know, I've been a sailor and a surfer for 50 years, using wind and waves to provide natural powers and primary source for transportation and enjoyment, and I'm damn proud of it.

I don't think that the PWC jet skiers or the go fast fuel burning monsters that thunder through our waterways can say the same thing. We had a saying back in the old days that's even more important today. Be a good steward to your environment and leave it better than you found it. I think that applies here. Just a side note, I want to let you know that the members of the Union are very busy, not in the room anymore, but very busy holding the bar up out there. Thank you very much.

MS. GOLDHAMMER: Okay. Roger Clayman followed by Elliot Figman.

MR, CLAYMAN: Here's a member of the Union still in the room. My name is Roger Clayman, I'm Executor Director of Long Island Federation

of Labor. We represent 250,000 union members and their families living on Long Island. The highest priority of our Federation is the creation of jobs. The projects generate positive benefits for our communities. We believe the Port Ambrose Deepwater Port Project is viable for Long Island working families and an economic benefit to our region.

The Port Ambrose Project will create over 600 good paying construction jobs at a time when jobs are very much needed on Long Island. We believe these jobs will be union jobs, which means the positive impact of good wages and benefits we return to our communities.

Providing additional sources of energy for

Long Island is critical for economic growth and

jobs opportunities today and for future

generations of working families. That's why we,

like our Brother's in Local 25, also believe in

renewable energy, and have worked hard and lobbied

for wind energy in the same area.

This project brings a clean source of energy to Long Island and helps reduce energy costs. It reduce energy costs to New York

I

consumers but up to \$325 million dollars annually.

Our Federation has a responsibility to represent

the many concerns of our members and the concerns

that they have day to day as consumers.

This project is about providing good jobs, providing a clean source of energy and helping everyone pay the utility bills. It strikes the right balance and helps meet our energy needs. Thank you.

MS. GOLDHAMMER: Next is Elliot Figman followed by Catherine Skopic.

MR. FIGMAN: I'm Elliot Figman, I'm with the same energy project. We witnessed a critical climate change milestone. We're now past 400 parts per million carbon dioxide in our atmosphere.

The science tells us that in order to maintain a stable climate we need to reduce the concentration of CO2 to no more than 350 parts per million. Doing the infrastructure like the Port Ambrose facility, which will lock us in to the use of fossil fuel for decades, when we should be embracing clean, renewable energy, is nothing short of insane.

I once worked in Massachusetts, and taught in a small town in Massachusetts. I taught English there. There was another teacher there, Bernie Summers, and he was a very popular math teacher. He was married to a woman and the woman, they had 3 daughters that adored him. Great guy but the only problem is that he smoked 2 packs of cigarettes a day. At age 45 he had a heart attack and his doctor was blunt, cut out the smoking or you're going to die a young man, but he didn't stop. He kept right on smoking and a year later he suffered the second heart attack and died.

Our planet is very similar. We human beings are smoking 2 packs a day. Our smoking consists of burning fossil fuels and continuing to spew CO2.

methane and other heat traveling gases into the atmosphere. In our world and Bernie's heart

attack

and the violent storms like Sandy, raging bonfires that just killed 19 young fire fighters in

Arizona.

25 and the one in a hundred year floods that killed

- 5

10,000 people in India. These warnings, just like Bernie's heart attack, are obvious.

The scientists, just like Bernie's doctor, were clear. Stop pouring CO2, methane and other heat trapping gases into the atmosphere or we're doomed.

Will stopping this project solve the problem?

No, that won't do. You don't get to decide if the Keystone XL pipeline will forward. You don't get to decide whether coal will be shipped from the west coast ports to Asia. You do have a wonderful and important responsibility, you do get to decide whether this LNG Port is built.

For the sake of our planet, for the sake of our kids, do the right thing and deny the application and still consider it a dangerous project.

MS. GOLDHAMMER: Next we have Catherine
Skopic followed by Stephen Edmondson. I just want
to say that we only have the room for 15 more
minutes and we have 36 speakers still.
So, if you have to say has already been said
maybe you can defer to the next person. Thank
you.

MS. SKOPIC: There is no Planet B, not

In the immediate vicinity anyway. For those of us here now, it is our responsibility to care for this planet and to give it to our children and all future generations in a better shape than we found it. For us, that means eliminating the prehistoric use of fossil fuels and transitioning to renewables.

My name is Catherine Skopic and I'm speaking as a citizen, mother, as an educator and environmentalist. I have worked with many groups, both sacred and secular. I've worked with the Coalition of New Yorkers against Fracking and Food and Water Wells amongst many others.

Just for interest sake, show your hands if you are against this project. There you have it.

That's pretty effective. As has been mentioned, there is a plan for all of New York State, in addition to the wind farm, for all of New York State to be renewable by 2030. This is done by Marc Jacobson from Stamford University along with Robert Howard and Anthony Graffia of Cornell University. Please look at that. It is possible.

We don't need this.

Also, I would like to point out it you are

really interested in the truth about the economics of the fossil fuel industry Google Jeanette Barth. She's done extensive studies. So, with all good intentions the fossil fuel companies don't mean to have accidents but they do. There's no safe way. We had BP. Look at what has happened in Canada: We have pipelines criss-crossing our country. It's time to take it back. This is our country and we do not want fossil fuels. We want a clean earth to give to our children. Thank you.

MS. GOLDHAMMER: Thank you. Next is

Stephen Dickenson followed Anthony Gasiewski,

Stephen Dickenson?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: It's Edmondson,

MS. GOLDHAMMER: Edmondson, thank you.

MR. EDMONDSON: Steve Edmondson, on the Board of Directors for the Oceanside Civic Association, which is a lovely city that has a brown field in it from Gulf Oil, and also on an emergency response team which responded to the disaster in Long Beach. I'm also a friend with the Coast Guard and I didn't hear no plan because they closed the Coast Guard in Atlantic Beach. They have a skeleton crew at Point Look

Out in Fire Island.

So, if this thing blows what is your plan? I just talked to the Fire Marshall out there and he told me, no comment. So, I want to know who is going to respond to a disaster out there? I don't think Long Beach has a fire boat because they don't even have a hospital anymore.

So, we're taking patients from Long Beach to Oceanside because the hospital is closed and no one has decided whether they're going to open the hospital, close the hospital, demolish the hospital. What are they going to do with the hospital?

How about at this meeting tonight. David

Denemberg, who is not even my County Legislator,
but I'd love to know where Denise is and I don't
know if she is a democrat or republican this week.
and they changed the district. We don't even
know where Copel is or any of the 3 men that are
running for County Executive tonight. Thank you.

MS. GOLDHAMMER: Anthony Gaslewski followed by Tina Posteru,

MR. GASIEWSKI: My name is Anthony Gasiewski. I'm with the Operating Engineer,

```
1
             - PORT AMBROSE PROJECT -
 2
    Local 25. We do work all over the world.
    Right here, I sit here and listen to all
3
    of these people. I am from Jersey. Believe
4
    it fellows but you did not get hurt that bad
5
6
    like we did in Jersey. You guys cry for sand
7
    and cry for work.
8
          MS. GOLDHAMMER: Listen to the speaker.
9
       MR. GASIEWSKI: It's not just you guys,
   it's everybody got hurt. I'm speaking and I hear
10
   everybody talking. People all want jobs.
11
   This is what it's all about, jobs.
12
13
     We're here to talk about LNG and what safety
14
   do we have - I take my hat off to these people. I
15
   got 38 years in this business. I'm the third
   generation of Local 25. My grandfather worked
16
17
            with
   these people and the Coast Guard. That's the
18
19
   protection right there fellas I say I support
   the LNG. Yes.
20
     MS. GOLDHAMMER: Thank you. Tina Posteru
21
   followed by Daniel Engel:
22
       MS. POSTERU: The fact that time ran
23
   out with 36 more speakers to go really says it
```

all. I'm here tonight to speak on my own behalf

25

- PORT AMBROSE PROJECT-

as a resident of Long Beach, as well as express concerns on behalf of Riverkeeper, the organization that I work for.

I moved to this community because of the water. Right now we have a bay that is in serious trouble due to sewage discharge and toxic spills from Sandy. I don't want to see the integrity of our ocean and environment compromised by an extremely volatile project that won't even go toward the economic benefit of our community or State for that matter.

As many people have said here tonight, that benefits from Port Ambrose will be overseas energy markets that would benefit from them a new access point from US natural gas exports.

My 12 year old daughter and her friends
are junior lifeguards and surfers, and they are
in our ocean everyday. I don't want them
subjected to the 3.5 million gallons of chemically
treated sea water used for pipe tests and worse.

Port Ambrose will effect all clean ocean uses and is in a pathway to hurricanes, which we know first-hand are increasing in intensity.

As you can all see sitting here, you are right

## - PORT AMBROSE PROJECT-

in the middle of a community that hasn't even recovered yet from the impacts of the last one. Anything on top of this would be total devastation.

On behalf of Riverkeeper, we're concerned about this port's potential to lead to more fracking in the northeast and about the risks it poses to the safety of the people living along coastal areas and to the marine life.

We're joining in the call to extend the public comment period by at least 120 days, and to schedule additional hearings in potential communities that will be impacted by this.

If our fill right to consider and weigh in on the impacts of this project are honored and we are given the time necessary to voice our concerns, it will be plain for all to see that the only answer to Liberty Port in Port Ambrose is, no.

MS. GOLDHAMMER: Thank you. Daniel
Engel. This is our last speaker but if anybody
had any written comments bring them over to the
Court Reporter. Thank you.

MR. ENGEL: HI, ['m Dan Engel, I

## -PORT AMBROSE PROJECT.

represent myself, my daughter, my wife and Local 25. I am a Long Islander. I was born and raised in Albertson and I moved to Locust Valley on the North Shore and mostly a shell fisher by trade. I'm a Merchant Marine, fully licensed and I work as a dredger.

You people think that the only thing is to stop all of these productions. I got something to tell you, without the dredging, I have no work. Because of New York stopping everything, I am unemployed, and running out of unemployment. I have an autistic child that I can no longer provide for because you people block all of this funding and all this work that I need to go to.

You don't want this gas to happen anymore?

All of you go and walk home right now and don't get in your God damn cars. On top of it.

slaughter all of the cows that live in the midwest that produce more methane than any well or drill in America.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Why is he cursing? There's children here.

MR: ENGEL: Shut the hell up. I have

-PORT AMBROSE PROJECT-

said time and time again that I have to provide to my family. I've lived here. I'm not going to leave here and any time I get a job it's Delaware, New Jersey or anywhere else because they are accepting these things and working with these companies to do the best things. I've dug wells, dug dredging, put pipe in the bottom of the water. and you know what, there hasn't been a million explosions, just 1 or 2. You get the occasional soot. You people suck and you can all go to hell.

MS. GOLDHAMMER: Excuse me. Everyone has their chance to speak. We listened to the opposition and we need to listen to the other side. We're at the end of our time this evening. I'm going to pass this over to Mr. Bachman.

MR. BACHMAN: I do want to thank you all for coming. Please send your comments to the docket. It will be considered just as though they were spoken here. So, send them in to the docket. Thank you for coming. This concludes the Public Scoping Meeting for Port Ambrose Deepwater License Application and we are now adjourned. Thank you.

[TIME NOTED: 8:30 P.M.]

CERTIFICATION COUNTY OF SUFFOLK) SS: STATE OF NEW YORK) 1. Charmaine DeRosa, Certified Court Reporter, in the State of New York, do hereby certify: THAT, the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes taken in the matter of the PUBLIC MEETING, on this 9th day of July, 2013. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand on this 9th day of July, 2013. Cleman Delosa Charmaine DeRosa, CSR 

Tuesday July 9, 8:04pn Danielle E. Bissett Nassau County Resident Baldwin, NY 11510 hould like to show my support for a longer public response period and The need for more information especially about all parties involved Thank you.

## PUBLIC SCOPING COMMENTS

## **PUBLIC SCOPING MEETING**

U.S. Coast Guard and the Maritime Administration Port Ambrose Project (USCG-2013-0363)

July 9, 2013 ♦ 6:00 - 8:00 PM (Public Scoping Meeting) ♦ Long Beach, New York

Comments can be: (1) left at the sign-in table in the comment repository; (2) mailed to the address below; OR (3) submitted electronically through the web site for the Docket Management System, at www.regulations.gov.

Please send one copy referenced to Docket No. (USCG-2013-0363) to the address below:

Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation West Building, Ground Floor, Room W12-140 1200 New Jersey Avenue, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20590-0001

Comments: (Please Print; Please continue on back or attach an additional sheet if necessary.)	
Where do use as after benefit from this plant? my home !	nad
a corpor monkeyde trailineten they had to that down the gas to	m
home. Tithat are you going to do to keep us Safe. Our shores	ore
too busey for this type of tanker. You are not putting Something	NO
that will make or keep over I along sale. We don't least that we need	_
3 conthing in sup a housely Populated area. Because of humana	5
snow storms etc. This is dangerous for all of has. (I had a bri	211
Tumor remarch, planse, excuse my winting)	_
	_
Commenter's Name and Mailing Address: (Please Print)	
Caprice Ponts Rines	
29 Carolina Are	
Hempstead ny 11550	



WEST CHESTER STREET LONG BEACH, N.Y. 1150 (516, 431-1000 FAX (510) 691-1389

CHARLES T. THEOFAN CITY MANAGER

The Honorable David A. Paterson State Capitol Albany, NY | 2224

February 6, 2009

Dear Governor Paterson,

The members of the Long Beach City Council, Long Beach, NY have asked me to write you to express our unanimous opposition to the construction of the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) deep water part proposed by the private investment group, the Atlantic Sea Island Group, 13 miles aff the coast of Long Beach, NY. We strongly urge you to veto this proposal.

Our City is an ocean beach community. There is a 2/4 mile rong boardwalk and the adjacent beach which is a 3.5-mile stretch of white sand open to the public year round. The construction of a LNG receiving, storage and regasification facility would greatly affect both our waters and the quality of life for our residents.

The council strongly apposes this idea as it would industrialize our aublic waters, encargers the delicate ecosystem and has the potential to create a public safety catastrophe.

We understand the need for increased energy resources in our State. However, we do not believe a manimade 50.5-acre island that states liquefied natural gas is the correct approach. Any benefits derived from enhancing the natural gas supply are outweighted by the negative environmental and safety issues as well as, the disruptive navigational and recreational impacts this project will have on our waters and our community.

The council believes that the proposed project pases unoccupiable risks from the standpoint of environmental issues, safety and water security. The council respectfully requests that you consider our commants and apposition in your celiberations on this important issue.

We further request that you support our apposition to the proposal by vetoing it. We thank you in advance for your time and consideration.

Respectfully,

Charles T. Theolan

City Manager, City of Long Beach, New York

Merri Gastauson 551 W Walnut St I came here toright to see that See what this project was about The time trane and minimal hourings ongened me. Why? Don't you want beveryones input. I am very concerned about any possible recontre effects for the Ocean our beaches our communities. This is not how I think our Country Should be heading. We need closh, renewable energy. I'll Support that and I will pay more for it. Hear I am againest this. To hear Out of these concerned and informed people out of these concerned and informed people comment on Fracting and the ease of thipping comment on Every fealily is down now summer this to an export fealily is down now summer the to an export fealily is down now in how summer the town only speak for myself and my heads are now speak for myself and my heads and bandy. Right now we our tight of our insurance companies, we are nywing our Mortgage Companies and we are pulling together and Orghting to restore our community
Now we will fight this project
Why take these risks? Who is making
money. I amnot interested in any
cheep energy. I want my government and
the promote renewable energy
its openess to promote renewable energy Ocean and our communities can not Le replaced, this seems like The fact that Fracking 1550 in Pennsylvana has next show that the 5 ex governors. House government otherals will go to work for these Franking Companies reminds me Of the Enn and Millians Of the FDA and Monsanto. Cameriment should protect the people

Testimony at the Maritime Administration Public Hearing re the Port Ambrose Application 7/9/2013

My name is Mary Anne Sullivan. I am an environmental researcher and a member of the committee on energy, agriculture and the environment of the New York State League of Women Voters. I testify today on my own behalf. Thank you for this opportunity to testify.

The Port Ambrose LNG Application is the same application opposed by Gov. Christie. It is an application which must be considered as an export as well as import application due to the Deepwater Act of 2013 whereby all import/export applications are considered as both. An export LNG port is likely to further incentivize acceleration of natural gas exploration in Marcellus shale. This will industrialize the land above and further contribute to warming and pollution of the air, water and land. Currently, the public comment period ends July 23<sup>rd</sup> and the public needs to be informed about this project. With an Application in excess of 1000 pages, the public needs at least 120 days to seriously analyze this application and its implications and an opportunity for more hearings including in New York City.

Some important considerations include:

- Justification of the present and projected regional need to import natural gas.
- The safety of an LNG port situated in the middle of existing commercial shipping lanes with consideration of the 32 ft. waves from Sandy.
- The lack of long-term economic benefits for NY and NJ associated with the import application, including local jobs, related business income and tax revenue.
- The disruption of shipping, commerce and fishing, while these huge LNG ships (SRVs) and vessels that serve them are underway and turning in and out of shipping lanes.
- The exclusion of fishing in a zone around the port.
- Projected measurement of the additional greenhouse gas emissions which will be released into the air during operations, construction and decommissioning; potential impacts on climate and weather patterns and efforts to minimize these emissions.
- The avoidance, minimization and mitigation measures to ensure that impacts on the aquatic environment from construction of the 22 mile pipeline and port, RLNG vessel water intake and discharge of treated water during operations have been avoided or minimized to the extent practicable. This includes a detailed fisheries monitoring plan.
- The threat to endangered species such as specific whales (North Atlantic Right Whale), fish (Atlantic Sturgeon), sea turtles and seals and protections provided.
- Comprehensive safety measures to eliminate risks of collision by LNG vessels with wind turbines from an expansive wind power project operating in the same area; the latter is a project proposed over a year ago.

The public needs at least 120 days to give sufficient attention to this LNG import/export application near the NY/NJ harbor, as well additional hearings in major cities.

Mary Anne Sullivan Environmental Researcher

maryannedsullivan@gmail.com 60 Riverside Dr., NY,NY 10024

2 attachments - Download all attachments View all images

Attempt2\_StilltheSame.png 229K View Download

Attempt1\_Withdrawn.png 116K View Download

this should have been a fair proceeding to where there world have been greationed to armers. There was no enformation given here no discussion. Reso, to have a meeting like this.

in a place where peoples lives have leas destroyed
from Surdy for environment is shifty to Shealingth
Not many people will be line to object to object. Nature amovered work that me world de Try over country of larth money good power against the public Money good by the public of 2/8 active of Lyrend, ory 11563

A	18:21 19:12	agencies 8:10	Amboy 84:21
abandoned	71:20 82:13	10:16 11:6	Ambrose 1:3
34:13	82:21 98:16	16:9,16 18:20	2:1 3:1 4:1,21
ability 63:17	107:13	32:4 35:15,19	5:1,5 6:1,7,11
able 4:9 13:5	address 4:25	agency 5:3 7:17	6:15,20 7:1
26:18 39:2	81:25	7:20 9:15	8:1 9:1 10:1
aborted 25:13	addressed 7:23	11:16	11:1,22 12:1
absolute 29:21	16:24 22:22	ago 26:16	13:1 14:1
absolutely 44:9	25:8 61:19	40:21 46:6	15:1,2 16:1
absurd 81:21	68:21	72:14 88:14	17:1 18:1
Accelerated	adhere 2:23	88:18 91:6	19:1,23 20:1
42:19	adjacent 6:23	agree 73:8	21:1 22:1,7
acceleration	13:23 84:21	Agreements	23:1 24:1
70:18	adjourned	74:11	25:1 26:1
accepting 109:6	109:23	agriculture	27:1 28:1
access 106:15	adjust 65:3	34:14 70:9	29:1 30:1
accident 84:17	ADMIN 1:10	ahead 38:8	31:1 32:1
accidents 23:5	administration	air 34:14 35:13	33:1,25 34:1
	1:3 2:16 5:12	70:22 71:22	35:1,21 36:1
103:6	6:18 7:3,15	94:8,25	37:1,25 38:1
accomplish	9:20 11:5,14	Airco 91:19,20	38:2,10 39:1
26:19	11:19 14:11	92:6	40:1 41:1
accurate	24:20,20	aircraft 85:10	42:1,17 43:1
110:13	31:13.19 44:4	Airlines 85:8	43:13 44:1
act 5:9,10 7:2,9	administrator	alarming 54:15	45:1 46:1
7:13 15:19	8:22 10:8,12	96:15	47:1 48:1
43:23 44:5,9	11:19 12:6,20	Company of the Compan	49:1 50:1
44:18 45:7	13:2	Albany 26:25 29:11	51:1 52:1
65:23 70:15			53:1 54:1
90:3	admitted 67:14	Albertson 108:4	
action 8:5 18:6	adored 100:7	Alfonse 56:2	55:1 56:1,24
20:12 41:4	Adrienne 50:19	57:13	57:1 58:1
50:22 51:8	52:25 53:4	Alliance 72:21	59:1 60:1
72:22 77:7,13	ads 18:16	allot 78:14	61:1 62:1
78:5	adverse 13:14	allow 41:23	63:1 64:1
actions 83:6	31:25	45:7 46:2	65:1 66:1
active 43:16	adversely 96:17	64:15	67:1 68:1
activism 93:11	advertising	allowed 44:10	69:1 70:1,12
activity 10:5	69:25	47:18 62:24	71:1 72:1
actuality 64:8	advice 39:15	78:10	73:1 74:1
add 66:2 95:4	Advisory 55:24	alludes 78:15	75:1 76:1
adding 5:10	advocate 22:4	ally 29:10	77;1 78:1
addition 5:11	78:21	alterative 95:14	79:1 80:1
16:13 17:2,20	afford 68:11	alternative 18:2	81:1 82:1
20:10 74:25	afternoon 39:21	18:3,4,5,6	83:1 84:1
75:2 102:19	39:22 40:15	41:4	85:1 86:1
additional 9:5	43:22 63:10	alternatives 8:6	87:1 88:1
50-30-110-31 5-32	age 100:9	17:25 95:12	89:1 90:1

1 22 2 3 5 5 5	1 62.53	Na. 2 220 420	V
91:1 92:1	16:11	90:6 101:16	Army 13:19
93:1 94:1	annually 99:2	109:23	around-about
95:1 96:1,13	answer 19:11	applications	30:10
97:1 98:1,6	20:24 26:2	5:13,14 7:16	arrange 17:25
98:10 99:1,22	107:19	10:7 12:12	array 5:25
100:1 101:1	answered 73:25	17:6 42:12,14	art 64:22,24
102:1 103:1	answers 37:23	44:7 70:16	artist 63:12
104:1 105:1	37:23	applies 97:16	64:21
106:1,14,22	Anthony 102:22	appreciate	Asia 42:10
107:1,19	103:13	14:18 27:21	101:12
108:1 109:1	104:22,24	81:18	aside 25:6
109:22	antiquated 97:4	apprised 22:9	69:25 92:22
amend 45:22	Antman 84:2,4	approach 22:11	asked 31:23
amended 5:9	84:4	appropriate	59:13 88:22
6:14 33:5	anybody 38:13	7:20 11:6	asking 31:13
41:21 43:9,25	75:20,22	approval 6:25	47:17 60:24
amendment	83:16 107:22	10:25 11:6	63:15 73:21
6:16 43:12	anymore 52:23	67:13	94:19
44:11 45:6	97:18 104:8	approvals 44:21	aspect 15:20
amendments	108:17	approve 7:2	aspects 28:6
44:8 45:24	anyway 94:9	10:8,9 12:24	assembly 26:12
America 45:15	102:2	13:23,24 14:3	26:12 29:8
108:22	apologize 88:25	14:4	48:10
American 48:12	apologizing	approved 10:24	Assemblyman
48:15 63:18	63:12	13:17 44:19	26:14 57:13
amount 29:21	apparently 35:6	44:22 96:18	assessment
42:14 45:9	appeared 6:21	approving	10:18
50:3 74:14	applauding 4:10	35:16	asset 66:19
analysis 7:18	46:21	approximately	assist 14:12
8:13 10:11	applause 21:3	5:18 26:16	15:15 19:16
12:17 17:11	52:15 83:15	April 6:17	Associate 11:18
81:24	applicable 13:6	92:10	associated 9:14
analyze 17:24	applicant 13:4	aquifer 27:11	71:13
32:7 71:2	13:5	40:4	Association
ancestral 90:24	application 5:5	Arabia 67:19	36:11,12
92:10	5:22 6:9,14	area 19:8 49:13	68:12 85:22
anchoring 5:24	6:16,17,20	55:10,12 57:3	103:19
10:22	8:16 9:14	58:16 61:9	associations
anglers 72:24	11:22 12:4,9	62:14 72:13	66:9,10
73:7,10 74:3	12:19,25	78:7,17 81:25	assume 33:13
animal 34:14	13:25 14:16	87:8,9 98:22	assurances
Anne 69:4 70:5	19:23 32:2	areas 10:22	13:4
70:7	35:20 40:24	15:10 30:2	assure 14:17
announce 18:12	41:5 45:13	47:8 73:15	astronomical
announced 7:25	61:25 70:12	93:13 96:16	87:17
8:2 19:25	70:14,24 71:3	107:10	Atlantic 51:18
announces	71:13 72:16	Arizona 100:24	56:4 60:16

64:15 103:24	back 3:16 24:7	54:18,22,25	67:20 84:24
atmosphere	37:11 38:12	55:25 56:7,19	98:8 106:11
99:17 100:20	39:24 40:7	57:9,11 58:2	106:15
101:6	47:20 51:3	60:7,12,16,17	benefits 71:12
attack 59:19	52:6,9 58:4	60:18 63:11	98:5.15
88:24 100:10	60:14 61:8	63:21 64:13	106:14
100:13,21	63:13 75:13	64:13,14,14	Bernie 100:5
101:3	75:13,15	64:16.16	Bernie's 100:20
attacks 89:25	86:14 91:3	65:10,11,22	101:3,4
attend 4:18	97:13 103:9	65:23 76:17	best 68:10,24
attended 85:21	background 5:6	80:7 84:5	95:11 109:7
attending 11:20	bad 40:11	85:16 86:11	bet 65:12
attorney 88:6	48:13 51:3,12	86:13 87:15	better 54:17
Attorney's	51:13,13,14	87:23 88:15	92:17 97:15
88:10	51:15 105:5	103:22,25	102:5
AUDIENCE 78:9	balance 99:9	104:7,9 106:2	biding 65:2,18
79:8,13 83:19	Banker's 89:4	beached 39:23	big 32:20 35:8
103:15	bar 97:19	beaches 56:12	75:23
108:23	barely 42:5	66:18,21	bigger 12:12
August 8:23 9:2	barge 39:24	Beacon 1:12	70:2,2
32:8 70:23	84:16	2:13	Bight 5:18
87:14	barges 49:9	bearing 14:17	bill 25:23
authority 6:24	61:15	32:12	billions 94:2
12:3,7 42:13	barrier 26:22	beat 30:24	bills 99:8
autistic 108:13	28:8 56:13	beaten 30:23	biodiversity
autonomy	57:16	beautiful 40:9	34:15
74:11	Barth 103:3	becoming 26:4	biologists 15:12
availability	Barton 84:25	67:19	biology 17:16
18:13,14	base 63:5	began 16:5	bird 55:15
available 9:17	based 13:3	43:8	birds 69:24
18:24 19:6	15:7 48:3	beginning 22:13	bit 80:25 84:9
32:3 60:23	53:22 60:23	52:16	91:12,13
80:23	73:3 89:7	behalf 30:4	black-listed
average 62:5	basic 74:12	66:8 70:11	57:18
avoided 72:5	75:2	76:20 105:25	blame 39:3
aware 22:12	basically 3:17	106:3 107:6	block 76:9
28:14 41:17	74:17 80:11	beings 100:15	86:19,22
46:24 96:16	basis 81:14	believe 22:10	108:14
40.24 30.10	bay 30:2 73:14	22:22 23:10	blocks 85:15
В	96:9 106:6	35:25 41:19	Bloomberg
B 101:25	beach 1:5 5:19	42:17 73:18	27:12
Babylon 54:25	22:4,8,11	86:17 89:15	blowing 92:25
Bachman 1:9	23:10,15	98:6,13,20	blown 84:22
2:15 4:14,16	26:23 27:21	105:4	blowout 38:24
4:22 83:9,10	28:8 29:18	Bellmore 24:5	blows 104:3
83:20,25			
109:16.17	31:8 46:9	benefit 22:18	blue 3:22 20:18
102/10/1/	48:23 54:16	23:3 30:12	blunt 100:10

blurb 80:11	88:21	97:18,19	94:16
Board 103:18	brings 98:23	buy 36:3,4	cases 88:11
boat 104:7	Broadwater	59:11,25 60:2	catastrophe
boating 52:21	53:13 88:19	70:2 92:13,20	68:11
boats 61:24	89:21	buying 50:13	catastrophes
Bobis 63:9 66:2	Broadway 1:5	70:3	62:21
66:3	Brookhaven	1.10	categorically
bonfires 100:22	53:7	C	39:15
booing 4:10	Brooklyn 36:3	cable 76:19	Catherine 99:12
book 64:25	Brooklynite	Calgary 80:16	101:18 102:9
65:2 89:19	93:8	call 3:10 21:14	Catskill 31:6
boos 21:3	Brother's 98:20	21:15,16 33:3	Catskills 33:18
borders 54:3	brought 25:24	95:24 107:11	caused 82:10
Borecky 66:2,5	38:4 44:25	called 15:23	causes 93:16
66:7,7 68:22	51:6 88:11	32:5 54:13	94:8
68:23	brown 39:23	74:9	Cayman 38:11
born 108:3	103:20	calling 11:12	38:13,18
Boston 1:11	Bruce 31:3	41:5	94:16
37:5,6	buck 39:2	calls 60:15	center 89:24
bothers 67:11	Buffalo 53:8	Campaign 53:5	90:2
67:14,15	build 37:17,20	Canada 38:20	centered 31:7
bottom 30:13	40:18 46:23	80:15 103:7	centers 89:23
39:10 40:5	82:25 92:19	capability 85:21	Central 60:8
53:24 109:8	building 46:13	capacity 42:15	centralized 76:2
bounce 75:15	54:2 93:22	Cape 50:23	certain 12:23
Boxes 89:4	buildings 62:17	capital 42:7	14:5 30:14
BP 38:24 62:9	built 18:8 24:21	75:17	certainty 30:9
69:12 94:15	31:16 33:4	Captain 93:5	30:14 78:25
103:7	35:18 37:9	96:5,7,23	CERTIFICATION
branch 5:20	63:25 101:14	capture 91:12	110:4
83:4	buoys 5:24 6:12	car 62:13	certified 58:9
breaches 89:11	57:5	carbon 82:15	89:15 110:9
break 95:21	buried 6:2	99:16	certify 110:11
Breezy 86:5	burn 50:5	card 19:3	chain 34:22
brevity 53:10	burned 91:14	care 28:6,7	94:18
brick 53:19	burning 91:10	39:5 47:11	chair 58:9 60:7
bridge 36:3	97:11 100:17	102;3	81:10
53:17,18 54:9	busiest 51:18	career 88:6	challenge 91:2
64:15	business 31:18	cargo 49:10	chamber 89:11
brief 5:6 9:22	33:21 61:8,22	56:25 62:20	champion 29:12
briefly 28:13	71:14 76:13	caring 28:22	chance 21:12
brine 34:15	105:15	carrying 62:20	28:5 77:19
bring 64:12	businesses 23:8	66:23	79:24 89:3
65:18 85:25	60:13,25	cars 62:16	109:13
95:9,10	61:13,21 85:3	108:19	change 34:25
107:23	bust 41:15	case 2:5 7:10	47:2 48:18,19
bringing 57:15	busy 52:11	14:3,6 27:14	54:13,19,21

file National Devolution	F-3		
55:9,10 63:23	100:9	82:10 87:3	30:19
87:3 93:16	Cindy 48:7	93:16 99:15	collect 75:22
99:15	50:18,21	99:19	collision 72:12
changed 43:16	citizen 102:10	close 19:15	colored 3:19
55:9 104:19	citizens 19:9	26:5 28:21	Colosseum
changes 11:7	31:6 33:19	31:18 33:21	69:21
27:18,22	53:5 65:22	36:16,18	combustible
changing 36:21	city 22:8 23:15	59:12 65:9	51:21
59:2 67:11	27:19 28:12	104:12	come 3:12
channel 49:20	29:15,17	closed 5:22	12:12 20:13
57:8 86:14,15	46:10 48:2,24	103:24	28:25 44:24
Chapter 58:9	54:16 55:25	104:10	45:5 47:12,20
60:8	57:7 58:2,10	closing 65:21	48:23 51:2
Charles 57:24	62:25 71:5	Clothes 65:2	52:6,9 57:23
Charmaine 2:18	86:10 87:15	Club 36:11	61:8,23 63:14
110:9,22	88:8 103:19	81:11.11	66:12 67:22
cheap 25:4	civic 66:9,10	coal 101:11	74:8 75:13
cheaper 25:5	68:12 103:18	coalition 50:22	85:17 95:21
cheapest 68:3	civilization	66:8,9 68:12	comes 75:6
cheering 21:3	64:23	102:13	91:19
chemical 51:19	claims 62:22	coast 1:2,9	coming 2:4
chemically	clammer 96:24	2:15 4:24	27:12 35:5
106:20	Clara 84:25	5:12 6:19	50:10 51:19
chemicals	clarification 6:8	7:14,16 9:23	51:20 52:15
34:17 85:6	clarified 43:19	9:25 10:6,10	74:15 75:3,13
chemistry 85:5	Claudia 66:2,5	10:14 12:9.10	92:25 109:18
chemists 15:12	66:7	12:18 14:23	109:21
chief 85:20	Clayman 96:6	14:25 15:15	comment 8:16
child 108:13	97:21,23,24	15:21 16:5.13	9:5 24:16
children 28:10	clean 47:21	19:2.7.16	26:7 31:13,21
28:25 47:10	50:21,25 51:8	24:19,21	32:18 40:17
47:10,13 65:4	52:19,24	31:20 33:20	68:14 70:22
65:6,9,17,19	55:13,19	33:22 38:4	73:8 81:19
102:4 103:11	59:16 77:6,13	40:20 54:3	107:12
108:24	78:5,15 98:23	60:16 73:21	comments 8:3
chilled 74:18	99:7,24	101:12	8:17,23 9:3,7
82:19	103:10	103:23,24	9:9,15 16:24
China 37:14	106:22	105:18	17:2,3,10
choosing 29:22	cleaner 25:11	coastal 6:23 7:6	19:10,13,18
chosen 29:21	clear 9:24	13:17,23	19:22 20:23
Chris 52:2	33:10 41:22	47:25 52:20	23:25 25:21
Christie 30:17	43:3 44:9	107:10	32:7 53:10
40:21 43:7,11	101:5	TO PARTURE 2	77:19 78:12
46:4 52:2	and the state of the control of the	Coast-wise	
	clearly 81:19	36:17 codes 13:10	81:23 107:23
70:13 83:5	climate 34:25	Service and the service of the servi	109:18
95:18 signsettes	54:13,19,21	colleague 29:11	comment.So
cigarettes	69:7 71:23	colleagues 5:2	104:5

commerce	component 6:12	confident 30:18	20:8
51:23 71:16	components	30:24 68:20	constructed
commercial	10:12	confusion 11:25	13:13
25:17 96:24	Compound	conglomerates	construction
commission	61:10	67:21	11:2 17:19
11:2	compressor	Congress 5:8	63:21 71:22
committed	34:25	conjunction 56:6	72:3 98:11 consultant 9:4
88:13 Committee 27:2	comprised 66:10	connection	14:24
70:8	compromised	25:14 80:14	Consultants
commodity	106:10	connections	1:12 2:13
73:5	concentrated	80:17	consulted 13:20
common 35:9	75:18	connects 91:19	consulting 15:8
communities	concentration	91:21	consumers 99:2
29:12,24 30:9	99:20	consequences	99:5
41:12 42:21	concept 75:2	27:6 41:2	consumes
47:7 52:6	concepts 18:5	54:6,8	82:19,20,21
98:6,15	concern 22:6	Conservation	consumption
107:14	22:12 34:11	26:25 36:10	42:16 82:13
22:5 23:6,11	60:10 67:8 73:3 80:18	consider 14:15 17:18,25	consumptive 34:17
28:21 30:7	86:11	20:15 34:19	contain 6:21
52:21 55:4	concerned	35:15 41:2	9:13
69:17 74:3	24:25 107:6	68:24 82:12	contains 9:13
87:23,23,24	concerning	101:17	77:9
92:24 106:5	16:20 19:10	107:15	contaminated
106:12 107:2	concerns 7:22	consideration	50:9
companies	19:13 22:20	9:8 22:19	contamination
38:21,21	23:2,3,4	23:12 71:10	34:14,22
42:13 50:13	68:20,21 80:8	considerations	90:18
57:15 68:4,6 75:20 103:5	84:10 99:4,4 106:3 107:18	71:6 considered 8:12	contemplating 67:4
109:7	concert 84:24	9:19 13:21	continual 46:22
company 45:3	concludes	18:10 19:18	continue 11:3
75:16 80:22	109:21	20:11 70:14	23:13 42:23
company's	conclusion	70:17 72:10	46:25 53:21
80:20	45:12	109:19	54:10 79:11
complaining	conditional 7:7	considering	83:24
65:13	conditions 10:9	31:24 33:23	continued
completed 10:4	12:23 13:7	69:24	22:14 23:11
17:11 18:18	14:5,10,13	consistent 7:7	continues 35:11
completely 14:8	20:6 47:14 conducted	13:9 consists 100:16	continuing 100:17
completion 19:19	15:17 68:19	constantly 61:6	contracts 42:20
compliance	conducting	Constitution	contribute
11:10	10:17	91:18	70:20,21
comply 13:6,16	conference 59:8	construct 5:16	control 53:22

conversations 28:12	60:17 cows 108:20	cursing 108:23 cut 30:22 49:7	deadline 8:23 9:3 32:8
conversion 35:20,24	Co-Chair 55:24 CO2 99:20	61:15 100:10 Cynthia 86:8	deal 39:6 73:6 73:6
converting 34:4	100:18 101:5	88:4.5	Dear 16:14
convinced	Craig 88:4	00.110	decades 99:23
62:11	90:10.13	D	decide 10:8
Copel 104:20	Craig's 94:12	dad 92:10	69:9 101:9,11
copies 18:18,21	crap 95:7	daily 44:21	101:14
18:21	crashed 62:15	Dakota 91:10	decided 104:11
copy 19:5,6	create 41:25	91:11	decision 10:13
corn 50:11	59:23 98:10	damn 55:6 97:9	12:21,24 13:2
Cornell 102:22	creation 77:3	108:19	13:3 20:4,11
corporations	98:4	Dan 107:25	40:23 45:2
53:24	credit 30:17	dangerous 34:8	decisions 31:10
corrected 8:19	crew 103:25	48:2 50:8	deck 3:12
correcting 8:21	cries 87:22	62:19 89:22	decline 34:13
cost 23:3	crimes 88:12	93:15 101:17	decommission
costs 42:7	88:12	Daniel 105:22	71:23
98:25,25	criss-crossing	107:21	decommissio
Council 58:3	103;8	data 32:5	56:25
Councilwoman	critical 4:8	date 8:21	deep 42:8
21:23 22:2,3	98:17 99:15	dates 8:19	43:23
Council-person	cross 6:12	19:24	deepwater 4:21
22:5	crossing 76:20	daughter	4:23 5:5,10
counsel 88:7	cross-designa	106:17 108:2	5:14,17 6:8
country 38:19	88:9	daughters	6:10,25 7:2,9
44:18,25	cross-hairs 34:8	100:7	7:16 9:18
45:14,17 63:2	cruise 49:13	Dave 21:24	10:2 11:22
90:15 103:8,9	crushing 60:23	23:19,22	12:7,19 13:7
country's 81:12	cry 105:6,7	David 57:25	13:11,13,15
County 21:24	crying 65:13	104:15	13:24 14:5
23:21 25:25	Crystal 84:2	day 24:6 31:18 39:22 40:9	15:3 17:6,20
35:6 49:16	86:8 88:3	45:19 50:14	18:2,4 20:9
66:11,12	CSR 110:22	62:7 81:18	40:18 41:22
88;20 104:16	cubic 91:13	91:2,14 92:24	44:5 45:7
104:21 110:5	cultural 17:15	99:5.5 100:9	70:15 98:7
couple 38:3	cumulative	100:16	109:22
53;16 58:12	17:21 18:9	110:15,17	Defande 57:24
courage 83:5	34:18	days 24:14,15	Defense 13:20
course 29;9	Cuomo 82:3	24:16 25:19	defer 101:23
40:20 Court 3:10 4:9	83:4 95:24	31:14,21,23	definitely 49:18
Court 2:19 4:8	Cuomo's 95:23	51:3 68:15	96:3
21:5 107:24 110:9	current 40:23	71:2 72:15	definition 93:18
covered 74:15	41:21 42:5,14 87:14	81:21 92:8	deja 53:15 Delaware 38:17
covering 15:11	Currently 70:22	97:13 107:12	109:4
POACHUR TO'TT	our rentry / 0.22	2232222	103.4

delay 44:20 81:22	disaster 39:13 document 9:10
Delegation 27:3 details 17:4	59:19 103:22 14:16 92:8,14
deliver 92:5 22:9	104:6 documentation
delivered 16:8 determine 1	
demand 41:25 determined	discharge 57:9 doing 15:13
91:7,8 34:19 56:2	
79:18.19 93:14	9:5,21 93:10,11,21
democrat 27:3 devastating	discussed 95:16 99:21
104:18 54:21	61:11 dollar 88:17
democratic devastation	discussion 3:2 91:9
75:24 23:7 73:16	
demolish 87:19 107	5 55:4 75:23 55:11 94:2
104:12 developing 7	:18   disguise 53:21   99:2
Denenberg development	t 66:21 dolphin 39:23
21:25 23:19 10:11 59:1	
23:21,22 78:18 79:3	35:22 domestic 11:11
26:11 104:16 de-commiss	
Denise 104:17 17:19	77:2 67:11
Denofrio 72:18 diameter 89:	
deny 14:5 33:8 Diane 84:2,4	
101:16 Dickenson	disrepair 61:10   Donald 93:5
Department 103:13,14	
42:11 88:8   die 100:11	71:16 79:10 Donofrio 70:6
deplete 67:24   died 92:10	82:10 72:20,20 74:5
deportation 100:14	disruptive 95:2   doomed 101:7
96:14 different 3:1	
DeRosa 2:18 6:9 42:11	distributed 96:20
110:9,22 73:15 90:1	7   16:13,15   doubling 58:14
describe 8:4 93:19 94:1	0   district 24:4   Doug 80:5 81:4
11:15 39:12 difficult 34:1	9 26:13 29:8,13 81:5
described 20:7   dig 56:10,10	88:11 104:19   downtown
description 8:6 digest 68:17	
deserve 30:3 digging 56:2	
55:7 dioxide 99:1	ACT THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY
design 10:25 direction 51:	
desk 19:4 67:18	Division 4:23 Dr 92:18,19,19
destroy 94:7 directions	76:15 draft 9:17
destroyed 16:10	Dixon 40:14 16:25 18:12
62:14 Director 50:2	
destroying 53:4 72:21	
74:11 97:25	docked 61:6 19:16
destructive Directors	docket 17:3 draw 61:9 65:9
41:15 103:18	18:25 109:19 dredge 61:5
detail 3:17 dirty 47:24 4	8:2   109:21   dredged 61:16
39:12 51:10 disapprove 7 detailed 72:7 10:9 12:24	:2   doctor 100:10   dredger 108:7

76:16 108:10	52:18,24	58:21	energy 13:9
109:8	53:22 60:13	elected 3:4	31:6 33:19
drill 42:6	61:12 63:5	16:17 21:10	35:23 41:9
108:21	74:20 75:24	26:16.24	42:12 46:16
drilled 75:19	eco-systems	Electric 86:22	47:21 53:20
drilling 34:6,7	41:8	electricity 49:2	53:21,24 55:7
			55:14 57:15
41:13 42:3,8	edge 57:14	electronically	
42:19	Edison 16:22	16:9	59:7 61:22
drops 91:7	25:20 29:23	elements 9:19	66:14 70:9
drown 21:6	84:14,22 85:5	elevator 2:7	75:3,19 76:2
due 6:16 70:15	Edmondson	eliminate 72:11	77:14 81:10
106:7	101:19	eliminating	82:5,19,20,21
dug 109:7,8	103:15,16,17	102:6	92:18,23
dumping 56:8	103:17	Elliot 97:22	93:15 94:5.9
95:20	educate 74:3	99:11,13	97:4 98:16,21
D'Amato 56:2	educator 26:17	Ellmer 53:2	98:22,24,24
57:14	102:10	55:21,23,24	98:25 99:7,9
37.14	effect 25:16,17	eludes 78:5	99:14,24
E		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	
E1:8,8	64:2 106:22	embarrassed	106:14
	effected 8:6	81:14	energy-wise
earlier 18:8	62:23 96:17	embrace 63:14	58:23
58:24 59:8	96:19	63:15	enforceable
90:25 94:20	effective 102:17	embracing 65:8	14:9
earliest 90:6	effects 13:20	99:24	Engel 105:22
early 15:22,24	17:18 37:19	emergency 2:5	107:22,25,25
59:13 88:6	62:10 73:23	27:14 103:21	108:25
earth 89:17	82:10	Eminent 91:25	Engineer 76:14
103:11	efforts 41:25	emission 95:3	104:25
earthquakes	71:24	emissions	
34:21	and the last second at	E	engineering
	eight 23:7	34:24 71:21	63:16,19,23
easily 59:5	47:19	emotional	65:8
east 5:19,20	eighteen 5:13	54:20	engineers 15:12
6:5,11	Eileen 21:23	Emperor 65:5	English 100:4
easy 75:14	22:3	Emperor's	enhanced 82:10
economic 23:5	EIS 7:24 8:3	64:25	enhancement
25:6 41:15	9:17 15:2,5,7	emphasis 8:14	53:23
54:20 61:19	15:16,17,22	10:2 74:16	enjoy 40:7
71:12 98:8,17	16:4,7,25	emphasize 14:7	66:17 76:18
106:11	17:12.23	empty 75:5	enjoyed 96:23
economically	18:12,13,16		enjoying 40:9
59:15		encourage 14:19	
economics 25:3	18:18,24 19:5		enjoyment 97:8
	19:10,16,17	endanger 28:24	enormous 97:3
103:2	19:19,20,22	endangered	ensure 7:22
economies 59:9	20:2 34:20	17:14 72:8	72:2
59:9	61:20	ends 70:23	ensuring 11:10
economy 51:2	EIS's 15:9	endure 61:3	enter 19:13
51:14,14 52:4	either 12:21	endured 87:16	entire 23:14

	I a series of the series of		
entirely 31:23	Esposito 50:19	109:12	49:11 60:20
entitled 64:25	53:2,3,4	Executive 50:21	67:12 68:7,16
entity 86:20	55:18	53:4 72:21	70:14,16,17
environment 8:7	essence 84:6	87:10 104:21	72:16 78:2
8:8 11:8	essential 41:24	Executor 97:25	83:2 93:2
13:15 22:25	74:19	exempted 35:9	exportation
25:16 27:24	esthetics 17:16	existence 31:17	67:17,21 78:3
28:9 39:5	Europe 37:14	existing 6:3	exporting 51:11
47:9,22 51:12	42:10	71:10 92:6	67:10,15
52:3 53:5	evacuate 62:18	exit 64:16	77:15
58:21 70:9	64:10	expansive 72:12	exports 33:12
72:2 74:12	evacuation	expect 32:6	41:11,24
97:2,15 106:9	63:25 64:3	40:23 46:25	43:21 44:9,18
environmental	evaluated 11:9	81:21 93:19	44:22 45:6,12
7:5,10,11,12	33:15	expected 42:22	45:21 92:9
7:18 8:5,13	evaluation 8:7	expelled 75:7	94:2 106:16
9:4 10:3,11	15:13	experienced	exposed 22:19
12:17 13:10	evening 2:13,21	15:8	express 14:20
13:16 14:15	4:17 11:17	experiences	22:6 60:10
14:24,25 15:8	12:14 14:21	84:10	66:13 106:2
	14:22 22:2	F. 7 (F)7 (1) (1)	
15:11,14,18		experts 19:11	extend 31:21
15:21 17:10	50:20 53:3	45:17	74:2 81:20
17:18 20:10	55:23 64:24	explain 15:16	107:11
22:4,23 24:23	65:6 81:9	26:18 77:22	extended 8:23
25:22 26:25	86:9 90:12	explanation	68:14
33:14 35:10	109:15	19:18	extending 24:15
39:12 49:13	everybody 4:7	explode 89:16	73:8
53:6 54:6	28:14 38:18	exploration	extension 81:18
56:21 57:21	39:3 79:23	70:19	extensive 53:9
73:20 75:9	83:21 105:10	explosion 84:12	103:4
80:8 82:11	105:11	84:18,19,23	extent 8:4 72:6
87:9,11,12	everyday 44:14	85:4	80:20
88:8,12 96:11	106:19	explosions 23:4	extraction 34:9
environmenta	everytime 90:21	47:6,7 62:15	extravagant
102:11	evidence 82:8	109:10	53:23
environmenta	evident 60:24	explosive 67:5	extreme 47:14
27:20	90:8	68:8 74:21,22	67:6 68:9
environmentally	evolve 96:14	89:22	69:11 93:15
59:15	EVP 92:16	explosives	extremely 68:15
equal 9:8	exact 43:6,17	74:18	69:6 106:10
equipment	45:20,20 46:5	export 12:3	eye 23:16
10:19 11:7	examining 51:8	24:24,25 26:4	61:13
equivalent	example 75:11	32:14,20,22	eyes 63:2
42:15	excellent 56:24	33:6,9 34:2	-720 3312
especially 4:8	excess 70:25	35:21,25 41:7	F
15:25 17:6	Exclusion 71:19	41:13,23	facilitate 82:12
74:9 82:14	excuse 36:24	42:13,15,20	83:3
74.3 02.14	CACUSE 30,24	42.13,13,20	1,400

Facilitator 2:12	45:21 86:15	90:20	focusing 58:3
2:22	Federation	finding 73:9	folder 3:24
facilities 54:2	97:25 98:4	fire 85:19	20:18
57:3	99:3	100:23 104:2	folders 3:20,22
facility 14:13	Feehan 1:11	104:4,7	folks 90:12
24:22,24,25	2:17 9:4	firm 15:8 38:20	92:17
25:3 32:22,23	14:22,23	88:22	follow 39:9,17
33:9 34:2	feel 30:20,21	first 3:4 5:5	83:5,6 94:18
40:19 41:6,7	30:22 40:8	21:23 23:22	followed 21:24
41:11,18	49:4,8 68:20	26:23 31:3	23:19 26:12
44:10 51:21	69:23	41:21 43:6	31:3 36:8
59:6 64:6	feet 62:4 91:14	64:4 69:5	38:7 39:19
67:9 78:4	fellas 105:19	81:18 90:2	40:14 42:25
82:25 83:2	fellow 65:21	92:12	48:5,7 50:18
87:2 93:3	fellows 105:5	first-hand	53:2 55:22
96:14 99:22	Ferguson 31:3,5	106:24	58:7 60:4
fact 57:19 77:8	33:19	fish 48:20	63:8 66:2
77:13,24 78:4	fiction 74:4	69:24 72:9,21	69:4 70:5
105:23	field 103:20	fisher 108:6	72:18 74:6
facts 74:4 77:9	fields 56:18	fisheries 17:13	76:4 80:5
77:12 82:9	66:20	72:7,25	81:4 84:2
fail 90:5	fifteen 3:23	fisherman	86:8 88:4
fair 96:18	20:17	36:10,14	90:10 93:5
fallen 91:7	fifth 66:24	56:23 96:24	96:5 97:22
families 29:19	90:22	fishermen	99:12 101:19
66:17 98:3,8	fifty 62:6,7	36:20 37:21	103:13
98:19	fighters 100:23	73:11,24	104:23
family 109:3	fighting 50:24	Fishermen's	105:22
far 37:3 82:22	53:13	36:10	following 17:11
87:18	fights 74:10	fishery 36:15	21:9
farm 55:13,13	Figman 97:22	fishing 25:17	food 34:22
55:19 56:7	99:11,13,13	34:21 36:13	40:16 94:7
57:3 92:19,23	figure 61:23	52:21 60:21	102:13
102:19	fill 75:5,5	71:17,19	Foorah 42:25
fast 32:16 93:9	107:15	73:10 74:3	46:8,9
97:11	final 9:17 14:18	five 36:22	foot 57:5 62:6,8
favor 82:4	19:17,19,22	Flag 48:12,15	71:11
fax 17:4	20:12 69:18	flaring 34:20	football 56:18
Federal 3:5	finalized 20:2	flaws 90:7	forced 62:17
5:17 6:19	Finally 11:10	flexible 5:24	forces 41:19
7:20,25 8:10	financial 28:6	flight 85:11	foregoing
8:20 9:14	54:20 89:25	floods 100:25	110:12
10:16 13:3	financially 13:4	floor 60:16	foreign 25:5
16:7,9,16,19	find 24:2 38:15	fluid 34:22	54:4 67:21
18:16,19	38:23 39:2	flurry 42:12	68:3,6
19:25 21:10	48:10 53:14	fly 61:24 85:23	foremost 23:22
32:4 35:10	54:13 80:11	focus 2:23 23:9	foreseeable

17:21	free-trade 44:18	Gallagher 48:5	gasifying 36:24
forest 34:20	frenzy 42:18	48:6,8,8	36:25
forfeiture 88:17	friend 89:13	50:18	gasoline 50:11
formal 16:23	103:22	Gallon 81:7	gathered 43:4
19:9	friends 63:15	gallons 106:20	gay 47:11
formally 86:21	106:17	gambling 49:15	General 88:7
formed 96:9	front 43:18	gaps 32:5	generate 98:5
former 56:3	87:18	garbage 61:14	generation
57:12 85:20	fuel 25:11,11	gas 5:11,12,13	68:24 90:23
86:19 92:15	47:9 48:3	5:16,16 12:2	93:8 105:16
forty-five 57:4	53:15,17,18	12:5 22:7	generations
forward 14:20	53:22 59:8	23:4 25:4,9	47:12 57:22
16:4 23:17	62:10,16 64:7	25:10 34:9,24	68:25 98:19
93:22 101:10	64:8 67:2,5	39:24 40:18	102:5
fossil 25:11	68:8 74:19	40:19 41:15	gentleman
47:9 48:3	82:2 97:11	41:24 42:2,2	56:23 94:21
53:15,22 54:4	99:23 103:3,5	42:4,7,10,14	gentlemen 4:17
55:6 58:15	fuels 54:4 55:6	44:18,21 45:8	86:10,24
67:2,5 68:8	58:15 82:11	45:14 47:6	87:21
82:2,11,13,14	82:13,14	48:17 49:4,6	geologist 27:15
99:23 100:17	100:17 102:7	49:7,25 50:5	geologists
102:7 103:3,5	103:10	51:9,12 54:9	15:12
103:10	fulfill 42:19	58:4 59:4	Geology 17:12
fought 30:16	fulfilling 10:14	60:20 67:11	geo-political
53:12 79:18	full 22:15,17	67:15,17,19	54:7
found 97:15	27:20 74:18	67:21,25 68:4	getting 2:11
102:6	fully 40:25	68:7 70:19	3:25 59:13
Foundation	108:6	71:8,21 77:15	79:24
58:9 60:9	fundamental	78:3 80:10	ghost 61:13
founding 96:8	15:20	81:25 82:15	giant 51:21
four 45:8	funding 55:12	82:16,23	gigantic 51:16
fourth 93:8	108:15		give 5:6 20:25
frack 42:6		84:12,18,19	24:16 27:25
	funny 54:14 90:20	85:4,14,15,17	
fracking 25:2		86:12,16,21	28:11 52:14
25:23 27:9	further 3:17	89:7,12 91:5	80:25 95:21
33:15 34:22	6:11 14:15	91:7,11,16	95:22 102:4
35:8 41:14	64:20 70:18	92:5,7,22,22	103:11
42:3,9,19,22	70:21 76:8	94:24 95:3	given 3:6,18 9:7
80:15 82:18	future 34:2	106:16	21:12:27:21
90:15 92:11	40:4 41:9	108:17	28:7 42:7
92:14 94:6	54:10 58:22	gases 95:3	58:14 107:17
102:13 107:8	59:24 60:2	100:19 101:6	gives 6:24
fragility 96:25	94:5 95:11,15	Gasiewski	glad 40:2
frame 69:14	98:18 102:5	103:13	gladly 59:22
frankly 29:20		104:22,24,25	glass 47:2,4
Freeport 24:5	G	105:9	go 3:16 35:7,23
49:14 54:23	Gail 66:6 69:4	gasified 82:21	38:8 46:25

47:5,22,23,25	86:4 90:3	86:9 90:12	Grid 86:19
49:14 52:24	91:4,15 92:21	95:9 96:2,3	ground 3:15
54:23,23,23	92:22 93:8,24	97:14 98:11	67:23 82:9
54:25,25	93:25 94:7,17	98:14 99:6	95:20
61:21,22	95:4 100:11	103:4	grounds,we've
65:10,11	104:6,11,13	Google 103:3	60:21
73:19 83:13	109:3,16	government	group 31:10
84:7 86:13	Goldfeder 26:12	6:24 45:21	38:14 96:10
90:21,21	29:7,9,13	governments	groups 18:20
94:24 97:11	Goldhammer	3:6 13:22	50:23 73:10
			102:11
105;24	1:12 2:3,12	Governor 14:2	
106:11	20:15 23:18	30:17,20	growth 98:17
108:16,18	26:10 29:6	40:21 43:7,10	guarantee
109:11	31:2 36:5	46:4 51:25	28:16
God 27:21 28:7	38:6 39:18	57:25 70:13	guard 1:2,9
108:19	40:13 42:24	82:3 83:5	2:15 4:24
goes 38:18,25	46:7 48:4	95:18,23,24	5:12 6:19
48:17 85:16	50:17 52:25	Governors 14:3	7:14 9:25
Goggin 21:23	55:17,21 58:6	92:15	10:6,10,14
22:2,3	60:4 63:7	grab 67:22	12:9,10,18
going 2:19 3:2	65:25 68:22	Graffia 92:19	14:25 15:15
3:3,9,10 4:20	69:3 70:4	102:22	16:5,13 19:2
4:24 8:25	72:17 74:5	grand 47:10	19:7,17 24:20
21:14,16,20	76:3,10 77:18	grandchildren	24:21 31:20
25:16,17	78:10 79:4,9	28:10.25	33:21,22 38:4
26:20 27:17	79:21 81:3	47:13 65:18	73:21 75:17
27:22,25	83:8,25 86:7	grandfather	103:23,24
28:11,13,23	88:3 90:9	105:16	105:18
29:4 30:12,24	93:4 96:4	granted 18:7	Guard's 7:17
32:12,19,20	97:21 99:11	grassroots 31:7	9:23 14:24
			10 (10 P) (E) (C) (E) (C)
33:10,12 35:6	101:18	81:12	15:21
35:22 36:4,15	103:12,16	grass-roots	Guess 48:11
37:11,12,16	104:22 105:8	53:6	guilty 40:9
39:21 45:5	105:21	grave 60:10	guinea 80:24
46:25 48:18	107:21	great 24:9 39:6	Gulf 38;24 54:3
48:19,21 49:7	109:12	54:16 58:11	62:9 69:12
49:8,9 50:7	Gollon 81:5,9	82:24 100:7	103:20
50:12 51:3	81:10 83:8	greater 27:7	guy 77:21
53:9 56:11	good 4:17	82:22 87:19	90:25 100:7
57:5,18,19	11:17 14:22	greatest 66:18	guys 76:17
61:23 63:3	22;2 30:15	72:6	77:20 79:14
69:19 73:19	39:20,22	greatly 87:6	92:12 105:6,9
73:22,23 75:6	40:15 46:9	greenhouse	CO. 2 to 2 to 2 to 2 to
75:24,25 78:7	50:14,20 52:3	34:24 71:20	Н
78:18 80:4,24	52:3,4,18	95:3	hair 38:11
83:10,13 84:6	53:3 55:23	Greenwich	half 46:6 56:18
84:13 85:17	63:10 81:9	46:11 47:4	56:19 63:4
04.10 00.17	03,10 01.3	40.11 47,4	G-29 5 3 40.0

91:6	29:19 34:13	83:23 84:25	horizontal 42:8
hall 4:19 17:5 20:9 48:16	35:14 hear 4:10 12:15	helping 99:7 helps 98:24	horrendous 87:2
hallway 2:10	21:5 28:8,17	99:9	hospital 104:8
hand 4:14	29:3 44:14	hereunto	104:10,12,12
110:17	50:15,16 69:3	110:16	104:13,14
handle 85:19	69:8 70:5	he'll 30:18	hot 83:19
handout 17:8	79:14,19	Hi 58:8 88:5	hours 49:21
hands 102:15	87:21,22	107:25	house 1:2 4:19
happen 18:6	90:10 93:4	hide 38:18	50:5,6 66:18
35:24,25 44:12,17 46:2	94;22 96;5 103:23	high 34:12 42:7 42:8	houses 50:4 62:17
47:7,11 59:20 62:21 85:9	105:10 heard 4:13	highest 63:2 98:3	Howard 92:19 102:22
86:5 91:18	20:25 26:8	highly 35:19	huge 71:17
93:16 108:17	38:12 43:7,21	47:3 67:4	hull 89:11
happened 47:15	51:4 60:21	hire 38:20	human 8:8
62:9 69:12	79:25 84:22	history 64:22	100:15
103:7	94:22,25	hit 38:3 49:2	hundred 36:22
happening 49:8	95:18	57:4 85:10	50:22 100:25
69:23 94:15	hearing 12:16	90:3	hundreds 33:16
happens 31:9	14:20 29:23	hitting 57:2	66:11
90:14,15	29:23 43:8	hold 3:19,22,24	hungry 59:7
92:20,21	46:10,21	19:8,20 20:18	hunting 34:21
happy 12:14	54:12,16 79:7	20:19 29:22	hurricane 47:16
harbor 5:21	hearings 22:14	77:19 78:12	57:4 62:2
51:20 56:9	23:24 25:20	79:23,23	67:3 86:2,3
Harborites	26:6 32:10,10	83:15	92:21 93:14
85:22	39:7 52:12	holding 16:22	hurricanes 90:4
hard 40:8 98:21	26 4 3 4 3 T CM 1 P D P D P D	97:19	106:23
	68:19 71:4	holds 89:12	The state of the s
Harvey 23:19	107:13	NORTH AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF	hurt 60:13
26:11,15	hears 26:24	holiday 52:13	105:5,10
29:10 57:17	heart 100:9,13	home 47:23,23	hydraulic-frac
haste 96:21	100:20 101:3	47:25 52:24	41:14
hat 105:14	heat 100:19	61:24 66:16	hydro-fracking
hazardous	101:6	92:10 108:18	68:2,5
61:16	heaters 86:17	Homeland	T.
hazards 10:19	helicopter	56:16,20	Idoa 40:11
head 38:12	85:24	57:21	idea 40:11
60:23,24	hell 28:20	homes 24:7,10	identical 40:22
heading 67:18	47:17 91:4	40:7	identified 6:23
Headquarters	108:25	honest 54:13	identify 10:19
4:24	109:11	honored 107:16	ill-prepared
heads 27:25	Hello 74:7	Hook 6:6 62:4	86:4
28:11	76:11 78:11	hooked 50:3	immediate
health 23:3	79:23 96:7	hope 4:18 83:4	102:2
27:23 28:9,24	help 63:22	horizon 68:6	immediately

96:12	70:16 71:8,13	income 71:14	34:7,7,25
impact 7:11	72:16 77:25	inconsistencies	74:25 93:22
10:11 11:8	91:15 93;2	7:4	93:23 94:3
14:15,25	importance	increased 34:8	99:21
17:21 22:17	71:25	increasing	ingenuity 63:18
22:24 23:5	important 7:8	106:24	initial 22:21
24:23 25:22	11:23 14:2	independent	injection 35:2
33:15 34:18	22:9 32:6	10:17 15:13	input 8:9,12
43:18 68:25	39:8 54:11	88:21	10:15 15:24
73:7,20 75:9	71:6 97:14	India 37:14	46:21
82:11,16,22	101:13	101:2	inputs 20:3
98:14	importantly	indicate 19:3	insane 93:20
impacted 32:13	80:9	20:20	99:25
107:14	importation	indicated 33:22	insanity 93:18
impacts 7:22	5:10	indicates 59:3	insist 22:15
8:5,7 13:14	importing 12:5	individuals 9:2	inspection 11:3
15:14,16,24	25:9 51:11	18:20 21:11	69:13
16:2 18:9	77:15 91:5.8	industrializati	inspections
20:11 31:25	imports 33:12	60:11 66:19	69:14
33:14.23 34:8	37:8 41:18	Industrializing	Inspector 88:7
34:12,20,21	45:13 93:25	70:20	install 61:22
35:4,16 36:13	93:25	industries	installation
36:15,19	import/export	53:21 85:6	61:17
37:16,20 41:8	40:19	industry 41:16	instructions 8:3
41:10 42:21	impressed	42:3 46:22	insulting 54:15
51:10 54:18	74:13	53:20 57:15	integrity 106:9
54:19,20,21	inadequate	68:16 78:20	intend 69:16
71:23 72:2	31:22 32:9,10	78:22 92:16	intensify 42:20
96:11 107:3	81:19	94:12 103:3	intensity 106:24
107:16	incentivize	industry's 41:25	intent 7:24 8:10
impartial 44:13	70:18	67:9	16:6,10 41:17
impede 79:2	inch 6:2 60:19	infancy 78:24	41:20
83:3	89:9	inform 65:10	intentions
impeded 78:18	include 10:21	78:25	103:5
	22:23 41:10	information	interest 13:8
imperative		6:21 15:25	
23:23	71:6 included 16:4	23:24 24:18	14:19 31:9
implications	ALCONOLOGICAL STREET		68:10 102:15
71:3	83:18	25:12 26:6,8	interested
import 11:22	includes 5:22	32:6 33:24	12:16 16:14
12:2,3 24:19	15:10 29:14	37:24,25	79:6 103:2
24:22 25:2	86:23	60:22,25	interfere 13:12
26:2 32:14,19	including 8:2	64:19 65:3	international
32:21,25 33:4	9:16 15:11	informational	11:11 74:10
35:18 41:5	19:17 22:17	1:2 4:19	internet 17:4
43:22 45:2	32:11 33:15	informed 70:24	18:25 47:5
49:11 60:19	71:13 74:9	73:11	interrupt 81:16
67:9,12 70:15	76:17	infrastructure	interval 69:10

8:20 3,18 24 18 5 11 25:4
3,18 24 5 18 5 11 25:4
3,18 24 5 18 5 11 25:4
24 5 18 5 11 25:4
5 18 5 11 25:4
5 18 5 11 25:4
18 5 11 25:4
5 11 25:4
11 25:4
25:4
17
1/
16
14
4.12
23
8
17
3:24
55:2
12
22
20
13
11
13
5,17
18
9:9
14
1
1
1

Korea 84:17	32:17	license 5:5 7:7	liquefied 40:19
Kouril 86:8,9	learned 69:25	9:18,24 10:3	51:9,11 58:3
88:4,5,6 90:9	learning 81:17	10:9 11:22	82:15
Kramer 57:12	leave 2:6 39:14	12:2,3,4,7,22	liquid 74:19
80:5 81:4,5	97:15 109:4	12:22,23 13:7	89:7
L	leaves 36:25	13:22 14:4,4 14:6,7,10,11	Lisa 38:7 39:18 39:20
labor 78:20	leaving 79:17 left 2:9,18 3:24	14:18 18:7	list 34:10 35:11
98:2	38:12 79:5	19:23 20:4.5	61:20 76:9
lack 46:19,20	82:18	20:8,12 33:4	90:16
46:20,21	Legal 70:10	33:5 41:23	listen 4:6 28:15
ladies 4:17 86:9	legally 49:15	43:22,24	80:2 105:3,8
86:24 87:21	Legislator 21:24	109:23	109:14
Lake 84:3 86:8	23:19,22 28:3	licensed 35:18	listened 109:13
88:3	104:16	42:18 108:7	literally 61:12
land 7:5 49:12	Legislature 87:5	licensee 14:9	93:18
70:22 85:24	88:20	43:24	litigation 88:21
94:8	length 66:25	licenses 14:6	88:24
lands 35:4	Lesnick 1:10	44:7	little 60:22
large 82:15	2:16 9:20	licensing 7:8	80:25 83:12
89:11	11:14,17,18	41:2.11 45:23	84:9
largest 81:12	lesson 65:15	Lido 60:17	live 27:20,24
89:23	letter 16:14.14	lie 30:13 70:2	28:8 31:15
Larry 58:7 60:4	31:12,19	92:12 95:7	32:12 34:5,6
60:6	32:24 33:20	lies 91:17	46:10,11 47:2
late 83:12,23	57:24 67:13	life 10:24 11:4	61:24 63:3
lateral 5:25 6:4	letters 8:25	48:20 96:23	66:16 79:18
latest 40:18	letting 76:12	107:10	108:20
laundry 34:10	let's 27:9 53:16	lifeguards	lived 96:22
35:11	53:16 64:3	106:18	109:3
law 33:2,2,3	80:2	life-altering	lives 63:4 72:24
35:10 41:21	level 87:3,5,7	54:19	living 35:14
44:19 87:13	levels 39:13	Lighthouse	50:25 92:12
88:22	liability 69:16	56:24	98:3 107:9
laws 11:11 13:6	Liars 92:7	lights 34:12	LLC 80:10
13:16 49:17	Liberty 5:15	limiting 67:16	Lloyd 27:11,15
lead 7:17 10:10	6:10,14 22:7	Lincoln 35:23	LNG 4:21 11:12
41:20 44:20	35:22 37:12	Lindenhurst	15:3 18:5
45:11 107:7	38:10 40:17	54:24	29:16 38:10
Leader 22:5	47:17,19	line 21:17	41:7 53:17,18
leading 78:21	52:19 67:4	30:13 39:10	54:2 55:25
leads 93:15	75:13 80:10	40:5 48:17	57:2 60:15
leak 94:23,25	107;19	56:10 59:17	61:22 66:23
leakage 89:6	Liberty's 41:17	85:14	68:13 70:12
leaked 64:6	42:17 45:13	lines 53:24	70:17 71:9,17
leaks 89:8,8,8,9	68:13 96:13	60:21 71:10	72:12,16
learn 27:21	libraries 18:22	71:18	80:14,17

	-		
89:14 92:9	68:18 71:11	loving 87:24	42:22 70:19
93:2 101:14	72:14 73:15	low 34:12	March 43:12
105:13,20	73:19 75:25	37:13	marine 17:15
loaded 85:18	80:7 81:10	lowering 5:23	41:8 73:2
loading 49:10	84:5,5 85:16	ludicrous 90:5	76:15 107:10
84:17	86:11,13	lying 91:8	108:6
lobbied 98:21	87:15 97:25	Lynn 1:12 2:12	maritime 1:2,10
lobbyist 56:3	98:3,7,12,17	4:16,24 55:22	2:16 5:8,12
57:14	98:24 103:22	58:6,8,8	6:18 7:3,14
local 3:5 8:10	104:7,9 106:2	30.0,0,0	8:22 9:20
16:16,21	104.7,5 100.2	M	10:7,20 11:5
The state of the s	Company of the Compan	MA1:11	
18:16,19,20	longer 31:13	magnitude	11:8,14,19
19:25 21:11	36:6 38:2	87:16	12:6,20 14:10
59:4 60:13,25	45:15 73:9	mail 17:4	24:19,20
61:12,13,21	108:13	The second secon	31:12,19 44:3
61:22 63:5,12		main 13:15	96:9
71:14 76:14	look 14:20	58:17 59:12	mark 30:5
76:15 96:18	17:20 18:4	Maine 76:16	market 33:11
98:20 105:2	41:8 55:12	mainline 6:3	33:11 37:8,13
105:16 108:3	82:9 94:15	maintain 41:3	41:19
locally 82:22	102:23 103:7	72:25 99:19	marketing
96:12	103:25	maintenance	53:19
located 19:4	looked 32:4	11:3	markets 59:7
20:9 80:16	38:15	major 14:16	92:5 106:15
location 16:11	looking 40:9	24:3 56:8	married 100:6
43:17	75:10 88:20	67:8	Marshall 104:4
locations 18:2	95:12	making 69:15	Mary 69:4 70:5
19:24	Lookout 60:18	95:17	70:7
		Malizia 36:7,9,9	Dat 7.7 th of the late
lock 99:22	look-see 38:23	man 47:11 97:3	Massachusetts
Locust 108:4	loop 5:22	100:11	100:2,3
logistics 16:18	lose 55:3,8		Massepequa
Logs 35:23	loss 34:15	managed 38:19	54:24
long 1:5 5:20	lost 65:24	management	massive 24:3
6:5 22:4,8,11	73:13	7:6 9:10	Mastic 54:25
23:10,15 27:2	lot 3:7 24:25	10:21 13:17	material 61:5
27:3 29:18,24	30:8 49:22,24	Manager 4:22	61:17
46:9 50:14	53:21 73:12	15:4 76:14	math 100:5
52:8 53:14	73:16 80:25	managers 10:21	Matouches
54:16,18,22	86:20,22	Manhattan 57:6	84:23
55:25 56:7,19	90:21 92:2	manifolds 5:25	matter 21:7
57:6,11 58:2	93:9	MARAD 1:10	57:19 62:23
60:7,8,17	lots 32:5 34:10	7:6 10:6 19:7	74:22 82:3
63:11,11,21	73:21	20:2 32:24	106:12
64:12,13,14	loud 21:7	33:22 73:20	110:14
	love 28:8	MARAD's 14:25	
64:16,16	AC UNIONS AND BUTCH	Marc 102:21	Mayor 27:12
65:22 66:16	104:17	Marcellus 31:8	mean 24:17
66:18 68:10	lovely 103:19	mula dilud di la	63:24 68:17

10000			200000000000000000000000000000000000000
103:5	102:17	millions 33:16	47:19 69:15
meaningful	mentor 29:11	34:5 55:11	94:16,18
89:10	men's 2:9	mind 76:7	monitored
means 64:10,11	Meola 60:5 63:8	77:21 86:15	61:18
64:11 98:14	63:10,11	mine 29:11	monitoring
102:6	Mercedes 76:4	89:13	61:19
measly 52:22	79:22 80:4,6	Minerals 85:6	monster 66:21
measured 62:4	Merchant 108:6	minimization	monsters 97:11
measurement	Merrick 24:5	71:25	Montauk 50:24
71:20	mess 61:12	minimize 13:14	53:8
measures 10:23	met 14:14	71:24	months 23:7
72:2,11	1.11.5(7)(3)(1.17)	minimized 72:5	80:23
the state of the s	metering 86:16		DOCT TYPE TO SEE TO SEE
media 49:24	87:8	minimum 17:10	Moriarty 58:7
medical 88:14	meters 36:23	29:21	60:4,6,6 63:8
Medina 53:8	methane 35:2	minute 3:21	mortality 34:15
meet 20:14	95:2 100:19	32:15 33:24	mother 102:10
99:9	101:5 108:21	minutes 3:19	move 3:13 4:3
meeting 1:2	methods 17:9	5:2 20:16	4:13 16:4
4:21 8:2,11	Metro 74:10	21:22 79:9	21:19
8:14 9:16	Mexico 38:25	83:14 101:21	moved 106:5
11:21 16:18	MGP 86:19	mirrors 41:6	108:4
16:20 19:8,21	microphone	misconception	moves 23:17
26:21 84:14	36:6 79:17	77:16	41:16
85:21 89:3	middle 51:17	misinform	moving 93:22
104:15	51:22 53:14	77:10	multi-stage
109:22	69:20 71:9	mislead 77:11	42:9
110:15	78:6,16 107:2	missing 32:6	myth 53:17
	midst 86:2	48:11 56:14	
meetings 16:12			myths 77:2
16:22 90:21	midwest 108:20	mission 72:23	N
mega 75:12	migration 35:3	73:4	N 1:8
member 26:13	55:15,15	mitigation	
29:8 70:8	migratory 55:15	71:25	naive 36:2
78:9,9 79:8	mile 66:24 72:3	mitigations	naked 65:5
79:13 83:19	miles 5:18,20	10:20	name 2:12 4:22
96:8 97:23	5:21 6:4,5	mixed 50:10	11:17 21:14
103:15	25:13,14,15	mode 87:25	22:3 39:25
108:23	37:5 49:12	MODERATOR	50:20 53:3
members 53:7	56:4.19 57:9	1:12	55:23 58:8
58:10 97:17	60:17 66:14	modification	60:6 63:10
98:2 99:4	68:8 82:17,17	44:19	74:7 76:8
Memoranda	84:23	moment 9:21	81:9 84:4
87:12	milestone 62:8	64:6	88:5 93:7
memory 89:2	99:15	moments 9:6	96:7 97:24
men 104:20	million 37:10	money 36:3	102:9 104:24
		March Committee	names 38:15
mention 85:13	88:16 99:2,16	37:10 38:18	Nassau 21:24
mentioned 18:8	99:21 106:20	39:6,10,17	23:21 25:25
33:20 69:8	109:9	45:5,9,16	20,21 20,20

35:6 66:9,11 66:12 69:20 nation 54:2	26:5,6,6,7 29:3 30:9,9 30:14 32:22	19:7,8,21,21 27:5 28:4 29:15,17,24	non-local 96:16 North 91:10,11 108:5
National 7:12	37:4,15,23,23	31:8 32:8	northeast 41:12
13:8,9,12,16	45:16,17 50:2	34:18 36:2	92:5 107:8
15:18 35:4 72:22 81:11	52:23 55:9 59:24 60:25	39:25 40:20 40:20 41:25	note 9:7 11:24 12:10 14:2
86:19	64:19.19 65:7	42:12 46:10	74:13 81:14
natural 5:11,11	68:7,17 71:11	46:23 47:20	97:16
5:13,15,16	72:7,9 73:18	50:10 51:4	NOTED 2:2
8:8 12:2,5	81:25 82:2,7	52:2 56:9	109:25
22:7 25:4,9	82:9 83:6	57:9,12 58:10	notes 2:21 4:9
25:10 36:11	92:21,22	59:10,16	110:14
40:18,19 42:2	94:10 95:15	64:25 70:9	notice 6:19,22
42:4,10,14 44:18,21 45:8	99:19 102:24 108:15	71:5,12,12	7:24 8:19,20
47:6 49:4.7	109:14	73:15 84:14 84:22 85:7	16:6,10,20 18:14 49:19
49:25 50:5	needed 87:22	87:4 88:8,11	52:12 89:2
51:9,12 54:9	98:12	90:22,23 91:7	noticed 65:5
58:3 59:4	needs 23:11	92:4 95:20,20	Notices 9:14
60:20 67:11	42:4 43:19	96:2,3 98:25	notifications
67:15,17,19	67:12 70:23	102:13,18,19	8:24
67:20,25 68:4	70:25 71:8	106:15	notified 49:22
68:7 70:18	72:15 87:22	108:11 109:5	49:23
71:8 77:15	95:12 99:9	110:7,10	notify 7:3
78:3 80:10	negative 41:8	newer 83:4	November 5:8
81:25 82:15 82:23 84:18	41:10 42:20	newly 96:9 news 49:24	6:14 93:12
84:19 85:17	neighbor 83:5 neighborhood	51:12,13,13	number 9:19 92:9 95:23,25
89:7 91:6	47:3,25	51:14,15	numbers 95:5
97:2,7 106:16	neighbors	Newsday 49:20	numerous 84:7
nature 8:4 39:8	46:17 63:13	newspapers	NY 74:10
nautical 5:18	63:15	16:21 18:17	0
5:20 6:2,4,5 navigation	neither 9:25 12:11	20:2 nice 31:22	Oakdale 55:2
13:12	NEPA 7:13,19	Nicholas 63:11	Obama 82:3
navigational	15:19,20 17:7	Nick 55:22 58:6	87:14
10:23 51:15	19:19	58:8 60:5	obfuscate 41:6
56:22 86:15	Neptune 48:22	63:8	objection 58:17
nearly 40:22	never 6:16 45:5	night 16:23	89:20,21
65:6 66:24	62:21,22 95:7	30:11 31:18	observation
necessarily 39:5	new 1:5 2:14	33:21 44:15	85:8
necessary 9:8	5:17,19,20,21	nineteen 6:2	obtuse 58:19
59:23 107:17	6:6,9,22,22	25:13	obvious 101:3 Obviously 12:16
need 2:8 4:3,6	7:21,21 9:2	NOI 16:6,8	occasional
4:9,12 9:22 21:4 22:22	13:18,19 16:15,15,23	noise 17:16 21:6	109:10
E1, T CC, CC	10.10,10,20	21.0	70130

Occupy 46:16	old 27:17 47:11	opposing 83:6	page 92:4
occur 84:20	48:2 51:3	opposite 67:18	pages 3:8 32:2
86:6 87:19	97:13 106:17	87:2	32:3 70:25
occurred 16:10	oldest 81:12	opposition	paid 57:7,10
43:12 84:17	once 24:21	29:18 66:13	panel 2:14,25
occurs 44:14	35:18 38:8	79:7 109:14	papers 49:23
ocean 22:24	41:15 42:18	oral 9:7 19:10	Park 54:23
36:13 40:10	50:9 51:7	orbit 89:17	Parks 35:4
50:22 51:2,8	54:4 89:13	order 21:9	part 3:10 7:8
52:19,24 54:3	100:2	77:11 87:10	14:16 17:7
56:8,10,22	ones 67:20	99:18	19:19 25:21
60:11,16 61:5	opaque 58:19	organization	41:24 58:5
61:9 66:20	open 1:2 4:19	31:7,11 53:7	75:9 86:20
73:6 75:4,8	67:9 104:11	53:12 72:23	participation
77:6,13 78:5	open.Do 63:3	81:13 106:4	15:6
78:15 106:9	operate 5:16	organizations	particular 12:4
106:19,22	13:8	5:3 31:12	58:25
oceans 60:12	operated 13:14	74:8	parties 58:18
63:5	operating 72:13	organize 52:13	partnership
Oceanside	76:14 104:25	organized 78:21	12:18
54:24 103:18	operation 14:13	original 24:13	parts 44:5
104:10	17:19	originally 84:15	99:16.21
offends 29:20	operations	orphaned 34:13	Party 16:14
offering 52:19	10:18 11:2	ostensibly 59:6	pass 39:2
Office 88:10	71:22 72:5		109:16
official 8:24 9:2	and the state of t	Oswego 90:22 outbursts 83:20	
12:25 19:13	opinion 65:7		passed 5:8
	77:12 81:13	outflow 61:11	Patchogue 55:2
26:17,24	81:15,16	outrage 52:17	Paterson 57:25
43:15	opponent 9:25	outside 2:8	path 67:3 68:9
officials 3:4	12:11	19:4 37:6	90:4
16:17 21:10	opportunities	49:12,14	pathway 106:23
21:11,21	9:5 98:18	overall 7:8	patients 104:9
46:10	opportunity 3:7	overseas 44:23	Patriot 48:14
offs 69:10	4:18 8:15	45:8,16 59:6	patterns 71:24
off-shore 41:9	19:9,12 20:25	67:23 106:14	pay 50:2 62:25
78:7,17,18,20	21:12 23:25	overview 5:3	99:8
78:22,23 79:2	24:12,22 26:7	9:22 15:5	paying 98:11
oh 93:25	26:17 30:3	owned 75:19	pencil 89:9
oil 41:24 50:11	40:17 63:22	91:21	Pennsylvania
51:19 54:9	64:2,17 71:4	owner 61:8	90:13 92:15
66:20 80:14	92:4	76:20	people 3:8 4:5
80:15 94:25	oppose 30:5	oxygen 50:6	4:25 21:15
103:20	66:15	oxymoron 35:3	22:8,10 24:6
Okay 2:21 21:8	opposed 29:16		24:8,10,16,25
21:18 66:5	30:23 69:6	P	26:22 27:23
76:10 81:7	74:24	P1:8	28:2,8 29:14
95:5 97:21	opposes 68:13	packs 100:8,16	30:21,21

			,
31:14 34:5	petition 43:24	95:3 100:15	96:5,7,8
39:2,4 40:3,6	43:25 44:11	101:15.25	popular 100:5
40:11 43:4	petitions 44:8	102:4	population
46:9 47:14	Phil 29:13	planned 47:18	28:22 47:8
49:6,7,22,24	Phillip 26:12	plant 40:6	89:23,24
50:2,7,8	29:7	48:24 67:2,5	port 1:3 2:1 3:1
54:18 60:14	phone 95:22,23	68:8	4:1,21,21,23
62:18 64:12	95:23,25	plants 25:25	5:1,4,10,17
64:16 65:15	phonetic 57:25	57:8	6:1,7,8,10,11
69:25 81:15	piece 37:25	play 66:17	6:15,20,25
84:25 85:25	64:24	please 9:6 17:9	
		19:3 20:15	7:1,2,9,16 8:1
87:4 88:13,16	pig 80:24		9:1,18 10:1,2
90:16 96:15	pinhole 89:8	48:7 78:15	10:7,10,18,23
96:19 101:2	pipe 27:13	79:24 80:24	10:24 11:1,12
105:4,11,14	60:19,21	81:7 83:9,14	11:21,22 12:1
105:18	61:11,17,22	83:21 87:25	12:7,19,22,22
106:13 107:9	95:13 106:21	102:23	13:1,7,11,13
108:8,14	109:8	109:18	13:15,24 14:1
109:11	piped 82:17	pleased 12:8	14:6 15:1,2,3
perception 61:4	pipeline 6:3,12	ploy 53:20	16:1 17:1,6
period 19:15	18:3 25:15	pocket 91:3	17:20 18:1,2
24:17 31:14	35:3 46:14,17	pocketbooks	18:4 19:1,23
31:21 68:14	69:11 72:3	59:14	20:1,9 21:1
70:23 73:8	73:22 76:19	point 4:2 37:3	22:1,7 23:1
74:2 81:19,23	78:3 86:13	50:5 56:24	24:1 25:1
107:12	91:13,18,19	58:24 59:7,12	26:1 27:1
permission 44:2	91:20 92:7	60:18 86:5	28:1 29:1
permit 58:25	101:10	102:25	30:1 31:1
permitted 77:25	pipelines 5:25	103:25	32:1 33:1,5,9
78:2	35:2 103:8	106:16	33:10,10,25
permitting	piping 86:23	points 53:11	34:1,3,4 35:1
35:15	place 13:18	58:11 74:14	35:16,18,21
	P 2 1/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2		11.2.2.4.0.0.7.5. N. 7.3.0.1.28**.7***
person 3:10,11	18:16 27:5	84:7,8 92:9	36:1 37:1
3:12,12 4:4	28:13 45:20	police 85:20	38:1 39:1
20:20 21:16	76:7 90:2	policies 15:21	40:1 41:1,22
21:17 56:14	placed 18:22	55:8	42:1,17 43:1
78:13 90:24	places 46:23	policy 7:12	43:5,13,23
Mr. 42, 514 Sept. 2012 July 182 193 193 193 193 193 193 193 193 193 193	the first and the second second	The company of the co	1 2 2 2 3 DEC 1 2 3 DE
A LA CARROLL LA ACCEPTANCE OF THE PARTY OF T	plain 107:18	politicians	47:1 48:1
84:12 86:3	plan 27:10	92:13	49:1 50:1
person's 78:11	47:20 60:15	polluted 57:8	51:1,18 52:1
persuasive	72:7 77:5	pollution 70:21	53:1 54:1
40:24	92:18 94:3	ponied 36:3	55:1 56:1
pertained 86:18	102:18		57:1,2 58:1
Peter 56:16		65:24	UCC 2014 4-245 25 25 25 24
1 (CAC) 107 (A) (CAC) ACAD A TAT	The part of the first of the second of the s		1 (4) (6) (4) (7) (6) (1) (6) (7)
101:23 personal 84:10 personally 84:12 86:3 person's 78:11 persuasive 40:24 pertained 86:18	placing 67:4 68:7 plain 107:18 plan 27:10 47:20 60:15 72:7 77:5	13:10 15:19 political 72:22 politicians 92:13 polluted 57:8 pollution 70:21 ponied 36:3 pontificate	44:1,5 45:1 45:19 46:1 47:1 48:1 49:1 50:1 51:1,18 52: 53:1 54:1 55:1 56:1

F	T	1	
63:1 64:1	105:21,23	93:23	108:9
65:1 66:1	potential 7:22	price 57:7,10	products 75:12
67:1 68:1,7	10:19 15:24	prices 42:4,5	professional
69:1 70:1,12	16:2 22:23	42:10	75:16
70:17 71:1,9	23:4 31:17,24	primary 97:7	profit 67:24
71:19 72:1	33:9,14 47:2	Princeton 2:14	profitable 42:6
73:1 74:1	47:4 71:23	principal 38:16	program 13:17
75:1 76:1	87:17 107:7	principals 38:14	63:25
77:1,14 78:1	107:13	priority 98:4	programs 7:4
79:1 80:1	pouring 101:5	pristine 27:17	project 1:3 2:1
81:1 82:1	power 48:25	probably 81:15	2:25 3:1 4:1
83:1 84:1	72:13 92:21	problem 34:4	4:22 5:1 6:1,7
85:1 86:1	powers 97:7	35:8 94:6	6:9,13 7:1 8:1
87:1 88:1	practice 46:22	100:8 101:8	9:1,12 10:1,2
89:1 90:1	prehistoric	problems 48:21	10:5 11:1
91:1 92:1	102:7	94:8 95:4	12:1,17 13:1
93:1 94:1	preparation	97:2	14:1 15:1,4
95:1 96:1,13	69:21	procedure 44:5	15:15 16:1,18
97:1 98:1,6,7	prepare 7:24	proceed 6:22	17:1,22 18:1
98:10 99:1,22	16:7 18:12	process 2:23	18:7,9 19:1
100:1 101:1	38:22	5:7 6:25 7:9	20:1 21:1
101:14 102:1	prepared 7:11	12:13,20 15:6	22:1,7,10,11
103:1 104:1	62:7 86:18	15:23 16:5,11	22:16,17,18
105:1 106:1	preparing 14:24	17:7 18:11	23:1,2 24:1,3
106:14,22	15:7,9,16	19:20 22:13	24:11 25:1
107:1,19,19	17:23 19:17	22:23 23:14	26:1,18 27:1
108:1 109:1	present 19:11	23:16 24:21	28:1,23 29:1
109:22	71:7	30:4,22 32:17	29:16 30:1,10
ports 5:14	presented	36:24 41:3	30:15,23 31:1
101:12	58:15 77:7	58:25 59:3	31:25 32:1
port's 10:25	91:23	63:23 67:25	33:1,17 34:1
11:4 107:7	presents 96:12	73:19,25	35:1 36:1
poses 107:9	Preservation	75:10 90:7	37:1,4 38:1,9
position 59:4	96:10	processed 6:16	39:1,13,16
positive 98:5,14	President 76:13	43:8,10	40:1 41:1.3
possibilities	82:3 87:14	processes 10:4	42:1,17 43:1
17:23	Presidential	processing 7:15	43:15,15,16
possibility	87:10	10:6 12:9	44:1 45:1,20
44:15 96:13	press 59:8 64:7	produce 108:21	46:1,16,20
possible 30:11	pressurized	produced 25:4	47:1,24 48:1
72:6 90:6	62:16	35:5 55:14	48:3,22 49:1
102:23	presumed	82:23	50:1 51:1
possibly 60:19	13:24	product 50:9,10	52:1,2,17
posted 3:15		50:13	53:1 54:1
	pretty 102:17		
posters 17:5 20:7	prevent 13:14 81:17	production 25:5 41:10 44:22	55:1,25 56:1
Posteru 104:23			56:4,6 57:1
1 03(6) 0 104.23	previous 84:8	productions	58:1 59:1

THE RESERVE	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	TOTAL YELDING OF THE	- agements over
60:1 61:1	promote 25:2	provided 16:10	59:17 64:2,3
62:1,24 63:1	propaganda	17:5,8 72:10	75:7 85:2
63:4,14,17,19	77:4,10	provides 16:17	88:16,23
63:22,25 64:1	proper 85:25	92:4	89:21 109:8
64:3,11 65:1	properly 11:9	providing 17:2	puts 34:5
65:8 66:1,15	property 11:7	78:19 98:16	putting 51:16
67:1 68:1,13	91:25	99:6,7	60:12,18
69:1,15 70:1	proponent 9:25	public 1:2 3:2,3	92:22
70:24 71:1	12:11	3:6 4:20 8:9	PWC 97:10
72:1,13,14	proposal 13:25		Manager Street Control of File Street Control
The state of the s		8:11 9:11,15	p.m 1:4 109:25
73:1,3,12	26:15 40:18	9:15 10:15	0
74:1 75:1,4	40:22 43:6	15:5,19,24	Quahan 62.15
75:14,18 76:1	46:5 51:4,6	16:12,17,20	Quebec 62:15
76:20,22,24	58:16 81:22	18:13,22,22	Queens 29:14
77:1,2,4,12	88:19,23	19:8,12,15,21	29:17,25 30:7
77:16,24 78:1	proposed 6:10	23:23,24	Queensboro
78:6,7,16,17	6:13,15 8:5	26:21 27:19	86:21,21
78:23 79:1,2	10:5 13:24	28:15,15	Question 27:7
80:1,9 81:1	15:2,14 22:6	29:21,22,23	questions 19:11
82:1,12 83:1	22:16 60:11	31:13,21 32:4	20:24 22:20
84:1 85:1	60:15 61:11	32:9,17 34:16	24:2 26:3
86:1 87:1,25	62:24 66:13	35:4,14 39:7	73:21 96:11
the state of the s		The Contract of the Contract o	quick 58:12
88:1,19,22,24	72:14 78:6,16	46:20,24	quickest 68:3
89:1,20 90:1	proposes 23:2	48:10 51:13	quickly 67:18
90:5 91:1	proposing 38:9	51:14 52:12	85:14
92:1,4 93:1	64:5 75:16	52:16 55:12	
94:1 95:1,19	protect 35:13	57:20 61:4	quietly 30:11
95:25 96:1,12	37:3 72:23,25	68:14 70:22	quite 22:21
96:14,17,19	protected 26:3	70:23,25	29:20 30:24
97:1,4 98:1,7	87:9	72:15 77:6,7	60:24 83:13
98:10,23 99:1	protecting	77:11 107:11	quo 54:11
99:6.14 100:1	29:18	109:22	
101:1,8,17	protection 7:5	110:14	R
102:1,16	36:12 57:22	publish 18:14	R1:8
103:1 104:1	88:9 105:19	published 6:19	Radioactivity
105:1 104:1	protections	8:20 16:21	35:7
			raging 100:22
106:10 107:1	72:10 94:11	publishing 16:6	railroad 64:12
107:16 108:1	protects 47:21	pumped 59:5	69:22
109:1	proud 26:2	pure 50:12	rails 57:8
projected 71:7	87:23 96:22	purpose 44:6	raise 58:12
71:20	97:9	45:13	66:17
projections 87:6	provide 5:2	purposes 9:6	The state of the s
projects 7:19	8:16 9:22	push 94:6	raised 108:4
17:22 30:16	15:5 19:9	put 2:20 32:7	ran 105:23
	49:6 92:23	37:9 42:3	rapid 82:4
39.9.11 20:4	43.0 36.63		
39:9,11 58:4 98:5	97:7 108:14	47:18 48:23	rarely 37:8 Ray 53:2 55:21

55:24 57:17	32:24 89:19	35:8	21.5 02.17 22
read 16:19 33:2	recommend	regulated 94:13	21:5 83:17,23 107:24
86:18 89:19	41:4	regulations	110:10
92:13	record 9:11	11:11 13:6	reports 38:22
reading 87:4	13:2 19:14		represent 27:24
	43:3,20 58:5	regulations.gov 9:11	31:5 98:2
ready 3:13 21:18	recorded 16:24	F176 F1	
reaffirmed		regulatory 35:7	99:3 108:2
	62:3	reiterate 4:5	representative
43:11 46:5	recovered 107:3	20:22 79:22	85:23
real 24:16	recovering 23:9	reiterating	representatives
36:22 48:13	24:8,9 67:7	21:22	7:21
77:12 79:18	recovery 23:10	reject 12:22	representing
79:19 93:9	23:11	39:15 41:5	66:11
96:10	recreation	rejected 40:21	represents 27:3
realize 50:8	17:16 25:18	related 15:9	29:12
realized 54:7	Recreational	71:14 73:2	republican 27:4
realizing 39:22	72:21	relating 7:4	104:18
really 3:25 4:5	red 4:2 20:19	15:25 89:6	request 68:18
4:6 20:24	reduce 98:24	release 56:11	81:20
25:3,10 26:19	98:25 99:19	released 64:8	requested
26:21 28:18	Reeves 74:6	71:21	18:21 41:22
34:12 36:21	76:4,6	reliability 17:17	requesting
58:19,19 62:7	refer 77:7	relief 93:10	18:25
63:3 66:25	referred 6:7	relying 74:17	requests 68:13
73:11 74:13	reflect 58:20	74:19,20	require 59:2
74:13 81:21	reflected 12:25	remaining 3:21	required 6:21
103:2 105:24	refuge 96:21	3:21 4:3	7:9 20:8
reason 32:16	refute 77:4	remember	requirements
41:19 42:16	regarding 5:4	38:24 39:16	14:8 20:10
64:21 75:12	9:23 77:16	69:19 88:14	44:4
75:14	region 28:4	reminds 27:5	requires 44:2
reasonable	31:8 32:11	renewable	research 28:3
31:23 33:13	50:23,25 51:7	37:17 55:7	89:4,5
reasons 12:13	51:17,22,24	82:5 98:21	researched
66:15	98:9	99:24 102:20	55:10
reassurance	regional 18:19	renewables	Researcher
30:9	71:8	53:17,18,19	70:8
rebuild 52:11	regions 32:12	102:8	researching
92:23 93:23	Register 6:20	repeat 9:9	51:10 80:10
rebuilding	7:25 8:20	11:23	resident 60:7
95:16	9:14 16:7,19	Replacing 54:9	63:11 80:7
recall 89:5	18:16 19:25	replenishment	84:5 86:10
receive 8:11	registered	76:17	106:2
19:5 29:4	38:10,17	A COLUMN TO THE PARTY OF THE PA	residential
33:20 35:20		report 86:18	The second second second
	registration 19:3	reported 64:7	56:13,19
received 5:13		reporter 2:19	residents 22:25
8:18 31:19	Regularatory	4:9 20:16	23:8,15 35:12

56:13 66:12	reviewing 44:6	Robert 102:22	62:23 71:9
87:15	44:8	Rockaway 29:15	72:11 80:8
resource 15:10	reviews 45:23	29:25 30:7	105:13 107:9
19:10 36:11	revolving 92:14	Rockaways	sailor 97:5
37:18 80:17	reward 27:7	93:12	sake 101:15,15
resources 17:13	re-licensed 33:6	Roddy 2:15	102:15
17:15 67:22	re-visit 41:20	Rodney 1:9	sale 63:6
73:7,24 78:20	Richard 36:8	4:22 11:24	sand 80:15
80:12	38:6	Roff 90:11 93:5	105:6
respectfully 4:6	Rider 60:8	93:7,7	Sandy 6:6 23:8
80:3 81:20	ridiculous	Roger 96:6	30:8 40:5
respond 28:16	95:14	97:21,24	47:16 52:9
104:6	right 2:6,8,10	role 7:17 10:14	57:4 62:2,4
responded	2:11 3:14	roles 5:4	67:7 71:11
27:19 28:2	21:20 25:12	room 2:9,9 21:3	73:13 93:10
103:21	25:19 32:17	30:21 76:23	93:14,16
response 29:4	38:11 44:17	77:21 84:11	95:16 100:22
66:4 81:6	51:22 60:14	97:18,24	106:8
103:21	63:16 67:2,22	101:20	Saturday 62:13
responsibilities	74:16 75:15	round 52:14	Saudi 67:19
5:4 11:15	84:21 91:20	routes 18:3	saving 69:6
responsibility	92:24 95:19	ruin 62:25	saw 49:20 62:9
9:23 35:13	95:22 99:9	ruining 47:9	62:10 85:4
99:3 101:13	100:12	rules 3:15	saying 26:5
102:3	101:16 105:3	running 104:21	30:12 31:20
responsible	105:19 106:6	108:12	44:11,12,13
2:23 10:17	106:25	runs 87:10	45:25 50:7
13:5 62:3	107:15	14/14/07/120	52:23 64:18
94:19	108:18	S	68:23 97:13
rest 44:14	rights 74:12	S1:8	says 25:23
65:12 94:22	rigorous 73:19	sabotage 52:5	68:24 92:9
restroom 2:8	rise 42:4 87:3,5	sacred 102:12	105:24
result 93:20	87:7	sacrifice 59:13	scale 31:24
resulting 41:14	riser/umbilicals	59:14,22	Schary 36:8
rethinking	5:24	safe 31:6 55:13	38:7,7,8
74:23	risk 10:17,20	55:20 75:16	39:18,20,20
return 19:7	27:7,23 44:16	94:23 103:6	schedule
52:19 98:15	46:3,3 60:12	safeguard	107:13
revenue 71:15	risking 27:18	94:20	scheduled
reverse 64:3	risks 25:7,8	safeguards	83:11
reversed 62:22	72:11 107:8	63:20	Scher 72:19
		safety 10:20,22	
review 7:10	river 84:21	11:9 17:17	74:6,7,8 76:3
10:3 11:6	Riverkeeper	23:3 27:23	Schmidt 76:4
18:13,23	106:3 107:6	28:9,24 29:19	79:23 80:4,6
22:16 23:13	Road 85:16	35:3 36:20,21	80:6 81:3
24:23 45:22	86:13	37:2,21 57:20	School 84:25
58:24 59:3	roads 34:16	37.2,21 37.20	science 15:7

99:18	57:21 80:8	22:21 106:7	shore 18:3 52:7
sciences 15:11	sediment 56:11	seriously 71:2	52:8 56:5
science-based	56:12	serve 7:17	57:6 61:7
81:22	sediments	33:11	67:5 76:19
scientific 82:8	17:12	session 11:21	108:5
scientists 101:4	see 37:8 40:2	set 110:17	shoreline 56:8
	47:6 48:15		12 3 4 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
scope 8:13,17		setting 49:9	shores 66:14
16:3 31:24	56:17 64:17	66:25	66:24 67:2,6
43:17 81:24	66:19 80:16	seven 49:12	68:9
scoping 1:2	82:9 91:15	68:25	short 49:19
4:20 8:11	94:11 106:8	seventeen 5:18	52:11 61:15
11:21 15:23	106:25	seventy-three	81:23 89:2
16:2,5,11,12	107:18	62:13	99:25
16:18,22 41:3	seeing 75:13	sewage 25:25	show 6:11 57:5
54:12 109:22	seek 8:9 10:15	40:6 48:24	63:17 76:21
Scott 74:6 76:4	15:23 22:18	57:8 61:11	80:13,13 87:6
76:5,8,13	seeking 42:13	106:7	90:13 102:15
sea 56:4 72:9	seen 42:12	shackle 54:4	showing 57:11
87:3,4,7	47:14 49:19	shackling 55:5	shut 69:10
106:21	80:19 84:11	shadow 34:6	83:11 108:25
Seaboard 51:18	seep 94:24	shaking 60:24	side 65:14
Seaford 24:4,5	self 90:7	shale 31:8	79:15,25 80:2
55:2	sell 45:3,4,9	32:11 34:5,9	87:2 97:16
	47:24 48:2	1-16-06-06-01 (1-16-06-17-19-06-06)	109:15
seals 72:9		35:12 42:2,7	The second of th
Sean 40:14	64:13,14	42:22 59:5	sides 77:23
42:25	67:24	70:19	79:20
Sean's 58:24	selling 59:25	shaling 80:15	side-step 54:10
second 41:23	Senator 56:3,15	shallow 85:15	signed 87:13
43:21 92:3	57:13	shape 102:5	significant 62:5
100:13	send 27:14	share 80:7	signs 91:7
Secondly 81:24	49:3 109:18	81:15	sign-in 19:4
seconds 3:24	109:20	sharp 23:16	similar 6:15
20:17 79:4,10	sending 45:15	sheet 77:8,13	26:15 84:19
secret 34:16,23	sense 25:6 35:9	shell 108:5	88:18 100:15
34:23	52:18 68:5	shellfish 48:20	simple 44:10
Secretaries	sensitive 28:19	Shepherds 96:9	45:6 75:3
13:19	sent 18:19 19:6	ship 36:24,25	simply 42:3
Secretary 44:3	31:12 45:8	37:2 67:23	53:20
section 43:23	sentiment	shipments	single 93:17
86:19,22	58:14	74:18	sir 79:5
secular 102:12	The second of th		sit 42:21 50:15
	separately	shipped 101:11	The state of the s
security 5:9	61:10	shipping 71:10	105:3
10:20,22 11:2	September 5:15	71:16,18	site 45:16
11:9 13:9	Sera 81:11,11	ships 49:5,10	47:21 82:18
23:2 25:7,8	series 62:15	49:13,15	86:19
37:22 51:25	68:18	56:25 61:6	sites 45:14
52:4 56:16,20	serious 22:11	71:17	sitting 106:25

situated 71:9	Southern 29:14	34:15	83:16,22
situation 49:4	29:25 30:7	spur 41:13	statements 4:12
six 3:8 45:8	88:10	SS 110:6	states 6:23
89:4	so-called 77:13	stable 99:19	13:23 37:13
size 43:17	space 91:15	Stackman 66:6	56:3,15 57:13
skeleton 103:25	speak 3:4,7,11	69:4,5 70:4	67:14,16
skiers 97:10	3:19 21:7,10	stage 15:22	77:14 88:10
Skopic 99:12	21:13,16,18	90:6	90:17,18,24
101:19,25	76:12 77:3,22	stages 78:24	stating 6:20
102:9	78:11,12,13	stairs 2:6	station 48:25
slaughter	78:15 79:24	stalled 59:19	86:16 87:8
108:20	84:9 105:25	Stamford	stations 35:2
slide 96:20	109:13	102:21	status 54:11
slowly 75:8	speaker 3:13,18	stand 23:14	steal 5:24
smack 51:22	21:7,17 31:3	29:15 39:6	91:25
small 62:14	36:7 77:18	65:19 90:20	AND THE RESERVE AND THE PARTY OF THE PARTY O
89:8 100:3	79:22 81:4,16	standards 4:23	stenographic 110:13
smoke 41:6	105:8 107:22	10:25	step 15:23
smoked 100:8	speakers 3:7	THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE	18:11
	THE RELATION OF THE STATE OF TH	standing 30:4	CONTRACTOR STATE OF THE PARTY O
smoking 100:11	39:11 83:13	65:14 76:24	Stephanie 46:8
100:12,16,16	84:8 101:21	standpoint	48:4,6
sneak 46:12	105:24	51:15,25	Stephen 101:19
sneaking 52:9	speaking 20:21	stands 29:17	103:13,14
snuck 69:20	64:5 66:8	start 3:23,25	steps 59:23
socio-econom	80:2 102:9	21:9,20 46:12	Steve 103:17
17:14	105:10	49:8,9 50:12	Stevens 88:4
soil 85:15	specialists	63:12 74:23	90:10,12,13
solar 75:22	15:10	83:12	steward 97:14
82:5 95:10	species 17:14	started 2:5,11	stewardship
sold 59:6	72:8	39:22	58:21
sole 27:10	specific 6:24	starving 61:2	stomach 76:6
solicit 19:22	20:5 72:8	state 3:5 7:4,20	stood 48:12
solidarity 65:19	specifically	7:23 8:10	90:25
solve 101:8	84:13 88:23	10:16 13:20	stop 54:22
solved 88:15	spend 91:9	16:9,16 18:19	57:17,19
soot 109:11	spent 33:16	21:11 26:11	88:21 100:12
sorry 36:5	55:12	28:3 34:18	101:5 108:9
sort 73:18	spew 100:17	35:10 49:17	stopped 27:12
Sound 53:14	spills 61:5	70:10 102:18	stopping 101:8
sour 50:12	106:8	102:20	108:11
source 27:10	spoken 82:4	106:12 110:7	stops 64:11
40:5 97:4,7	109:20	110:10	92:25,25
98:23 99:7	sponsor 44:24	stated 41:17	stores 73:14
sources 15:25	sponsored	statement 7:11	stories 61:14
41:25 98:16	25:23	15:2 25:22	storm 24:9
south 5:19 6:4	spread 77:5	72:23 73:4,20	28:20 49:2
SUULII J. I J. II.			

87:3 93:16	suffered 100:13	52:22	team 15:9
100:22	sufficiency	system 9:10	103:21
story 42:11	13:10	37:9 94:10	Tech 1:11 2:17
77:23 79:15	Suffolk 88:20	95:17	14:23 15:7
79:20	110:5	systems 36:16	95:12
stoves 74:21	Sullivan 39:19	37:5 92:7	technologies
straight 94:5	40:14,15,16	57.552.7	18:5 59:10,16
and the second s	42:24 69:4	T	59:24
strategically		T1:8	1.5 (4.5 (5.0 (4.0)))
53:23	70:5,7,7	tackle 73:14	technology
Street 91:5	72:17	1. Control 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	47:20 59:11
strength 65:23	summary 16:18	tactic 46:24	Tech's 15:4
stretching	summer 40:8	tainted 61:5	tell 28:12 29:16
60:17	52:10 69:20	take 4:9 27:17	30:4 50:16
strikes 99:8	Summers 100:5	27:22 28:5	54:12 61:14
striking 62:16	sun 92:25	35:23 46:3,4	73:13 86:3
stringent 14:8	Superstorm	59:22 75:4	91:17 95:24
strong 58:11	52:9 62:2	95:22 103:9	96:2 108:10
65:22 66:13	67:7	105:14	tells 99:18
struggle 61:8	supplies 45:14	taken 22:19	temperature
		23:12 28:13	48:19
studied 85:5	supply 27:11	110:14	The second secon
studies 15:9	67:25 92:5	talk 27:9,9	Tennessee 92:6
24:23 38:22	support 72:24		term 71:11
55:14 89:6,10	76:21,24	36:12 65:16	termed 16:2
103:4	105:19	65:17 90:23	terminal 32:14
subject 12:23	supported	93:9 105:13	32:20,25 33:3
32:20	40:22 82:7	talked 104:4	33:8 44:25
subjected	supporters	talking 37:19	60:19 66:14
106:20	94:22	51:16,21	67:12,12
submerged	sure 21:4 39:11	57:17,20	85:10.18
5:23	55:18 62:18	80:21 95:5	terminals 61:7
75/10/10	75:8	105:11	terror 59:19
submerging		tandem 12:8	
66:22	Surf 60:8	tank 75:7	terrorist 89:25
submit 17:3	surfer 97:6	tanker 23:5	testify 70:10
57:24 58:5	surfers 106:18	Control Contro	tests 63:20
83:17,22	surge 87:3	89:14,16	106:21
submitted 5:15	surrounding	tankers 51:19	Tetra 1:11 2:17
9:10	30:2	51:20 56:18	14:23 15:4,7
submitting 8:3	survival 87:25	66:23	86:18 95:12
53:9	survive 61:2	tanks 75:5	Texas 76:16
subsea 5:25 6:2	75:7	target 51:17	text 89:19
subsidiary	susceptible	89:25	thank 2:3 4:15
80:12	52:5	taught 100:2,3	4:16 11:20
substantial	suspend 14:11	tax 63:5 71:14	14:21 20:13
	And the first of the second of	taxes 63:2	ELL VINCELL EYER M. UNIV.
14:17	sustainable	teach 65:15	23:17 24:15
substantive	72:25		26:8,10 28:18
11:7	sway 77:11	teacher 100:4,6	29:2,4,6,9
suck 109:11	swimming	teaches 65:19	30:25 31:2

33:17 36:4	58:17 63:3	Tim 1:11 2:17	23:13 29:5,17
38:5 39:17	73:12,17 74:2	9:4 14:23	29:23 30:15
40:13,16	97:10,16	time 2:2,24	32:21 36:12
42:23,24 43:2		3:20,22,25	39:11 53:10
46:6 48:3	thinking 40:10	4:3 11:13	56:15 58:12
50:14,16,17	third 62:5	16:12 20:13	83:24 104:15
55:20 57:23	105:15	20:20 21:18	104:21
58:5 60:3	thirteen 6:5	23:25 25:21	105:25
63:7 65:25	thirty 24:14	26:7 29:3	106:13
68:22,25 70:4	50:23	38:5 50:15	tons 56:11
72:17,20 73:7	A STATE OF THE STA	52:10,23	top 107:4
74:4,5 76:3	thirty-three 62:3		The second secon
		55:17 57:25	108:19
76:11 77:17	Thomas 48:5,6	63:11,21	topics 17:12
81:3,7 83:7,8	48:8	64:19 65:3,18	toppled 73:14
83:25 86:6,7	thorough 22:15	68:17 69:14	total 80:20
88:2,3 90:9	thought 39:21	72:14 73:18	107:4
93:3,4 96:4	85:9	78:11,11,15	totally 74:23
97:19 99:10	thoughtful 32:7	81:2 83:11	Tower 37:25
101:23	81:22	84:5,6,25	38:2
103:11,12,16	thoughtfully	93:17 98:12	towers 47:18
104:21	32:18	103:9 105:23	town 46:12
105:21	thousands	107:17 109:2	57:4 61:24
107:21,24	56:11 62:17	109:2,4,15,25	62:15,18
109:17,21,24	66:11	times 19:24	84:15,20 85:2
thermal 95:10	threat 52:20	45:4,9	100:3
they'd 65:13	61:10 72:8	timing 46:20	towns 61:13
thing 30:14	threatened	Tina 104:23	toxic 34:16,17
31:15 39:8,25	17:13	105:21	34:23,24
48:9,11 49:5	threatening	today 8:20	56:12 106:8
58:25 70:2	51:23,24	11:21 16:24	track 2:24
85:13 92:12	threatens 63:4	24:6 40:3,17	32:16
93:19 97:12	three 3:18	40:25 43:13	trade 73:5 74:9
101:16 104:3	20:16 21:22	43:19 46:6	74:10 108:6
108:8	26:16 53:11	49:3,19.21	trail 55:15
things 2:24	56:18 58:3	53:6 63:16	train 62:14
20:19 43:3	83:14	65:16 66:12	trained 89:14
45:11 48:14	thrive 61:13	70:11 76:12	training 89:18
50:11 53:16	throw 69:17	76:25 92:24	trains 62:20
58:13 65:4	93:24	97:14 98:18	
69:8 74:20	The state of the s		Trans 85:7
	thunder 97:11	told 47:15	transactions
83:15,20 89:5	tickets 64:14	62:19 94:20	80:22,22 Transac 6:4
109:6,7	64:15	104:5	Transco 6:4
think 8:12	tide 39:23	tomorrow 16:23	91:21
11:23,25	tie 6:3 20:19	64:10,13	transcript 83:18
23:23 24:14	86:11	65:11 84:13	110:13
29:2 40:11	ties 86:12	tonight 9:6 17:2	transcripts 9:16
49:21 50:4	tie-in 86:25	20:14 22:6,15	transferred

43:25	103:2	unbelievable	urban 47:3
transit 37:2	truths 63:4	52:7	urge 40:25 41:7
transition 82:4	try 47:24 48:2	uncomfortable	use 7:5 17:9
82:7 83:3	52:13 76:25	68:15	20:3 34:17
94:4	83:23	unconscionable	41:18 49:25
transitioning	trying 24:7,10	67:3 87:20	59:2 64:24
102:7	40:6 46:23	unconventional	75:6 92:2,3
transmitted	52:10 54:14	42:2	94:3 99:23
8:24	60:14 65:3	Co. 24 1 CE	102:7
MAKE C.	66:21 76:25	underground 86:23	uses 34:2
transparency 46:19		underneath	106:23
	83:21 96:20		PORTECT AND PROBLEM
transparent	Tuna 36:11	48:18	utility 99:8
22:16 80:25	turbines 37:17	understand	ν
transportation	37:20 72:12	25:3 26:23	valid 50:4
5:9 17:15	turn 65:20	49:25 58:13	validation 75:25
44:3 97:8	67:10	64:23	
transported	turned 48:14	understands	Valley 108:5 valuable 65:15
82:20	63:13 64:22	87:13	
Trans-contine	70:13	understood	value 12:17
86:12	turning 71:18	62:11	vaporization
trapping 101:6	turns 32:13	unemployed	5:23
traveling	turret 36:16	108:12	various 11:10
100:19	37:5	unemployment	veers 86:14
treated 25:24	turtles 72:9	108:12	versus 6:12
27:14 72:4	TWA 85:11	union 27:4 76:6	vessel 72:4
106:21	twenty 25:15	76:15 78:9,19	vessels 5:23
treatment	twenty-four	97:17,24 98:2	11:12 71:17
25:25 48:24	5:19 26:24	98:13	vested 31:9
57:8	27:2	unique 56:7	veto 30:18
tremendous	twenty-seven	united 37:13	43:11
29:10 50:2	5:21	48:13 56:3,15	vetoed 30:17
trend 67:9	twenty-six 6:2	57:13 67:14	43:7 45:20
trends 68:16,16	twenty-two	67:16 88:10	46:5 52:2
trepidation	24:14	90:17	95:19
22:12	twice 38:3 51:7	University	viable 98:7
tried 69:22	two 5:23 37:5	102:21,23	vicinity 17:22
80:9	40:21 43:3	unloading 49:10	31:15 102:2
Trillions 91:13	56:19 77:23	85:11	views 12:15
Trinidad 25:5	CL. LEGICAL MEDITALISM NAMED IN CO.	unpopular	14:20 62:13
45:14 91:16	type 86:25	76:23 77:21	Village 46:11
DELTECTION TO THE TREE IN THE	U		47:3,4 54:24
trips 61:15	ugly 66:20	unreasonably	54:25
trouble 94:19	ultimately 10:8	13:11	violates 14:9
106:7	12:6	upset 76:6	violent 100:22
true 29:11		Upstate 31:8	virtually 77:9
82:14 89:18	unacceptable	upstream 34:10	
95:8 110:12	33:25	up-stream	vision 58:22
truth 64:20	unanimous 58:2	33:23 35:16	visitors 61:4

	7-		
voice 68:20	91:24	103:6	50:13 51:3
81:13 107:17	wanting 28:4	ways 68:3	52:22 53:6,12
voices 74:15	wants 44:24	wealthiest	55:4 57:19
volatile 106:10	83:9	75:20	58:15 59:4
volume 42:8	war 90:4	weather 47:14	60:24 62:11
P.C. STANKE SPECIAL STREET	A COLUMN TO THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF TH		100 Part 100
volunteer 31:7	warming 70:21	67:6	63:3 67:18
vote 58:2 69:19	warnings 101:2	web 80:10,13	70:3 72:22
69:19	wars 79:19	website 80:20	73:9,19,21
Voters 70:10	washed 88:15	week 31:11	74:20 75:12
vu 53:15	wasn't 43:8	43:11 91:6	80:4,21 83:13
vulnerable 67:6	46:16 84:18	104:18	83:21 87:23
	Wass 46:8 48:5	weigh 107:15	87:24,24
W	48:6	Weisenberg	94:19 99:15
wages 98:14	waste 25:24	23:20 26:11	101:6 104:9
walk 108:18	34:16 88:15	26:14,15 29:7	105:13 107:6
walked 94:21	Watch 40:16	29:10 57:17	107:11
wall 53:19 91:5	watching 61:18	welcome 4:17	109:15
want 2:4 4:5	water 7:5 10:21	4:20 47:23	we've 30:8,23
9:24 10:24	12:22 17:12	wells 34:13,20	31:22 37:19
20:22 21:2,6	The state of the s	35:2 102:14	10 - 2 A COLOR SERVICE - 1 C. A SERVICE - 1
26:23 27:9	27:11,13,15		50:24 51:2,4
28:10,17,18	27:16 34:17	109:7	51:9,18 55:9
28:20 32:23	35:5,13 40:5	Wendy 72:18	64:8,22 79:25
	40:16 43:23	74:6,7	whales 72:9
33:7 36:14	47:22 48:18	went 28:19	whatsoever
39:4,14 40:7	48:19,20 50:9	38:12 85:12	80:14
44:12 49:16	64:11 66:22	87:5	WHEREOF
50:15,16	70:22 71:24	west 1:5 47:3	110:16
52:14,24 55:7	72:3,4,4,24	101:12	wide 82:8
55:19 57:2	75:4 90:14	western 27:5	widely 16:15
58:12 65:22	94:7.12 96:23	we'll 3:4 12:13	Wiener 31:4
66:19 73:7,22	102:14 106:6	14:17 15:15	33:18,18
75:2 76:9	106:21 109:8	21:9 29:7	wife 38:9 108:2
79:11,14,17	waterfront	32:14 49:11	wildlife 17:13
79:21 90:3	22:24	52:25 66:6	22:25
94:22 95:9,21	Service and the service and th	69:3 83:24	Williams 91:22
95:25 97:17	watering 72:7 waters 5:17	84:2 86:5	91:23
101:19	CO 20 2 7 10 20 70 70 10 70 10 70 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		THE PARTY OF THE P
103:10,10	waterways	90:10 93:4	willing 46:4
104:5 105:11	97:12	96:4	wind 37:17
	waves 57:5	we're 3:2,3	41:9 47:18
106:8,19	60:12 62:3,4	12:14,16	55:13,13,19
108:17	62:5,6,8	16:22 21:14	72:12,13
109:17	71:11 97:6	21:20 25:8,9	75:22 78:7,17
Wantagh 24:4,6	way 51:2 71:18	25:10 27:17	78:18,20,22
48:9	75:25 76:16	28:13,23	78:23 79:3
wanted 26:19	80:17 91:4,24	30:24 32:12	82:6 92:19,23
26:22 37:18	92:17 94:14	44:11,13	92:24 95:10

102:19	worried 24:8	violding 54.7	70.25
A LONG TO CALL TO THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE P	. M. 17 J. M. A. 71 T. M. M. C. S. S. S. S. S.	yielding 54:7	70:25
windmill 56:7	25:8,9,10	York 1:5 5:17	10,000 101:2
57:3	worries 62:19	5:19,21 6:22	100 82:17
window 84:20	worry 59:18,20	7:21 13:18	100% 50:12
windows 85:2	93:2	16:15 19:8,21	12 49:20 80:23
Winter 76:5,8	worrying 96:11	27:6 28:4	106:17
76:11,13	worse 93:17	29:15,17 31:8	120 24:16
77:20 78:14	95:17,17	34:18 40:20	31:23 68:14
79:6,11,14	106:21	46:10 56:9	71:2 72:15
91:2	worst 94:12	57:12 58:10	81:21 107:12
withdrawal 6:17	worth 44:16	70:9 71:5,12	1289887:11
WITNESS	wouldn't 43:13	85:7 87:4	13 37:5 56:4
110:16	49:2 68:6	88:8,11 90:22	130 31:11
witnessed	91:9	95:20 96:3	130,000 29:14
96:25 99:14	wrapping 3:23	98:25 102:18	146:18
witnesses 61:14	Wreck 36:17,17	102:19	14th 24:13
woman 100:6,6	wrecks 36:18	108:11 110:7	15 101:20
women's 2:9	written 8:17 9:7	110:10	17th 91:23
won 53:13	9:9 16:23	Yorker 90:23	19 66:14 68:8
wonderful 63:22	17:3 44:2.6	Yorkers 36:2	100:23
64:2,17 65:8	53:10 83:16	102:13	19745:10
84:8 101:13	83:22 107:23	young 40:3	6127/3/2/23
words 30:6	wrong 38:25	85:4 100:11	2
83:7	74:17	100:23	26:12 13:5
work 7:15 10:6		youngster 84:16	17:7 25:13,19
12:8 38:21	X	7 5 5 7 G 5 5 5 7 5 5 5 5	57:9 100:8,16
48:22 65:4	XL 101:10	Z	109:10
66:16 89:15	- 4	Zipp 48:7 50:18	2,000 90:16
93:10 94:11	Y	50:20,21	2.26:4
105:2,7 106:4	Yankee 36:17	zone 13:17	20 60:17 90:19
108:7,10,15	year 43:9,13	36:20,21,22	2002 5:8
worked 27:4	46:6 61:3	37:2,22,22	2007 51:9
85:5,7 89:14	65:12 91:3	47:2,4	92:10
96:22 98:21	100:13,25	zones 10:22	2008 37:7
100:2 102:11	106:17	Zoning 7:6	2009 37:7
102:12	years 26:16		55:24 58:4
105:16	27:2,17 38:3	\$	2010 6:10 87:5
workers 61:23	40:21 47:19	\$325 99;2	20116:14
working 7:19	50:25 55:6,11	\$350 37:10	87:14
36:7 88:25	56:9 61:16	\$500 91:3	2012 5:15 6:17
92:16 98:8,19	86:11 88:14		43:9
109:6	88:18 90:19	20000000	2013 1:4 6:18
world 63:18	93:11 97:6	1 13:4 53:12	8:18,21 16:8
	105:15	58:13 109:10	70:16 110:15
82:8 85:7	yellow 3:24	1st 93:12	110:18
89:24 90:2	20:18	1,000 58:10	20148:18
100:20 105:2	yesterday 8:22	1,500 32:3	2030 92:18
world's 53:22	CONTRACTOR STATES		2000 32.16

		34
102:20	45 100:9	
22 72:3	470% 45:18	
22nd 8:23 9:2	5	
238:18,21	5 13:12 84:23	
23rd 24:13 26:13 29:8,13	92:15	
32:8 70:23	50 86:11 97:6	
24 16:8	50,000 56:13	
24th 7:25	501C472:22	
25 76:14 98:20	518-474-8390	
105:2,16	95:25	
108:3	59.2-486:20	1
250,000 98:2	59.79.103	
26 60:19	86:22	
28 5:15	6	
3	6 13:15 45:4	
3 13:7 79:9	52:22 95:6	
86:16 100:7	6,000 27:16	
104:20	600 98:11	
3.5 106:20	7	
30 25:19 31:14 31:21 44:20	7 13:17 84:23	
50:25 55:5	8	
79:4,10 81:18	8 13:19 55:11	
88:16 92:10	8:00 83:11	
00 82:17	8:30 83:14,24	
82 71:11 850 99:20	109:25	
6 101:21	80 1:5	
105:24	80% 64:8	
8 105:15	80,000 53:7	1 1
5/3/3/5/5/5	9	
4	91:413:22	
46:12 13:11	9th 110:15,17	
45:4 87:14	90 24:16	
92:8,9	90% 28:2	
RB2 43:23		
1th 52:12		
4,000 32:2 4:30 1:4		
1:45 2:2		
10 90:17		
10% 42:15		
44:21 64:7		
67:15		
100 99:16		

## **Appendix C-2**

New Jersey Scoping Meeting - July 10, 2013

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION Maritime Administration Docket No. USC-2013-0363

-----:

In Re: : TRANSCRIPT OF : PROCEEDINGS

Deepwater Port License Application :
Liberty Natural Gas, LLC, Port :
Ambrose Deepwater Port :
Public Scoping Hearing :

New Jersey Convention and Exposition Center Edison, New Jersey
Wednesday July 10, 2013
Commencing 6:00 p.m.

B E F O R E:

RODDY BACHMAN
KEITH LESNICK
TIM FEEHAN
FACILITATOR - LINDA MATHER

BETSY CONDIOTTI & ASSOCIATES
COURT REPORTING SERVICES
1602 LAWRENCE AVENUE, SUITE 107
OCEAN, NEW JERSEY 07712
(732)- 922 - 2110
bcondiotti@verizon.net
"PRESERVING YOUR RECORD"

MS. MATHER: I'd like you all to please come and sit down so we can start the meeting officially. Wе have a very full agenda and a number of people who want to speak tonight, so I ask you, please, to come forward so that we can begin. Thank you. I'd like to welcome you to this scoping meeting about the Port Ambrose project. My name is Linda Mather and I'll be the facilitator for the meeting this evening. I'm going to tell you more about the public comment which I will be working with you on later, but first I'd like to introduce the three gentlemen to my right, because they're going to explain the outline of the project, as well as the outline for the process of which this public hearing is a part. So I ask you to please give them your attention and we'll get started to my immediate right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BACHMAN: Thank you, Linda. I see some familiar faces here from last night. Good evening.

Welcome, ladies and gentlemen. I hope you had the opportunity to attend our informational open house and now I want to welcome you to the public scoping meeting for the Port Ambrose Deepwater LNG port. My name is Roddy Bachman, Project Manager in the Deepwater Port Standards Division at U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters.

With me is Mr. Keith Lesnick with the Maritime

Administration and Mr. Timothy Feehan with Tetra Tech, our environmental consultant. And you met Ms. Linda Mathis.

In a few minutes, my colleagues will provide you an overview of their agency or organizations' roles and responsibilities regarding the Port Ambrose deepwater port license application. But first I'd like to give you a brief background on this process.

In November 2002, Congress passed the Maritime Transportation Security Act, which amended the Deepwater Port Act of 1974 by adding the importation of natural gas.

One of those applications was submitted on September 28, 2012 by Liberty Natural Gas to own, construct, and operate a natural gas deepwater port in Federal waters of the New York Bight approximately 17 nautical miles southeast of Jones Beach. This deepwater port is Port Ambrose and is the subject of this meeting.

On June 14, 2013, the Maritime

Administration and the Coast Guard published a notice in the Federal Register stating that the Port Ambrose application appeared to contain the required information to proceed. In that notice, New Jersey and New York were identified as the adjacent coastal states. This gives the Governors specific authority in the deepwater

port approval process under the Deepwater Port Act to approve, disapprove or notify the Maritime

Administration of inconsistencies in State programs relating to the environmental protection, land and water use and coastal zone management for which MARAD may condition the license to make consistent.

An additional clarification is this is a different project under a new application than the original Liberty Deepwater Port proposed in 2010. Port Ambrose is further east, has no shore crossing pipeline component and has two buoys versus the four proposed with the first project. Though the original Liberty application was amended in November of 2011 similar to what is proposed for Port Ambrose, that application amendment was never processed prior to withdrawal in April of 2012.

An important part of the overall licensing process required by the Deepwater Port Act is an environmental review. In this case an Environmental Impact Statement will be prepared in accordance with the Natural Environmental Policy Act NEPA.

The Notice of Intent preparing an EIS was announced in the Federal Register on June 24 which also announced this meeting and included instructions for submitting comments. The EIS will describe the nature

and extent of the environmental impact of the proposed action alternatives and a description of the affected environment, and an evaluation of the impacts on the natural and human environment by the proposed action and the alternatives.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We must seek input from the public as well as from other federal, state and local agencies. The intent of this scoping meeting is to receive your input on any issue you think should be considered in the scope of this environmental impact analysis. I would like to emphasize that this meeting will not be the only opportunity you will have to provide input on the application. However, written comments on the scope should be received by August 22, 2013. That is August 22, 2013. There was an original error date; there was a Federal Register published yesterday that said July 23rd, but there will be a new notice of August 22nd. It's been extended for 30 days. Our environmental consultant, Mr. Tim Feehan, will discuss additional comment opportunities in a few moments. For the purposes of tonight, please note that written and oral comments are given equal consideration and it is not necessary to give your comments twice.

Written comments may also be submitted to the Docket Management System at regulations.gov. This is

the public record for the project that contains and/or will contain the application, federal register notices, associated documentation, public and agency comments, public meeting transcripts, such as this one, and the draft and final EIS. And these are or will be available for viewing and commenting directly on-line.

To issue a deepwater port license, there are a number of elements that must be considered by the Maritime Administration which Mr. Lesnick will discuss in a moment, but now I'd like to provide a brief overview of the Coast Guard's responsibility regarding the license application process.

I want to make it clear that the Coast Guard is neither a proponent nor an opponent for any deepwater port project. I also want to emphasize that only after the environmental review and license processes have been completed can there be any activity on the proposed project.

The Coast Guard and Maritime administration work together processing the deepwater port applications. Although the Maritime Administrator will ultimately decide whether to approve, disapprove or approve with conditions, a license for this proposed deepwater port, the Coast Guard will be the lead agency developing the environmental impact analysis as one of

the key components to that decision. In fulfilling this role, the Coast Guard must seek the input from the public as well as from other federal and state agencies in New York, New Jersey to ensure the potential impacts from each state have been considered.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Coast Guard is also responsible for conducting an independent risk assessment of the port and operations and equipment to identify potential hazards and develop maritime safety and security risk mitigation strategies. This includes waterways management, and such measures as safety and security zones, no anchoring areas, areas to be avoided and any special routing measures. And should the port be approved and for the life of the port, we would review and approve port design standards, construction, commissioning, operations, security and maintenance and inspection. With the Maritime Administration and other appropriate agencies, the review and approval of any substantive changes to the port operations or equipment that could impact the environment and/or maritime and safety and security would be assessed. And ensuring compliance with various domestic and international laws for regulations for the LNG vessels calling on Port Ambrose would also be part of this responsibility.

Now at this time I'd like to introduce Mr.

Keith Lesnick with the Maritime Administration who will describe the responsibilities of his agency.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LESNICK: Thank you. Well, I'm Keith I'm an associate administrator at the Maritime Administration. I'm an associate administrator at the Maritime Administration and licensing for these projects fall under my office's authority. And I wanted to point out before we started, because we hadn't done a public meeting like this in a long time, but last night the rules for us are that we are in a sleep mode after we make our statements. We listen to you and the court reporter takes down what you have to say and we take that in and put it into the record. So we can't essentially respond to you while you're talking this evening. So if anybody has any questions that they have, that they still have after this is all over that they want to talk to me afterwards, I'd be more than happy to speak with them. And I say that for another reason, because last night there was what I can only describe as a mischaracterization of the licensing project of this particular project in terms of the application that we have in front of us today. I'd like to do is take probably 60 seconds to read to you from a letter that Mark Prescott of the Coast Guard, who is Roddy's boss, and I sent to the Catskill Citizens

for Safe Energy that we sent on July 8th. It was a letter that in part extended the comment period to August 22nd, but in part addressed the concern that this Port Ambrose port could be used for export of LNG. And so I'm going to read it in its entirety. It's just a paragraph.

"Although the deep water port was amended to allow exports, the application to own, construct and operate the proposed Port Ambrose facility is an application for a natural gas import terminal only. The authority to export natural gas to the Port Ambrose facility would constitute such a significant change from the proposal now under review, that it would require a new license application in its entirety. To be clear, the export of natural gas is not considered in this application, nor would the authority to do so be included in the license, if the application were to be approved."

Because after last night's hearing and I was concerned about it, I spoke to my boss. We would also, if the license were going to be approved and that's a big "if." If that were to be the case, we would also put a condition of the license in there that this terminal in perpetuity would be used for importation of natural gas only. So we would strictly forbid any

export.

There are many differences between an import and export facility and it would be boring to go into it right now. If you want to talk about it afterwards we can, but I just wanted to make sure that everybody knew that. Because I think there was confusion about it last night and it doesn't help the process, because in terms of commenting, you're commenting on an import facility.

My boss, the Maritime administrator, has the authority delegated from the secretary of transportation to issue deepwater port licenses. We're very happy to be here working with the US Coast Guard on this project. And I want to make it perfectly clear, we're not a proponent or an opponent. We're here to receive --we're a federal agency required to license these facilities. So that's one of the reasons why this meeting is important. We obviously have a keen interest in the thorough environmental analysis of this project and at the end the of the deepwater port license application process, the Maritime administrator will have a decision to make, to issue the license or to reject it. To issue it, to issue it with certain conditions or to reject it.

One of the things we do and when we do actually issue the license is we develop a record of

And in that record of decision are things decision. that are extremely important to not only the application, but to people that have concerns about the operation of the facility and to the local states that are impacted by that, might be impacted by that facility. A favorable decision to all of this would be based on the assurance that the applicant is financially responsible. And in that we make the applicant post a guarantee under a bond that the facility will be decommissioned and removed at the end of its life. The applicant is also able to comply with all applicable laws and regulations and license conditions. The deepwater port will operate in the national interest and be consistent with national security, energy sufficiency and environmental policy goals. The deepwater port will not unreasonably interfere with international navigation and it will be constructed and operated to prevent or minimize adverse impacts to any of the marine environment. The deepwater port must also comply with all natural environmental laws. There must be an approved coastal zone management program in place that it fits into. The secretaries of army, state and defense were consulted on the effects of this port on their operations and the governors, in this case the governors of two states, must approve or have presumed

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to approve the deepwater port process application.

It's important to note that if the governor or in this case governors may approve the license, they may approve it with conditions or they may deny the license. So if either one of the governors of New York and New Jersey decide to disapprove this, we can't go forward with the license. The license processing stops at that point in time.

These license requirements are stringent.

They're completely enforceable and we do enforce them.

We monitor them. So if anyone -- if they violate any one then we pull their license.

And finally, we consider the environmental impact document to be a major part of this project application and process. And that's what's so important about tonight and the start of the scoping process. And it has a substantial bearing on the final license.

So I appreciate the fact that everyone is here tonight and I'm anxious to hear what you have to say and if you have questions afterwards, I'll be more than happy to talk to you. Thank you.

MR. FEEHAN: Good evening. My name is Tim Feehan. I'm with Tetra Tech. We are the U.S. Coast Guards' environmental consultant preparing the Coast Guard and Maritime Environmental Impact Statement, or

EIS, for the proposed Port Ambrose LNG deepwater port.

I am Tetra Tech's project manager for the EIS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I want to provide an overview of the public participation process that is involved in preparing the Tetra Tech is a science-based environmental consultant firm experienced in preparing EISs and related studies. My team includes specialists in many resource areas covering all the environmental sciences, including biologists, chemists, geologists and engineers. We will be doing an independent evaluation of the environmental impacts of the proposed project. We will assist the U.S. Coast Guard in preparing the EIS to explain what those impacts will be. The EIS is being conducted in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act known as NEPA. Public involvement is a fundamental aspect of NEPA and the U.S. Coast Guard's environmental policies. In addition to the publication of the NOI mentioned previously, the U.S. Coast Guard distributed a Dear Interested Party letter. This letter was distributed widely throughout New York and New Jersey to federal state and local agencies, elected officials and the public. This letter provides a summary and scoping meeting logistics for those that normally do not read the federal register. A public notice concerning this meeting was also published in

local newspapers. We have also held a scoping meeting in Long Beach, New York last night. Oral and written comments made today will be recorded and addressed in the draft EIS. In addition to providing comments tonight, you can also submit written comments to the docket over the internet by fax or by mail. Details are provided with our posters in the other room under the heading and it's also available as a handout, Deepwater Port Applications and process part two.

Please use only one of the methods to make your comments. At a minimum, an environmental analysis will be completed on the following topics in the EIS, geology, sediments, water resources, fisheries, wildlife, threatened and endangered species, socioeconomics, transportation, cultural resources, marine biology, noise, recreation and aesthetics, and reliability and safety. We will consider the environmental effects of construction, operation and decommission of this deepwater port. In addition, we will look at the cumulative impact of other foreseeable projects within the project vicinity.

One of our responsibilities in preparing the EIS is to analyze a range of alternatives. We will consider alternative locations for the deepwater port and alternative off-shore pipeline routes. We will look

at alternative deepwater port concepts and alternative LNG technology, the no action alternative or what would happen if the license is not granted and the project is not built, and as mentioned earlier, the cumulative impacts of the project will also be considered.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The next step in this process will be to prepare the draft EIS. To announce the availability of the draft EIS for public review, we will publish a notice of availability for the draft EIS in the federal register and place ads in local newspapers. draft EIS is completed, copies will be sent to federal, state, regional and local agencies and local groups and individuals who have requested copies. Additional copies will be placed in public libraries where the public may review them. The draft EIS will also be available on the docket via the internet or by requesting one from the U.S. Coast Guard. If you have not already done so, please indicate on the registration card located in the hallway at the entrance if you would like to receive a copy of the draft EIS when it becomes available and a copy will be sent to you. Coast Guard and MARAD will return to the New York/New Jersey area to hold a public meeting to provide citizens an opportunity to make formal oral comments concerning the draft EIS. Resource experts will be present to

answer questions and the public will have this additional opportunity to enter comments and concerns into the official record. After the close of the public comment period on the draft EIS, we will assist the U.S. Coast Guard in preparing the final EIS, including an explanation of how comments were considered. completion of the final EIS, though not part of the NEPA and EIS process, we will hold at least one public meeting in New York and New Jersey to solicit comments on the final EIS and the Port Ambrose license application. Again, the dates, locations and times will be announced in the federal register and local newspapers. When the EIS is finalized, MARAD will use it as one of the inputs to their decision on whether to issue the license, not issue the license or issue it with specific conditions. As described on our poster and also available as another handout and this is under the requirements for issuance of license to own and operate in the deepwater port, there are requirements in addition to the environmental impacts that must be considered in the decision on the final license action. Thank you for taking the time to come out and meet with us tonight and I'll hand this back over. MS. MATHER: Okay, thank you. We turn now to the public comment. Let me explain some things

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

first. Would you please turn off your cell phones so that we don't have that as a disturbance. secondly, one of the difficulties here and you can see that by the number of people, we want to try to be fair to everybody. And that's one of the reasons that we have time limits. So each of you will be given three minutes and we also have very high-tech responses here. So I will waive this at you when you have 15 seconds left and I will waive this at you when you are finished. Now, you are not allowed to play the game of burying your head in your written materials so you can't see me. I caught you. So you can't do that. I also ask you please to refrain from applause or other outbursts, because all you're doing is using up time. And if this is any indication, we're going to be sleeping here. I don't think anybody wants to do that. So we want to be as efficient as we can about this. If you have any combination of a written version with what you want to say, a written version instead of saying something, a written version that goes well over three minutes, please give it to the court reporter to my left because she will be taking all of that information and bringing it together. Again, I want to remind you, and the

gentlemen have illustrated this, this is not the only

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

opportunity to have your say. There are a variety of other ways to do it. And certainly in terms of dialog, the dialog, one opportunity was before the meeting, they will be around later. This has a very formal process in terms of the way that you present your information. So I ask you, please, to abide by that and to make it easy for all of us in terms of how we proceed here. Okay.

Now, the other thing that we do is as a courtesy, we allow the elected officials to speak first. So I have two elected officials or their representatives someplace in the room. And nobody is moving. Yes, okay, we have one. I'm sorry, very minor issue, you must come to the podium here and speak into the microphone. I would also ask each of you -- and am I assuming this is Alexandra?

MS. MALDONADO: Yes.

MS. MATHER: Would you also spell your last name? Because I'm going to mutilate it probably and the court reporter needs the correct spelling.

MS. MALDONADO: It's Alexandra
M-a-l-d-o-n-a-d-o. I'm with the office of Congressman
Frank Pallone. This statement is from the Congressman.
I apologize I could not be here with you this evening,
but I am in Washington DC, because Congress is voting
this evening. I am opposed to the proposed Liberty

Natural Gas offshore liquified natural gas project planned for 24 miles off the coast of Long Branch, New Jersey. This project is strikingly similar to the one that was vetoed by Governor Christie in 2011.

Throughout my tenure as an elected official,

I have opposed industrial uses of the ocean off the

coast of our state. I have fought against offshore oil

and gas drilling, and well as worked successfully to end

ocean dumping.

Instead of focusing on industrial uses of the ocean, our country and the state of New Jersey should be encouraging renewable energy resources. Any energy development in the Atlantic Ocean should be from renewable sources and not polluting fossil fuels. The Obama administration is prioritizing offshore wind energy development in areas off the Atlantic coast, including New Jersey. This is exactly the type of clean energy America should be investing in that will reduce our dependence on foreign oil and gas and create jobs.

I understand that the LNG project will create jobs during the construction phase, but we must also think of the jobs that would be lost if an LNG spill occurred off our coast. The Jersey Shore is the primary driver of the tourism economy that sustains nearly 500,000 jobs and generates approximately 50

billion dollars in economic activity for the state each year. In the late 1980s when beaches were closed due to contaminated material washing ashore, many jobs were lost in the local tourism industry. When the Deepwater Horizon spill occurred in the Gulf of Mexico, local economies felt it the hardest when tourism fell off drastically and commercial fisherman were barred from fishing in large swaths of the gulf. We cannot allow this to happen off our coast.

I am surprised that this proposed project is moving forward given Governor Christie's public opposition to LNG facilities off the coast of New Jersey and prior veto of a similar project in 2011. Under the Deepwater Port Act, Governor Christie, as the governor of an adjacent coastal state, has the absolute power to veto the Liberty Natural Gas project. New Jersey should be taking a national lead on promoting a forward-looking energy policy that moves towards increased use of renewable energy sources that create jobs here at home and away from importing or exporting polluting fossil fuels from other countries. I will continue to work with my colleagues and Congress to incentivize these types of clean energy sources. Thank you.

MS. MATHER: And thank you. And do I

understand -- I can't talk over you. Then I have Diana

Paradis and that's somebody coming to the podium.

MS. PARADIS: P-a-r-a-d-i-s, and I speak not for myself, but for Assemblyman Sean Kean. This is a message from his office. He issued the following statement on the new proposal to build a liquified natural gas terminal off the coast of New Jersey and New York. Liberty LNG is proposing to build an LNG terminal called Port Ambrose 24 miles off the coast of Long Branch, New Jersey. I had previously stated my opposition deciding LNG facilities off the coast of New Jersey and in 2010 I was the prime sponsor of legislation to oppose the development of LNGs off our coastline. I remain concerned about any new proposal, including Port Ambrose, to create an LNG terminal right off the coast of Long Branch. Thank you.

MS. MATHER: Thank you. I'm going to turn now to the public comments. And what I'm going to ask you to do please, again, in an effort to try to speed this up, Jeff Tittel I believe it is, Jim Walsh and Cindy Zipf. If Jim and Cindy could kind of get ready, unless you're right there, Jim? You're right there, no problem. Let me go with Jeff. And spell your last name.

MR. TITTEL: Jeff Tittel, T-i-t-t-e-l, director of New Jersey chapter Sierra Club. And I'm

here today representing not only our 20,000 members and 50,000 supporters in New Jersey, but our 2 million nationwide. We believe that this proposal is a bad proposal both environmentally and economically. believe that this proposal will not only hurt the environment in construction, but it will become an export facility. And the reason is it's very easy to change the rules once you build it, once you get a permit because you can say it's underutilized, therefore, we have to switch it. You can say that we have the same technology and no one is coming in because it's on board ship today. And we're going through this in Maryland with another facility that was supposed to be and it was licensed as and there was an ACO that it was supposed to be import only and it's now trying to become an export facility because the law changed. believe when that statement was made that it was just more gas added to the atmosphere.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We're very concerned about this facility, one, because the coast of New Jersey is irreplaceable; two, it's in areas of the state, of our coast that are important for fisheries, for shipping. They're also in areas where we would like to see windmills being built. And we believe this interferes with this. Quite frankly, given all the gas that is in this country,

there is no reason for an import facility. We've got a 100-year supply. We believe we need an LNG facility off our coast like we need another superstorm. We're also concerned about the potential of secondary impacts of pipelines being built from the Marcellus Shale and in shale areas of Pennsylvania heading eastward. dealing with six of them including one yesterday where they're doing drilling under the Delaware Water Gap and the road collapsed from it. And here we're going to be talking about using millions of gallons of fluid and every time there's a ship you're going to be discharging millions of gallons of chemically treated water. Wе think that this is a danger. You're here to -- at this scoping we talk about EIS. There's only one sure way of protecting the environment. Don't permit. Very simple.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We are also here because we believe that this will undermine clean energy and our future. Quite frankly, we're at a crossroads in this country where we can have clean air and clean water and renewable energy or we can be tied to the fossil fuels of the past and that's what we see this project as. You know, when you deal with development issues, you know, so many times you see a development they call Stone Ridges where it took off the top of a mountain or Willowbrook where you filled in the wet lands. And calling this Liberty is

```
1
    really an insult. This is the opposite of liberty.
2
    This is chaining us to technology of the past and
    chaining us to fossil fuels. And we believe that the
3
4
    only way to protect the environment is not to build it.
    If we want to have a strong coast and we want to have a
5
    clean energy future, we do not need LNG. We do not need
6
7
    the danger from it. In case there's a spill, it's not
    really a spill, it's freezing and exploding. And quite
8
    frankly, we believe we're better off with clean energy
9
10
    and not this project.
11
                (Applause)
12
                MS. MATHER:
                             Thank you. Mr. Walsh?
13
                MR. WALSH:
                            I had some people ask me to ask
    you if there's a way to turn up the volume because
14
    people are having trouble hearing the speakers.
15
16
                MS. MATHER:
                             Here's the volume, okay.
                                                        Thank
    you. I appreciate the feedback.
17
18
                MR. WALSH: Can I get an extra 30 seconds?
19
                MS. MATHER:
                             No.
20
                MR. WALSH: My name is Jim Walsh.
                                                    I'm the
    MidAtlantic director for Food and Water Watch.
21
22
    know, this is about what we're going to look at this
23
    project as, what this project is going to be at the end
24
    of the day and the evaluation of that project. And the
25
    company by calling this an import facility is trying to
```

narrow that picture. Not that I feel any better about them doing fracking in Africa, South Africa, North Africa or Europe and then bringing that gas here as they're essentially proposing to do, but the economics don't make sense for this project. The gas industry right now is producing gas through fracking at about 25 percent of the cost, which means that they're losing a tremendous amount of money on every well they frack and sell in the United States. In Europe where they're able to sell the gas for six times as much or Asia where they're able to sell the gas for eight times as much is what the industry broadly wants to do. To ignore the economics of this project would be a disgrace to the people in this room and the communities that will be impacted by it. But beyond that, their goal is to segment this project down so that when they apply for that export permit, they don't have to look at this part of the project. The industry is constantly trying to segment these projects into smaller and smaller chunks so that we can't look at the big picture, so that we're not looking at the pipelines that are being built across the highlands the same time that we're looking at a project that's threatening our ocean life. So that we're not looking at fracking wells being drilled in Pennsylvania and communities like Dimock who had their

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

water poisoned because of this process. Their goal is to keep you from looking at the socioeconomic impact of the people in Jersey or Pennsylvania that were evicted from their homes so the fracking industry could extract water to drill for natural gas in Central Pennsylvania. They don't want you to look at those socioeconomic projects, but you need to. They don't want you to look at impacts that this is having on farming and agricultural, rural areas and food production. want you to look at a very narrow segment of this project which even on those grounds should be rejected because we have a future that is in front of us and we have a decision to make about where that future will go. Will this be a future that continues to drive us towards climate change and continues to threaten our drinking water and continues to threaten public health and continues to threaten our democracy with companies that influence our political process through campaign contribution to move processes like this forward? Or is it going to be one where we listen to the people who want our future, who want a future for our children, who want clean drinking water, who want an ocean we can fish in, or who want to have farms that are providing safe food for our communities? We have a project that's ahead of us that you are responsible for looking at in a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 very important and deliberate way. I ask you as the 2 American Administration of Coast Guard and a private contractor which I also have issues with that I won't go 3 into now, privatizing our EIS project is also ridiculous 4 as far as I'm concerned, but you have a responsibility 5 to look at the entirety of this project and not just at 6 7 what Liberty has put in front of you. Look at the 8 economics, you will see that this will fail with the economics of what they're proposing and look at the 9 10 entirety of the project. MS. MATHER: Thank you, Mr. Walsh. 11 Thank you. Cindy Zipf? Cindy Zipf? And behind Cindy will be 12 13 Sean Dixon. So if Sean wants to get available at some 14 point. 15 MS. ZIPF: Good evening, everyone. Thank 16 you so much for joining us here. Thank you for finding 17 your way. 18 (Applause) 19 MS. MATHER: You need to, please, I ask you 20 to be quiet. It's coming off of her time. 21 MS. ZIPF: My name is Cindy Zipf. 22 executive director of Clean Ocean Action and we're here 23 because Liberty Natural Gas, a mysterious, unwelcome,

foreign-affiliated corporation will not take no for an

answer. And Liberty is using its sizeable and

24

considerable money and influence in Washington to move this federal process forward. We're not happy, at all, that the Maritime Administration Coast Guard has chosen to ignore the Jersey Shore by not holding a public hearing and only holding the hearing here in Edison when the pipeline comes within 13 miles of Sandy Hook. It is not fair for you to ignore the Jersey Shore and we will not be ignored, which is why many of them are here today and we are going to continue speaking out tomorrow.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This project purports to be an import It just doesn't square with the financial, facility. economic reality of today. The United States is at near zero for imports. That's not my number, it's the federal government's number. And expected to be zero, near zero out to 2030. We have 40 times the capacity within today's infrastructure, including along the MidAtlantic region to bring in liquified natural gas. We don't need it. We know this is about exports. There's too much money and Marcellus Shale is only right across, over across the river right there and England is across the pond. That makes all the sense in the world and it's a bait-and-switch trojan horse and we know it. Your words that the law, that the application is not about exports are just words. It's the law. It's the Congressional law that would allow you to make it an

export facility. And once it's there, it's there. there for decades. And it can change names. It can change companies. Right now it's this unknown company in the Cayman Islands, it could be sold right away to another corporation, a massive corporation for gas exports. So the promises from the company that it's only going to be an import facility fall on deaf ears. The reality is it's about exports. And the security issues are something that we're very much concerned about. Our U.S. Coast Guard, notwithstanding our challenges with them on this hearing today, but are champions and defender of our coast. And we know that they are underfunded and understaffed to do what they need to do just right now. In fact, in 2007 a report that we did, we quoted the general accounting offices saying the units of the Coast Guard report insufficient resources to meet its own self-imposed security standards such as exporting ships containing LNG. That was in 2007 and that was when there were only five LNG ports. There are a lot more now. So that's very, very alarming. The security issues are very, very real and this is right at the front door of the port of New York and New Jersey and the most densely populated urbanized area in America.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For these reasons and many, many, many more

is why Governor Christie vetoed the project because it's risky for the environment, it's risky for our economy and it's risky for our national security. So we want to know, this project should have been dead in the water a long time ago. And that's what we're going to make sure it is, dead in the water.

(Applause)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MATHER: Thank you. The next person is Sean Dixon and behind, who I think is right there, is Robert Remm. So Robert, if you wanted to get ready, but Sean, you're on.

MR. DIXON: Thank you very much. First speaking to the eloquent statement we heard today about MARAD choosing to license this project and it being a license for export only, that is very true. A license can be made with conditions that this be for imports. Unfortunately for us, a license under the Deepwater Port Act can be amended and conditions can be revoked. change in administration could change your conclusion that MARAD would choose to require a new application. That is not something that is set in stone, a license or a licensed condition. Any of these promises cannot override the Congressional law covering these licenses. No review during license amendment. No review during condition revocation. Those are built into the law

which supercedes any agreement and any conditions we put on the license. So if this is truly about scoping and if those are truly legal options for the future and these port licenses go on forever, then those must be included in the review.

Second, if Liberty had taken their needs assessment and waited one week for more data, their import projections would not have overstated the condition of the current market by 471 percent. That's a huge number to be off by. So the needs for this must be reevaluated. If there's no need, then their socioeconomic conclusions, their export conclusions, their import conclusions, all of their statements that this marketplace somehow wants imports even though no one else in the country does, they need to be reevaluated.

Finally, on this point, please follow the money. Liberty has made up a lot of information in this and unlike things that had to do with say whales and endangered species where every impact to every single fish can be articulated clearly in this assessment, Liberty is allowed to make up data on jobs and how many millions of dollars that's going to bring to the community. So if this review takes into account specifics on everything else for the environment, for

the industry, for coastlines, for development, for 1 2 safety and security, all of the economies of the shore, they should be required to take the same specificity and 3 apply it to their projections. Again, they say only six 4 to ten jobs would be created by this over the long haul. 5 6 And I need these agencies in this review to tell me why six to ten long-term jobs override the absolute 7 legitimate existing and real interest of 600,000. 8 Because I have three minutes to speak and I believe I 9 only have about 20 seconds left. I want to have 10 everyone in here cheer and join me in saying please, do 11 12 not have this project. I got 15 more seconds. 13 (Applause) Well, I'm sorry, but I'm going 14 MS. MATHER: to stop you from doing that. This is not the point. 15 Ι 16 need to remind you, please, that this is a public hearing. It is not a rally. There are other 17 opportunities for rallies. And if this public hearing 18 19 cannot proceed, then it will not proceed. 2.0 A VOICE: We don't need the lecture. 21 MS. MATHER: Please, I am merely restating 22 the comments. I'd like to now get Robert -- I think 23 Robert, you're right there? 24 MR. REMM: Yes. 25 MS. MATHER: And after Robert will be Derek

Pope. Go ahead, Robert.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My name is Robert Remm, R-e-m-m. MR. REMM: I'm older than many of you, maybe even most of you. was here for -- well, first, the first gallon of gas that I bought was 25 cents, for my car. I was here when OPEC came into creation for the first oil shortage. was here when there was a wholesale rush from oil to natural gas and a moratorium was placed on the availability of natural gas. I was in the HVAC business at the time and I've witnessed the necessity for a second stream of alternate fuel to oil and to natural gas. I got into the air conditioning and heating business, into the solar red tag and wind energy business and have been a proponent for it for that many years. But I also am cognizant of the fact that solar and wind energy and solar alpacas and any other form of alternate energy that we currently have cannot possibly meet the demand for energy that we have now. All you have to do is take a look at the number of cars crossing the Driscoll Bridge at rush hour just to get a concept of how much energy that we need. I also know that natural gas, the role of the basket of fuels that are available, fossil fuels that are available currently is the only one that's really environmentally and acceptable to our needs in the interim between now and

when we are a nation that's capable of producing our energy in the volumes necessary by alternate means of energy production. That being the case, I believe that it is necessary to have a means of transporting that fuel here to the eastern seaboard, to prevent having another one of those moratoriums. I believe that of all of the means necessary to do that, whether it be over land and transportation or new pipelines or what have you, I believe the one with the least impact on the environment is probably this port. Having sons working in the oil industry, daughter-in-law is working at Corps of Engineers servicing ships in the petroleum and in the natural gas transportation industry, I believe it's a safe industry. I believe that much of the rhetoric that we hear -- I call it rhetoric, most of you people take it to heart that it really means something. It's very important to your future and your safety and your concerns, but I believe that they are for the most part, unfounded. I'm sorry, I have a different opinion than most of the people in this room. I support the project, the port. Thank you, sir. Derek Pope and MS. MATHER: after Derek is Don Weinshenker, I think. In the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. POPE: Hi. Thank you for taking my

meantime, Derek, you're on.

comments. My wife and I are from Long Island, New York. We couldn't make it last night, but we feel so strongly about this that we wanted to come out here. And from researching this a little bit, it seems that at best, this is just not necessary. You know, how would inputting, importing natural gas and assuming that that really is the purpose of this pipeline, how does that contribute to our goal of energy independence? It just doesn't make sense to me. But at worst and what I think this really, really is, if we look at one of the -number three under requirements for the issuance of this license, was that it fits with our national interest and that's consistent with our natural policy goals and objectives. And so what I'm wondering is how anything that pushes us closer to nonrenewable dirty energy sources, brings us anywhere closer to something that's in our national interest. And if we really do care, if we're looking for a true alternative, if we are considering the alternatives, I think the alternatives has to be something that moves us away from this, something like wind or geothermal and solar. And the way I see it, the only real problems with those, especially solar and geothermal, for example, is that then you have people owning the means of producing their own energy as opposed to a few corporations owning them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And if we really care about our national security and national interest, that's what we should fight for. My wife and I are both teachers. We're part of a union. We care about jobs and we care about workers' rights. And if we think about long-term, imagine all the jobs created if we switched to an infrastructure powered by renewable energy and how that would be good for all of us. So I oppose this project. Thank you.

MS. MATHER: Thank you. Don, and then after Don is Louise. So Don is getting himself up there. And Don, then if you could spell your last name.

MR. WEINSHENKER: It's actually Dan and it's W-e-i-n-s-h-e-n-k-e-r. Once again, my name is Dan and I'm from Food & Water Watch. Thank you for this hearing and for the opportunity to speak and comment today about Liberty Natural Gas' latest proposal to build a deepwater liquified natural gas import/export facility off the coast of New York and New Jersey. Of course just two years ago, Governor Christie rejected a nearly identical proposal. We supported those decisions then and we expect that he won't be persuaded by the current application. I'm here today on behalf of Food & Water Watch's members and activists across this state to urge you to fully consider the consequences of licensing this project in your scoping process. We maintain that if

you do, you will recommend that no action -- that there will be no action and reject the application. you not only to address negative impacts on the marine's ecosystems and on the future of offshore wind energy production, but to also include the negative impacts that licensing exports from this facility will have on communities across the northeast. Exports would spur more drilling in the hydraulic fracture, more fracking, more quickly resulting in even more destructive economic bust once the gas is gone and the industry moves on. Wе are aware that Liberty's intent is just to use the facility for imports, but there's no reason to believe that market forces won't leave them to revisit this intent. First, current law as recently amended makes clear the request of deepwater port license would also allow for exports. Second, exports are an essential part of the oil and gas industry's effort to create new sources for demands for unconventional natural gas or shale gas. Put simply, the drilling and fracking industry needs natural gas prices to rise. Current domestic prices barely make it profitable for them to drill and frack for shale gas, given the high capital, deep horizontal drilling and the high volume multistage fracking. Natural gas prices in Europe and Asia are a different story. And the U.S. Department of Energy has

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

seen a flurry of new applications from companies seeking authority to export natural gas. Current applications would amount to an export capacity equivalent to over 40 percent of U.S. consumption. There's every reason to believe that Liberty's Port Ambrose project, once licensed, would join this frenzy. Accelerated drilling and fracking to fulfill export contracts, will only intensify the negative impacts from communities that sit above Marcellas Shale and fracking can be expected to strike to the Utica which also lies beneath New York into the Newark basins beneath much of New Jersey. risks to our health, communities and environment from fracking include truckloads of toxic and radioactive waste that can contaminate watersheds and poison underground drinking water supplies. Hazardous air pollutants including carcinogens and neurotoxins spread into the air, endangering communities and putting our farms and food at risk, and massive amounts of methane, a major cause of climate change dumps into the atmosphere. You may be tempted to dismiss these concerns by saying this project isn't intended for export or by saying that the potential capacity for export wouldn't be large enough to have a significant impact.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MATHER: Thank you very much.

(Applause)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MATHER: Louise? I thought that might be why you were kind of moving up. And Margo Pellegrino will be after you.

Thank you. My name is Louise MS. USECHAK: Usechak and I'm speaking on behalf of the League of Women Voters of New Jersey. The league is a non-partisan, non-profit organization and it's long held the position supporting clean air, clean water and protection of natural resources. It is in pursuit of these goals that we oppose the application for Liberty Natural Gas' Port Ambrose, a liquified natural gas off of the New Jersey, New York coast. This is the same application Liberty Natural Gas put forward in 2010 which we opposed and which Governor Christie vetoed in 2011. Liberty has only moved its location. However, since the deepwater port act was amended December 2012, any license approved now will allow an LNG facility to import, export or change the one use together over its lifetime. Therefore, the Environmental Impact Statement for this application needs to take into account all the direct and indirect impacts that could result from this facility's use over its lifetime. Air pollution and global climate change come primarily from the use of fossil fuels. The League strongly supports efforts to

move to renewable energy so that we can reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Port Ambrose would be in direct conflict with the proposal to build a wind farm in the area. This project poses a security risk, shipping lane (inaudible) by the east coast, the port of New York and New Jersey and would create a slow down in cumberstring (sic) in the 9-to-12-month construction A one-mile exclusion zone around the proposed phase. terminal will be required when ships are in port as well as when they are entering or leaving it. construction and operation of this port potentially threatens -- will impact the commercial and recreational fishing industries and potentially threatening marine life as many fish species are migratory. Superstorm Sandy has shown us that the waves in the area can be lethal and the EIS should evaluate the impact of future storms of this magnitude. We are extremely disappointed in the Maritime Administration and the Coast Guard for not providing the citizens of New York and New Jersey a fair and reasonable opportunity to evaluate the 1,500 pages of this project application that have been made available to the public. The Federal Register notice of these hearings was released on June 24th, less than three weeks ago. The residents of our state need more time to evaluate this application. We strongly urge the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Maritime Administration and the Coast Guard to hold additional scoping hearings in affected areas, including the New Jersey coast and upstream communities where natural gases drill. We also request an independent environmental impact assessment and an extension in the public comment period to 120 days. Thank you.

MS. MATHER: Thank you.

(Applause)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MATHER: Margo is where? Margo is on her way and then Heather is after that person.

MS. PELLEGRINO: Hi, aloha. I'm Marqo Pellegrino and I'm a coastal paddler. And this actually wasn't what I started out to say because I was going to say other things, but really on the face of it, having paddled the east coast Miami to Maine and Seattle to San Diego and a little above Miami to New Orleans, I can tell you that the New York waterways are probably the busiest consistently in the country. You don't need the MARCO planning tool MidAtlantic Regional Council Nation -- look it up -- to tell you that holy mackerel, just looking at boat traffic and submarine cables and et cetera, et cetera, this is a disaster waiting to happen. I also paddled in the Chesapeake and I paddled by an LNG terminal. And the marine police had their hands full just keeping recreational fishermen out of what I call

the playground, you know, that area you're not allowed to go or they confiscate your boat if you happen to go in there more than two times? Yes, they have little cameras and they will confiscate your boat, so I was told by the recreational fishermen. On its face that's a disaster, just in such a highly, highly populated This New York/New Jersey bight, we are not a area. sacrificial lamb for the east coast. We do not want to be like the Gulf of Mexico. We are sorry for the people in the Gulf of Mexico, but we do not want to be like them. We want to protect what we have. This project is really symbolic. You can't really call it a line in the sand because we're talking about the water in the ocean. You guys have the opportunity to do for the country and to make a comment and take a stand against what we know is a really bad, bad idea. Import from unstable third-world countries? Of course you're going to be exporting. We already see what's happening in the middle east. We're trying to extricate ourselves from wars in Iraq and Afghanistan and I believe there were pipelines talked about into Afghanistan. It's nuts. Αt some point we have to say that yes, we need alternatives. We need sustainable energy. The jobs are actually there. When we had the gas crisis and gas went up to \$4 a gallon, people cut their usage for gas by 14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

percent. Habit alone, they didn't go suddenly getting Priuses. Yes, it's a little bit of hard work, but we can totally do it and there are plenty of jobs. When you talk about fuel efficiency, conservation and all of the new developments in alternative and sustainable energies, please help us. If Congress doesn't have the guts to do it, you guys please do find some intestinal fortitude to do what is right. Thank you.

(Applause)

MS. MATHER: Thank you. The next person is -- I can't even call people if they can't hear, all right? Heather and then I believe Ernest Docs would be after you.

MS. SAFFERT: My name is Heather Saffert.

I'm a staff scientist with Clean Ocean Action. My PhD.
is in oceanography. Liberty says they will import gas.
The facts say they will export gas, yet none of the environmental impacts from the energy intensive liquification process have been included in the application. As you know, once built, this facility could be converted to an export facility. The air, noise and water pollution impacts and more, must be considered now. Liberty says that the project will have no effect or no likely adverse affects on endangered whales. The facts say the whales are negatively

impacted. Several of these endangered species occur regularly in the New York bight, including the North Atlantic right whale, fin whales, humpback whales and even blue whales have been found to be seen at Fire Island in New York. According to government reviewers of the application, detailed current and correct assessments of endangered and threatened species still need to be done. Liberty says that additional tanker traffic is likely to result in only a small increase in the risk of vessel strikes to marine mammals and sea turtles. The facts say these endangered and threatening animals are to be subject to multiple stresses. There are only about 300 to 350 North Atlantic right whales left. So even a few strikes can have large impacts on the population. Government reviewers, they say that risk of ship strikes has not been properly evaluated. Liberty says that noise impacts will be negligible given background noise levels. Government reviewers say that no supporting data was noted to verify Liberty's conclusion. Sound is essential for marine life to thrive. Liberty does not assess noise impacts to plankton or fish and has inaccurately assessed impacts to marine mammals. Site specific analysis is still needed. Liberty says that air pollution will have negligible impacts within the port region, but does

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

acknowledge that they are going to exceed the standard for nitrogen emissions. Government reviewers say that not all emission data and modeling have been included yet. Based on the data that has been provided for importing tankers, there will be over 100 tons of pollution, pollutants including smog forming chemicals, particulates, hazardous toxins and greenhouse gases that will be emitted every year from this facility that produces no usable energy. Liberty says that no tanker discharges of the port during normal operation. facts say if exports do occur, millions of gallons of ballast water will be discharged as liquified gas is loaded. Government reviewers again say the data used for analysis are taken from an environment that is not representative of the condition and habitat found at the site. I could go on and on, but I'm going to stop now and say that the facts show that this project is damaging to our communities. MS. MATHER: Thank you very much. MS. SAFFERT: The Liberty --MS. MATHER: Thank you. Ernest Docs and after Ernest is David, I believe it's Doll, but David.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

Ernest.

MR. DOCS: Yes, I think it's pathetic that there's only one page of information and supposedly the

application was over 1,500 pages to the Coast Guard. I'm very disappointed that the Coast Guard is sponsoring this project. And also I'd like to mention that the gas and oil industry has a very bad history of worker safety. And I'm very disappointed that the union is supporting this when there's been a big gas explosion here in Edison. There was a gas explosion with the Williams pipeline in this area within the last few months and I would just like to know why we can't get anymore information around why there's no information on the Liberty company. And also it seems that our representatives do not support it. Governor Christie does not support this project, so I don't know why the Coast Guard is pushing this project to be done in the coast of New Jersey. And anybody could go on the internet and get 500 pages on the Coast Guard regulations, but I didn't come to this meeting to get Coast Guard regulations. I want some information on this project, which is not in that room over there, or hardly any information is in that room. I would just like to say that I think more information should be available to the public and 30 days is hardly enough time when the Liberty company probably had many years to submit information to the US Coast Guard. Thank you. MS. MATHER: Thank you. David Doll and then

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

after David is Larraine Saver. David.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you for having me here. MR. DOLL: Where do we start? Like Leonardo Davinci said, if you look at the big picture you connect all the dots. Our bodies are 75 percent water. Water is the most important resource on this planet, bar none. Without clean, clear drinking water, we have nothing. nothing to live on and it's the source of our life. Having said that, I live in Brooklyn and it took an act of Congress to make Gateway National Recreation area which is where Congress wants to allow a pipeline to come through Reese Park which is our beach. They want to put an industrial area into a national recreation area. So we have some issues with that. I don't know where we're supposed to go after that. I can't afford to go to the Hamptons or to the Jersey shore. And after Superstorm Sandy, I think we all understand that there is climate change, that it's not just -- people are still saying, "Well, the weather is a little bit off," but the weather is not just a little bit off. are not right. It's not the way it was. I'm 56 years old and I can notice the difference in my lifetime. it's funny, I remember as a kid they said 50 years ago they said, someday solar energy and all of this stuff is going to be viable, but not now. So now it's 50 years

later and they're still saying, someday we're going to get there, like over the rainbow. It's here, the technology is here now. It's been proven. They're doing in it in Spain, in Germany, in other countries over in Europe. The oil industry has a strangle hold on our economy and on our lives. They're killing us. Cancer is through the roof in this country. Let's start connecting all the dots on what's going on. The quys who did the drilling and the fracking out in Pennsylvania will not drink the water that came out of Craig Stevens well, but they said it's safe. People are getting sick from California, Colorado, Pennsylvania and the industry is so powerful that it has gag orders against doctors, that they're not allowed to speak out against this. This is not the kind of democracy I would like to live in. You guys, I can't believe that you guys may be the arbiters to decide whether or not we're going to have a clean planet or not. And I hope to God that you will do the right thing for yourselves and for your children and for anybody else that you care and love. We only have one planet. There's no planet B and we have to take care of this place.

(Applause)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MATHER: Larraine is coming up and then Rachel Davis, but Larraine.

MS. SAUER: My name is Larraine Sauer, and I'm a senior citizen from Bergen County, an outraged senior citizen, and my belief is that the residents of this state cannot allow the fossil fuel industry to contaminate our beaches, our land and the mighty Atlantic. We need and we want clean energy. taxpayers, we're tired of subsidizing dirty fossil fuels. It's time to start developing clean energy on a massive scale. In New Jersey, the electricity in our homes can now be powered by wind energy through a third-party supplier. If anyone is interested in converting their homes, call 888-444-9452. We want clean energy for future generations. We want our legacy to be clean energy, not the destruction of our precious resources. Thank you.

(Applause)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MATHER: After Rachel is Lindsay McNamara.

MS. DAVIS: Thank you. My name is Rachel
Dawn Davis. I live in Manalapan, New Jersey where I sit
on the Manalapan Environmental Commission and I am
connected to every environmental commission in New
Jersey, and well as environmental commissions in the
state that this project touches and affects directly as
well as across the country. I am also the co-founder of

the Mother's Project for Sustainable Energy here in New Jersey and again, nationally and globally. And I'm here spending time as a new mother away from my young family to state my extreme opposition to this project. And I'm here. Of course, I could have submitted a comment on a website, but I wanted you to look into my eyes and see my face and hear my voice, and understand that this is unacceptable. This nightmarish future that I'm spending my time talking to you about is ludicrous. Mr. Keith --I can't see your last name -- you talked about financial responsibility in your opening letter. And frankly, that financial responsibility that the company literally would be subject to, that is not adequate. There's no amount of money that you can throw at a problem when it potentially happens. We know this from significant cases of evidence where communities have been devastated by natural gas infrastructure, all sorts of types and we're seeing this here in New Jersey in Roseland with this compressure station that's been re-erected. People are experiencing issues of nausea, dizziness and this is just one project. This is one type of project. know, we frankly cannot drink money. We cannot eat money, we cannot breathe money whether it's in dollars, pounds, yens, what have you. And I wanted to share, I'd much rather spend my time, which is quite limited as I'm

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

sure you can imagine, I have a one-year-old -- talking about the economic and social benefits. Nowhere does this project talk about the social costs which are absolutely real and perhaps quantifiable, but again, not because you cannot quantify the amounts of cancer, leukemia, asthma, et cetera. You cannot put a price on my child's health. So, again, the rhetoric that this natural gas is a necessary bridge fuel to the future, to our economic security, this is just that, rhetoric. It's not something that my generation as we continue to build young families, will stand for at all. something where we will get rid of these types of proposals going forward. And apparently we have to deal with this still right now, but it will come to an end. Again, I urge you and echo other folks -- we talked about this before -- to focus on the fact that this company is talking about a little bit of profit for a little bit of people and I really want you to understand that the time that you should be spending and the subject that we should be talking about is a livable, sustainable future for my family, your family and so many others throughout our country. (Applause) MS. MATHER: Thank you. I think it's Lindsay and Macailagh will be after Lindsay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Lindsay, you're on your way up.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. McNAMARA: Hello, my name is Lindsay McNamara from Clean Ocean Action. Thank you for the opportunity to speak on this important issue. six points to make about the Liberty Natural Gas project, Port Ambrose. First, Clean Ocean Action shares a number of worries that the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management has with this project. One was concerned with the proximity of Port Ambrose to a proposed wind tower project. There is no doubt that large ships move in and out of the area and that safety measures are necessary to ensure that the risk of ships colliding is minimized. Liberty's statement that the LNG port would only have a minimal effect on the proposed wind facility needs to be given further consideration, especially since the LNG tankers that would be traversing the area are as long as the Empire State Building is tall. Second, means of proposed pipeline location for Port Ambrose is a fault line. The New York bight has seen earthquakes on the Richter Scale of 4.5 and above and experienced several thousand shocks a year. says that no seismic activity has taken place recently, and therefore the region is at minimal risk. because there hasn't been an earthquake recently, doesn't mean that there will not be one in the future.

Let's look at recent climate trends. Who expected a superstorm like Sandy? Just over the past few weeks, there have been disaster declarations for eight counties in New York to have planned for these natural disasters in the future and take them into consideration for new energy projects. Third, in the last week a fracking site exploded in West Virginia, injuring seven people. Just yesterday, a four mile wide natural gas leak in the Gulf of Mexico occurred while the company was attempting to permanently plug the well. Bottom line, is that natural gas is dangerous. Fourth, according to the DOE safety study from 2012, if Port Ambrose were to experience a breach in spill, as much as 40 percent of the LNG spill from the vessel's cargo tank is likely to remain in a vessel structure, leading to expensive cryogenic fracturing and damage to the LNG vessel structural steel, quote, "causing the vessel to be disabled, severely damaged and at the risk of sinking." Fifth, in the same study, the DOE found that if the LNG port were to breach, the vessel would not be able to move to safe anchorage and require a long time to monitor, inspect and assess the damage, all while potentially on fire, creating an extremely crowded firey ocean with lot of shipping lanes. Six, from Governor Christie's veto, the Liberty project would also present

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

significant security risks to our state through increased demand on the US Coast Guard and our state Homeland Security personnel and first responders. The Liberty project would, quote, "create a heightened risk in a densely developed region including potential accidents or sabotage, disrupting commerce in the Port of New York and New Jersey. In conclusion, Liberty Natural Gas Port Ambrose is an extremely dangerous proposal because of its interference with proposed wind turbines, the risk of massive storms like Sandy, the potential for a sinking pool fire in the middle of shipping lanes near the largest port on the east coast and the burden it could bring to our first responders and the risk it brings to our communities. Thank you.

(Applause)

MS. MATHER: I believe it's not Mr. McCue, excuse me, and then after her is Glenn Arthur I think it is, but go ahead.

MS. McCUE: Hi, my name is Macailagh McCue and I'm here with Clean Ocean Action. It is disingenuous for Liberty and the Maritime administration to say that this proposal is anything other than the exact same project that Governor Christie vetoed 16 months ago. He said, and I quote, "This project would present unacceptable and substantial risks in state's

residents, natural resources, economy and security." One week after he said no, Liberty amended their application and formally asked the Governor if his veto was still applicable. They were told by the Attorney General that Governor Christie's veto remained in effect as to both the original and revised application. project will have the exact same impact, just making it the exact same bad idea. While these concerns are still with us, it is even more urgent for this project to be denied today. With the coastal devastation that occurred from Sandy, the recovery efforts that are still underway and the market switch to favoring export facilities, it is imperative that this project be stopped. Not only is this the same proposal, it could easily become an export facility. You say that you are going to condition the license, but according to Section 4E1, the secretary shall amend or rescind any condition that is no longer necessary. If it's no longer needed for imports, this will be allowed for exports. you. Mr. Arthur and then MS. MATHER: Thank you. after you is Linda Reik, I believe. Go ahead, sir. MR. ARTHUR: Good evening. Glenn Arthur. I'm chairman of the New Jersey Council of Diving Clubs, a non-profit corporation incorporated in 1956.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

represent the scuba divers in the New York blight area from New Jersey, Pennsylvania and New York. We are all volunteer sport divers and we are very concerned with what goes on in the ocean. We actually see what goes on in the bottom, on the sand with the fish and the crustacean and the shellfish that are out there. One of the things we are concerned with are the safety and the security zones that will be around these turfs, how much of that will restrict our sport, as well as the safety and security zones around the incoming and its outgoing ships, which if I go by what was published by another proposal in Long Island Sound, would be two nautical miles in front of the ship, one nautical mile behind it and .37 nautical miles on both sides. It takes about 18 minutes for a ship at 10 knots to go past. We're concerned that in our areas where we are diving, this item is going to be in those areas. And are these ships that are also going to be in those areas, are they going to abide by the international and federal laws on the output and the divers' down flags? These ships don't move very fast, I understand that, but how can they maneuver if there is a dive boat with divers in the water in front of them? That's one of our concerns. As a private citizen, I have been on the internet lately and I have right on my phone right now,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

a document that shows 27 applications of existing 1 2 deepwater ports and land based LNG terminals. Of the 27 applications, as of May 17th this year for exporting, 23 3 4 have been approved for fair trade agreement states, which means any international state that has agreed with 5 a fair trade act, fair trade agreement, these facilities 6 7 are already okayed for exporting. Of those 27 that apply for nations outside the FTA, two so far have only 8 been approved. Now how hard is it for this turret that 9 10 is going to be placed if it is placed, how hard is it going to be to have a ship that liquifies come in, 11 12 hookup to it and export the fuel? I don't see anything 13 -- like you say, they are only applying for imports, but I understand physically the only change would be to have 14 a liquification ship come in. 15 16 MR. LESNICK: If would you like to talk about it, I'll talk about it. 17 18 That would be great. MR. ARTHUR: Thank you 19 very much. Like I said, as divers, we're concerned 20 about access to the places that we already are diving and the hazards that will come about if these ships come 21 22 in. Thank you. 23 (Applause) 24 MS. MATHER: Thank you. After Linda is Martin Donohue and Linda is coming forward. 25

Hi, I'm Linda Reik from Sullivan MS. REIK: The problem with this project is that County, New York. it is cited right where the Rockaway Wind Farm is also proposed. There couldn't be a more stark or obvious choice for New York's energy future than this choice. Wind power on one hand or more gas on the other? workers building pipelines or steel workers building turbines? Laying on the beach, looking out to sea and feeling good about the green energy on the horizon or laying on the beach and wondering what exactly you're inhaling? It is known that LNG tanker ships off gas 24/7 sending heat trapping methane gas straight into our atmosphere. Most of us know by now that uncaptured methane released by the gas industry into the atmosphere is 100 times more heat trapping than carbon dioxide in the first 20 years after emission and 30 times more heat trapping than carbon dioxide at 100 years after Therefore, the gas industry's inability to emission. contain methane emissions is a significant cause of global warming. Let's look at the alternative, a wind It's a much better choice for this location and for the energy future of our nation. I will tell you about a 2013 publication, peer reviewed, original scientific publication in the journal Energy Policy volume 57, pages 585 to 601. The title is, Examining

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the Feasibility of Converting New York State's All Purpose Energy Infrastructure to One Using Wind, Water and Sunlight. The first author is Dr. Mark Z. Jacobson, a civil environmental engineer from Stanford University in California. The publication presents a fully developed plan, including technical and economic details to convert New York State's energy infrastructure in all sectors to one powered by wind, water and sunlight by This plant can be a model for other states. 2030. was excluded as an energy source because it's a greater threat to the environment and health than all wind, water and solar technologies. In this plan, wind power is the source for 50 percent of the electricity to be The remaining sources of energy are solar, generated. geothermal, wave, tidal and hydroelectric. conversion would reduce New York State's power demand by 37 percent because electric power is more efficient than burning fossil fuels. It will stabilize energy prices because fuel costs would be zero. It would create more jobs than lost because nearly all New York State energy would be produced in New York state. New York State air pollution mortality and its costs would decline, saving money equivalent to 3 percent of the gross domestic product. The wind power component of this plan is 50 percent of all the power, with 40 percent coming from

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

offshore wind. The 10 percent onshore wind would only take up 1-and-a-half percent of land. Thank you, thank you. MS. MATHER: MS. REIK: You need to go to this plan immediately and I ask you to compare it to LNG. MS. MATHER: Thank you. (Applause) Thank you. Next is Martin MS. MATHER: Donohue and after Martin is Matt Smith. Martin. MR. DONOHUE: Thank you. Martin Donohue, D-o-n-o-h-u-e, here as a private citizen. My experience has been with facilities that have boilers that have interlockable gas supply. I think what people don't often times realize is in the winter, you cannot bring enough gas into this area. They interrupt larger boilers so that homeowners can continue to have their gas. And what happens is these larger boilers then burn dirtier fuels. So sometimes you look for something and you don't realize what the other downsides to it are. The other thing is I think a lot of other places in the world don't have the same facilities for compressing natural gas and if they have an outlet to sell that gas, they won't flare it. So a lot -- there's many places in the world that they are not capturing it. It's just cheaper and easier to flare it, but if there

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

were outlets for it, they would do it here. So often times what looks like the right thing, isn't the right thing and I'd like this to be considered in the application.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One other issue I'd like to just touch on, I would like that in the application they use quantitative risk assessment to determine some of these risks. Because I believe people look at things and don't recognize the risks that they take in their life, but expect a much higher level from some other -- from other people, from projects. I have some experience with mechanical integrity, pipelines, things of that nature and I believe that if it's done appropriately, it's done according to ASTM, ANSI standards, et cetera, et cetera, and those standards are pretty advanced and a lot of this has been done in other places, I believe that it can be done safely. So I just ask that you include that in your assessment and also include some of the potential savings that people may not fair it out with that, okay? Thank you very much.

MS. MATHER: After Matt Smith who is on his way, is Cynthia Pierson.

MR. SMITH: Good evening. My name is Matt Smith. I'm here today representing 4050 New Jersey, it's part of 350.org which is a global grass roots

movement to address the climate crisis. And I'm urging that the Coast Guard consider the climate impacts of Port Ambrose which represents a massive new investment in fossil fuel infrastructure. Despite the fact that it doesn't take a Ph.D. in economics to realize that the potential exists for exporting this gas, I think that's pretty clear. And folks have made that case. But as it was mentioned before, natural gas is a significant contributor in climate change both in the form of carbon dioxide as well as methane gas. And the US government reported in 2012 that flooding from future storms will worsen as global sea levels rise by up to 6-and-a-half feet by the end of the century. And just to quote some pretty conservative agencies, this is a quote from the International Emergency Agency, "If we don't radically overhaul our energy supply moving away from fossil fuels by 2017, the door will be closed forever and dangerous climate change will be inevitable." And that's just five years away. The US pentagon calls climate change the greatest threat long-term to US domestic security. The World Bank predicts that we'll see 200 million climate refugees by 2030 and two degrees celsius warming which we're on pace for now for within 20 to 30 years would cause wide spread food shortages, unprecedented heat waves and more intense extreme weather events.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

People live in U.S. coastal areas are at risk of being flooded from climate change. And we don't have to -- here in New Jersey, we don't have to look at these reports. We know what climate change looks like. We got a terrifying glimpse of it last year with Superstorm Sandy and our coastal communities are still recovering from that. So the idea that we would invest in a massive new fossil fuel infrastructure project continuing our dependence on fossil fuels when we're at this critical crossroads and we have this short and ever closing window to transfer completely away from fossil fuels to clean, sustainable energy sources is I think the definition of insanity. So thank you very much.

(Applause)

MS. MATHER: After Cynthia -- who is -- Cynthia is coming forward -- is Dana Patterson. Cynthia first, okay.

MS. PIERSON: My name is Cynthia Pierson, that's P-i-e-r-s-o-n from Cinnaminson, New Jersey. I'm here on behalf of the Watch Dogs of the Watershed and more importantly, as a lifelong resident of the Garden State as a cancer survivor. New Jersey's aquifers and groundwater have been under attack by industrial contaminants for decades. I have with me a report by the USGS and NJDEP from way back in 1985 entitled

Distrubution of Volatile Organic Compounds from the New Jersey Costal Plain Aquifer System. It was published in 1985 by a .3 number 3 issue of groundwater. Jersey's water was already in trouble 38 years ago and it hasn't gotten better since then. I don't understand why anyone would willingly construct a facility that has the potential of leaking lethal contaminants into the ocean and risk further damage to the ocean and to the areas of New Jersey and New York still recovering from Hurricane Sandy. Does the BP disaster in the Gulf Coast ring a bell? They say the facility will be for importing gas only, however the US is producing more natural gas than the domestic market can readily utilize and the industry plans to export almost half of our gas overseas, not to mention that there's the shale gas of fracking which is another whole environmentally nightmarish can of worms. So why do we need this facility? Thank you. MS. MATHER: After Dana is Daniel Axelrod. So Dana, you're right there, right? Okay, go ahead. MS. PATTERSON: Good evening, my name is I'm the program supervisor for Edison Dana Patterson. Wetlands Association. Our organization has been working in New Jersey for over 20 years to clean up the toxic waste legacy that has been left behind and working in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

environmental justice communities to protect and clean up these areas. New Jersey has its fair share of toxic waste and chemical companies and we have over 114 superfund sites, the most in the country, and we also have over 25,000 contaminated sites. We don't need our ocean to be contaminated too. For this, Edison Wetlands Association is opposed to the Port Ambrose facility. This area is part of the North American flyaway. 500 bird species use this flyaway. 40 percent of those species are conservation concerned species. includes the wood thrush, the piping plover and the red knot, which all stop over in New Jersey under flight from South America all the way to the Arctic. The red knot specifically dines on our horseshoe crab eggs that we have here on our Jersey shore beaches. really important that we protect those birds. also five species of sea turtles and 20 species of whales and dolphins that live in this area where they're proposing the port. Nine endangered species have been identified in that area. So this is a really important area, ecologically sensitive that we need to continue to protect. Our Jersey shore alone generates millions of dollars for New Jersey in economic revenue just for summer recreation. And actually, in Chris Christie's veto letter he said, I quote, "New Jersey has invested

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

much time, energy and resources into encouraging renewable energy, a commitment that has made New Jersey a state -- made the state a national leader. This project could stifle investment in renewable energy technologies by increasing our reliance on foreign sources which would undermine progress made by New Jersey and this nation to promote sustainable energy." End quote. This application is essentially the same as the application that Governor Christie vetoed and we hope that he vetoes it again. So I ask everyone today to stand with Edison Wetlands and all the other organizations and community members that are here and reject Port Ambrose. Please turn down this project. We do not need New Jersey to have any more toxic legacies here. Thank you.

(Applause)

MS. MATHER: Thank you. Next if I may -it's a little bit hard to call you, Daniel, when I can't
get a word in. So after Daniel comes Diane Beeny, I
believe. Daniel.

MR. AXELROD: Hi, my name is Daniel Axelrod.

I was an environmental and business economics major at

Rutgers University. My family owns and operates two

properties, one farm on Kingwood and one farm on Colts

Neck bordering the Atlantic and the Delaware. I'm going

into farming with the Delaware across the street. primary concern is of my family's welfare and the welfare of the water lands. I'm concerned with the proposal in part in exporting to other countries. was mentioned earlier, it says that the proposal does not include exporting into countries, rather importing into our country, but my concern is the change of the flipping of the switch in the future. I'm concerned of the accuracy of the financial information and its viability for the short term and for the long-term. I'm a proud American, but I'm not proud of our continual reliance on fossil fuels. We have the technology to provide efficient and renewable energy. flabbergasted that there is such a short period of time to review for this proposal. My concern is not only over the Port Ambrose, but over passively supporting the industry as a whole. I'm afraid for my family and my future children. I don't understand why we are considering Port Ambrose when Chris Christie already rejected a similar proposal two years ago. Please don't jeopardize my future, my health and my viability in the future.

(Applause.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MATHER: Thank you. After Diane comes
Tom, I believe. Diane, just spell your last name for

the court reporter.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's B-e-e-n-y. I'm the chair of MS. BEENY: the Union County Peace Council. I live in Westfield, New Jersey. First thing when I hear the words "Coast Guard, " I think of a protection organization, not something that's exploiting the coast. So if this project goes forward, then they should have to change their name because it would just be too ironic. testified at hearings like this before. There was one that Minerals Management Service had about off shore drilling and I remember this one man, gentleman from a group called Citizens for Prosperity. He was saying, well, we shouldn't worry about the sea creatures because they could all be relocated. If there's a whale in the way, the whale would be relocated. And I'm thinking, well, the logistics of relocating a whale and also where are you going to put the whale if you could? So I mean, it just doesn't add up. I mean, the one thing is that resources are finite. The fact that they're finite does not mean that we should be in a rush to liquidate, exploit and use them up as soon as possible, and especially things that are precious like water and air and things that we all need to survive, all the creatures and people on this planet need to survive. Ι believe I'm very much a proponent of the precautionary

principle, don't do something if it might cause any It's similar to the hypocritical message, do no And it seems like there's a lot of unknowns in harm. this project. And instead of following the precautionary principle, it seems like we want to on so many things, we want to follow the books principle like we shouldn't have done that, that was a big mess. hearing that, I mean, only a few days after the deep port horizon disaster. I mean, the idea of drilling off the Jersey shore at that point was like no way. I mean, you can't see in the future, but you can see where if you build more reliance on fossil fuels, it's just more of the same. We're just going to create more global catechisms and more Sandys, Superstorm Sandys and Katrinas. And instead of focusing on renewables and focusing on the future and building that which is what Europe is going towards, I mean, in China they're selling us wind turbines and solar panels and meanwhile they're still using the dirty coal in it, and their fossil fuel energy which is distorted. I mean, their air, if you can see it, I mean, they have areas of China where they have to hand pollinate the trees because the bees have all died off. Do we want that here? This is what we're doing to our planet. And basically we focus on wind and geothermal and solar with this reliance on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 frack fuel and contaminants and pollutants and health 2 problems. Thank you. 3 MS. MATHER: MS. BEENY: And when you have a spill of 4 solar energy, it's just suddenly -- that's all. 5 (Applause) 6 7 MS. MATHER: Thank you. Tom, after Tom is 8 Edith. Could you spell your last name? MR. MAHEDY: M-a-h-e-d-y. It is a great 9 10 honor to be here today with people of great love, people of great caring and people who are just so inspiring. 11 12 I'm just very, very moved. And I think that the CEO and 13 the president, Roger Wheeling was here earlier. Is he still here? If he is, I would invite him to join us 14 because he is a brilliant man, but he's going in the 15 16 wrong direction. And we welcome you with a big hug. 17 And all of us have been on the wrong side at times in 18 our life and people caring, and love have helped to turn 19 us around and to go into the right direction. 20 will invite everybody up front and Roger, tomorrow to 21 Sea Bright, because there will be a great many people on 22 the Jersey shore who will be coming to Sea Bright to 23 speak and to give testimony. They could not make it up 24 here today. I also invite people to come on Friday to 25 the Pinelands where they are planning on building a

22-mile gas pipeline there as well. Information on that, go to Ocean City Patch and the meeting tomorrow is at 9:30 in the morning. So as we can see, there are many salts. But we also know that when the people lead, the leaders will follow. And here we have a puzzle here because each person has a different piece of the puzzle. And together we will lead into what folks call an evolutionary catalyst, people who are thinking in a broader way and people who are thinking globally. People who are thinking of earth as mother, of earth that gives us and sustains us. And what we're doing with projects like that is it's no less than ecoside or geoside. We are destroying the very essence of what we need to survive. And we all know that's wrong and we all know that you have to go in another direction. invite you, all of you up front and I invite the Coast Guard, I invite the police. There was a comment out front early on that said okay, to those who are in favor of this project, can we bring baseball bats in? thought that was horrible. And the police officer laughed. We are not the enemy. We are here to help you. We are here to guide you and to help you to do the right thing, thank you. (Applause)

Thank you. After Edith is

MS. MATHER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Jennifer. Edith, you're next. Could you spell your last name?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KANTROWITZ: Edith K-a-n-t-r-o-w-i-t-z. Sorry if that was too loud, Edith. I work with a number of environmental groups, United For Action, New York City Friends of Clearwater, the Coalition Against the Rockaway Pipeline, but I'm here tonight on behalf of myself. And I came from Brooklyn and I went yesterday to Long Beach because I feel very strongly about this. And I'm really not going to say any of what I had originally prepared because I think it's all been said very eloquently by so many other speaks already. think that what I just want to point out is that what we have here is an emergency situation. And I think we all have to recognize that. I think some of us recognize that and I'm not talking about the emergency situation that would result if one of these LNG tankers blew up either by accident or by a direct terrorist attack. I mean, that would be an emergency situation that might incinerate everything for a mile around, but what I'm talking about is an emergency situation that is much more severe than that. We are talking about the emergency that this planet is in. And a number of people have already referred to that, but we need to wake up and we need to wake up quickly. We are already

over 400 parts per million. We have climate crisis upon Superstorm Sandy is nothing compared to what we are going to see. We are seeing disasters, storms, floods, droughts, we are seeing these things not once a year, but if we really open our eyes and see what's going on all over the world, we're seeing that it's going on every week and constantly. So why would we be using any kind of fossil fuels at all? Why would we be using methane that is such a strong accelerator of climate change? People say that, well, renewables aren't there yet. That's a lie. The only reason that renewables are not already powering all our energy needs is because there has not been the political will. There has not been the corporate will to make that happen because the profits don't seem to be as easy when they come from the sun. When this country wanted to have Manhattan Project to get an atom bomb, it happened very quickly. So if we wanted to get our country on renewables, if we wanted to get New Jersey, New York State on renewables, we could do it very quickly. We've heard 2030, I think we can do it even faster than that. So why do we need any of this methane? Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MATHER: Thank you.

MS. AXELROD: My name is Jennifer Axelrod.

MS. MATHER: I'm sorry, could you just wait

a second so I could get the next person to hear that I'm calling them, which is Scott Winters. Jennifer, go ahead.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. AXELROD: My name is Jennifer Axelrod. I'm proud to be here with my sisters from the League of Women Voters who are elderly, who have seen this country to wars and stand tall and have come here tonight. Edith Greenberg, one of my heroes, Cindy Zipf, my sister from the ocean. Jeff Tittel from Sierra Club that stands for the stewardship and the real responsibility owe future generations and each other. There are so many more and everybody has spoken so eloquently, I just want to address a few issues, mainly the money. particular organization comes from a bank which the taxpayers in the Cayman Islands, and I have a problem with lack of transparency. I have lack of transparency with the initiation process, the loophole, which for some Godforsaken reason has bypassed the Clean Air Water Act that was enacted by President Nixon -- and he did do some wonderful things for this country back in 1971. How can we be regulated when I dig a well in my farm, and yet these people are owning billions are unregulated. As far as this one-way route is concerned with the ships, they're now making ships that are six times bigger than the aircraft carrier limits.

means that if out at sea, you can flip a switch, with all due respect to them, and convert. Now the gas industry, with a natural gas industry this is a very, very dangerous process because it brings into jeopardy our future survival. We're not going to be needing natural gas in the future, we're going to be needing water and we're going to be needing food. And that's what we rely on. And we cannot have these toxins passed under us. What is more important is that people escaped monarchy in England to come to this great country. fought for our independence. We fight to this day. Soldiers in Afghanistan are not thinking about compressed stations. They're thinking about beautiful tall trees, Pennsylvania, Huntington, Pennsylvania having a garden to play with. Having air and a quiet enjoyment of this existence. It is a really terrible fact when you feel betrayed by our government. quite bothersome the president, who I voted for twice, who turns around and applauds the natural gas industry. That now is a salesman, that is not my president. want to be proud of this country. I want to maintain my Americanship and I applaud all these Rockwellian creatures, these Norman Rockwell people that still believe we have a voice. Because quite frankly, I think we don't. I think we have a corporate monarchy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. MATHER: Thank you.

(Applause)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. AXELROD: The main thing is people are afraid. The opposite of love is not hate, it is fear. We come here fearfully and we ask to be heard. And I hope you're listening.

MS. MATHER: After Scott comes Jim Loughran,
I believe. Scott Winter.

MR. WINTER: Yes, hi. Scott Winter I am the president and business manager of the Outrigger Engineers and Vice President of the Maritime Trade Department. On behalf of my union, I'd like to thank you for the opportunity to speak with you this evening. Unions have a long history of providing the opportunity for ordinary people, like me, to stand up and be heard about projects that are our bread and butter issues. union covers a vast geographic coverage area, from Maine all the way to Texas performing all the dredging, beach replenishment, offshore cable, pipeline projects and various other marine industry work. I am here to speak about the job creation aspect of this project and to also refute some of the misconceptions about the project's plan. Clean Ocean Action presented the statement to the public in which they referred to as their fact sheet. However, their statements are

misleading regarding the facts of this project. fact, so misleading that the information being disseminated is being utilized to sway the public opinion against this project. First, I'll make a comment regarding the exportation of the gas in this pipeline. Clean Ocean Action's facts sheet states that the LNG port will be used for both importing and exporting of natural gas. This is the most important misconception regarding this project. In fact, this project's permit for the state and Federal Government is for import only, not export. There will be no exportation of the natural gas from this project, period. If what I'm stating is indeed a fact, then the environmental organizations currently opposed to this project, should be for it, not against it, as this project would reduce the overall need for fracking.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Second, I'll make a comment regarding the industrialization of the ocean. Clean Ocean Action's opposition statement allude to the project being in the middle of the proposed offshore wind area. This is another misconception. This project will not impede offshore wind development. By large, my local union is proposed to provide a great deal of the labor resource for the offshore wind industry. I have been the leading organized labor advocate for the offshore wind industry

and I have been intricately involved with the offshore wind project from its infancy stages. Therefore, I can inform you with all certainty, that this project will not impede offshore wind development. In fact, I would believe that the only opposition to offshore wind industry would be the environmentalist opposed to industrialization of the ocean. How can those opposed to industrialization of the ocean endure offshore wind development when clearly, that project could be seen as ocean industrialization? With over 800 miles of offshore cable lane, local wind farms, connection stations, conversion stations and many other ocean activities --MS. MATHERS: That's it. MR. WINTER: That's three minutes? MS. MATHER: And you were fast, I'm afraid Thank you. After Jim -- as a former speech teacher, you're always supposed to practice the three minutes ahead of time. At any rate, Jim is -- Jim is not, okay. L-o-u-g -- I'm sorry and thank you, Jim. Hold on a second. After you is Tom and I'll massacre another one, it look like VonLinden, but Jim. MR. LOUGHRAN: My name is Jim Loughran. I'm a commercial fisherman for 40 years out of Point Pleasant, New Jersey. I'm on the board directors of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Jersey Shore Cooperative in Point Pleasant, Board of Directors of Garden State Seafood Association and I'm also representing the Belford Seafood Coop out of Middletown whose president can't make it at this meeting due to a health problem today. We remain strongly opposed to these LNG proposals. It's not just Liberty, it was the ones prior to this, Exxon Mobil and Insanity Island, so forth and so on. Our industry, Garden State Seafood Association represents hundreds of fishermen and related businesses. Our industry contributes over a billion dollars a year to New Jersey economy. York's commercial fishermen industry contributes over a billion dollars a year to their economy. We want to talk jobs, let's not talk about the six full-time jobs from an LNG company, let's talk about my job. I've been doing it for 40 years. My father and his father. I go back 80 years in my family as fishermen off of this coast. These are the jobs that matter. Clean fish, not dirty water. I have fished these grounds. I know they moved this site a few miles away from the other site, it's less intrusive in my grounds, but I have fished where the new proposal is. I've caught yellowtail flounder, scallops and loligo squid and other fishermen too have. I can tell you, I have seen the map provided to you by National Fishery Service track plotting and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

what species they caught. And I can tell you every boat in New Jersey that has is a track plotter and that's about all of them, and those in the trawl industry, could show you that exact same map, except times that by 100 because we've all been there. You're in our fishing grounds. You're also situated in between two major shipping lanes. We're dealing with an LNG tanker, the size of the Empire State Building. If it blows up and geez, you know, there's terrorists out there, it has a five mile blast zone. It's many times more powerful than a bomb that blew up Hiroshima. That is what we're dealing with. This is why they can't site it near New York City, it's got to be so far off shore. This is dangerous stuff. This is essential fish habitat for endangered species such as the northern right whale who this is right in their migratory path. I've seen many fin whales out there, leather back turtles, lagerhead turtles, Kemp's Ridley turtles, one of the most endangered species on the planet. Many, many, okay? Now it's very disturbing that this project just keeps coming up again and again and again. And while the public is here, Liberty is busy greasing the palms in Washington and that's where they're at. Let's remember this is a government for the people, by the people and of the people, and some words like that. And the people

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

are speaking here and they're speaking opposed to this project and opposed to the commercialization of our ocean and the industrialization of the New York Bight --MS. MATHER: Thank you, thank you. (Applause) MS. MATHER: Tom, and then after Tom is Allen Francis. Tom, could you spell your last name? MR. VonLINDERN: Tom, last name is V-o-n-L-i-n-d-e-r-n. Thanks, hi. Into the mic, okay. Last night I downloaded the project need from Liberty Gas and it seems like the major justification is that it will save 325 million dollars to the New York consumer and New York area consumers, which seems to me to be a boldface lie. Natural gas in this area is selling now for about \$4 per million BTUs. I have an article from the Financial Times of London from June 14th that indicates that the world price for natural gas is average \$16.76 per million BTU. So it seems to me that unless there's some phantom philanthropist who's willing to bring natural gas into this area for one-quarter of what he could get somewhere else, this is just a lie. Another lie that I'd like to address that's been perpetuated here a number of times is the relative cleanness of liquified natural gas. Liquified natural gas takes approximately the same amount of energy to

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

liquefy the gas as is contained in the gas, which makes liquified natural gas as dirty as coal and 40 percent dirtier than oil. That's it.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MATHER: Thank you, sir. After Alan who I think is coming forward is Debbie -- it looks like
Mans, but Alan and that's Francis, right?

MR. FRANCIS: That's correct, F-r-a-n-c-i-s. I'm a retired tug captain and business agent of Local I've worked on pipelines in New York Harbor, Boston Harbor and I've watched these pipelines be put in at all costs, anything goes attitude of the MG companies to a strict policy of enforcement of environmental regulations. In fact, even as a business agent I had to go up in Boston to an 8-hour environmental course and I had to pass that course before I was allowed on the job. And that's my experience up in Boston with the LNG facility up in Mystic River that's been operating in a very safe and environmentally compatible manner for many, many years and the Coast Guard does an excellent job. I may be bias, but I think the Coast Guard is one of the best organizations that our government operates. Now we all use fossil fuels in every facet of our life. The question I have is do we stop using these products while we develop alternatives? Right now in a flyer that was handed out, we only use 3 percent of wind

energy and .003 percent of solar energy. We cannot turn the clock back to where our energy use is negligible. We cannot stop the world while we develop these necessary alternative energy sources. We must take the environmental emotionalism out of our arguments and we must take the build at all costs out of the equation. I urge you to base your decisions on fact and fact alone. We cannot rely or we cannot base our decisions on future fears, what might happen or misconceptions or misinformation or especially the "not in my backyard syndrome." And I urge you that you make your decision based on the facts, but scientific facts. Thank you. MS. MATHER: Thank you, sir. After Debbie who is coming forward, is Mike Curry. Debbie, spell your last name. MS. MANS: Mans, M-a-n-s. Debbie Mans. I'm the Bay Keeper and executive director for New York and New Jersey Bay Keeper and we've been working to protect, preserve and restore the harbor actuary for over 20 years. The LNG Port Ambrose proposal is harmful to New York and New Jersey's harbor actuary, the plant and animal species that reside within and around these waterways and the people that rely economically and recreationally on these waterways. And that's why Bay

Keeper opposes this project. It is important to realize

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that the LNG Port Ambrose site would not only impact the ocean, but also our harbor actuary and it's in close proximity to the busy entrance of New York, New Jersey harbor. The potential for water pollution throughout the construction process and during the management of the LNG port is high, if not inevitable which would directly impact our harbor actuary, threatening the health of our waterways. Bay Keeper strives to ensure clean and healthy waterways for natural and human communities. And we've learned from the past that one mistake can pollute our waterways for an indefinite amount of time. It would be an egregious mistake to allow LNG facilities to be built in our waterway. Recently we have struggled to cope with the abundant pollution in the harbor actuary from Superstorm Sandy, a singular event. The consequences of pollution over the extended period of time that this LNG port would be built and run will devastate both the waterways that people rely on daily for economic and recreational activities such as fishing and boating, but also the natural ecosystem that is already in grave danger from pollution and inappropriate development in the past. MS. MATHER: Thank you. After Mike Curry who's coming forward, then after Mike is John Burke.

Thank you very much. First and

MR. CURRY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

foremost, I'd like to congratulate Cindy Zipf on putting such a handsome crowd together, a very good looking bunch we got here. Thank you, Cindy, good job. My name is Mike Curry. I'm a business agent with Local 25. live in Howell, New Jersey and I was raised in New Jersey and I've lived in Monmouth County for the last 32 years. My family and I spend many days and nights on the beaches of New Jersey, from Manasquan to Cape May. I certainly don't want to see any harm done to the beaches of this great state. But my job is to put men to work, work as everyone in this state knows is not very good right now. The work situation is rather bleak. Unemployment-wise I think we rank nearly tops in the country. So work is at a premium right now and we can use all the work we can get. I speak on the phone many days to guys who literally cry because their homes are in foreclosure or their cars are being repossessed and they don't have healthcare, they can't afford COBRA payments for their family. They just can't make ends meet and we don't have any work to offer them. This is a unique opportunity. We can put guys to work. put people to work in this state at relatively no cost at all to the taxpayers. Liberty Natural Gas is going to foot the entire bill for this project and when it's all complete, what we'll end up with is I believe we'll

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

have some cheaper gas, natural gas rates and it won't cost us anything out of pocket. Put people to work and it might help the economy. So what we're being faced with tonight I believe is a lot of scare tactics. People talking about fracking and I've looked over the plans for this project, I don't see any mention in the permit process of fracking. I seem to hear an awful lot of it tonight and I don't believe this project is about fracking. We can't base -- we can't judge this project on what might happen in the future. We have to base it on the permits that it's applied for and fracking is not part of that. It's a scare tactic. The reality is it will have a minimal impact on the ocean. Dredging that we do for a living every day of the week has a much greater impact on the ocean than this project ever will. So I think we need to stick to the facts, we need to think about what's good for New Jersey. This is not going to harm -- I understand everybody has good intentions, but I think that you're being a little mislead on this project. I don't think it's nearly as harmful as you're being told. I don't think there's any danger. It's 13 miles away from us and I think we all need to sit back, look at the facts and rationally make a decision. This project would be great for the state of New Jersey. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(Applause)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MATHER: After John is Robert Benny, I believe. John is Burke with an E, right?

MR. BURKE: Yeah, Burke with an E. Hi, I'm John Burke. I'm just a concerned citizen, resident of the Jersey shore, yeah, a voter. I'm also a retired Wall Street professional and a full-time finance professor at Monmouth University. I think this project creates risks to our communities and our environment and our resources for little to no social good. We don't need more natural gas. We really don't. The economics of this really look like a trojan horse to me. I mean, yeah, sure, it's called an import facility right now, but the economics on their face -- I'm also a chess player, by the way and I understand strategy. economics on their face really dictate that this is really ultimately for export. I believe it would be followed by an application for a liquification facility and then conversion to export. This morning, natural gas in the United States was \$3.67 per million BTUs. And we are just now becoming on that export because of all that fracking that is going on so we have ample supply of gas. Europe net importers, natural gas is \$9.92. Japan and Asia net import is \$17.71. So do I really believe this is for import? No, not really. All

1 right, we don't need to risk our communities, our 2 beaches and our people, so a privately held foreign-owned corporation that's exempt from the Clean 3 Water Act can profit from this facility off our shores. 4 Secondly, I find it really pretty offensive 5 that this big thing, 1,500 pages was snuck in here. I'm 6 7 from the Jersey shore. Why isn't this in Sandy Hook? Why do I have to come here to Edison? I don't have time 8 to review it and analyze it and comment on it properly 9 10 and we need more time, we really, really do. And that's 11 it. 12 MS. MATHER: Thank you. 13 MR. BURKE: And obviously I'm opposed. MS. MATHER: After Robert is Bob Tak-- and 14 he's going to have to do the rest of it, but Robert, 15 16 would you spell your last name, please? 17 MR. BENNEKAMPER: Sure, B-e-n-n-e-k-a-m-p-e-r. I'd like to say to the fellows 18 19 from Local 825 that hopefully there is going to be an 20 awful lot of work for them to do fortifying Manhattan Island against sea level rise and they won't invade this 21 22 project. But to go beyond that, if energy independence 23 is our national priority and it should be, then neither 24 export, nor import is in the national interest and that 25 alone should be enough to deny this project. Thank you.

MS. MATHER: Thank you. Bob, whose last name I'm massacring and then after you is Laura Bagwell. Bob, would you spell your last name, please?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TAKASH: Yes, Takash, T-a-k-a-s-h. an Edison resident. I am in agreement with most of those earlier speakers tonight, Congressman Pallone's office, Jeff Tittel, Jim Walsh, Cindy Zipf and her crew quoting Ms. McNamara, who did a great job getting everything in in three minutes, Edison Wetland Association and Debbie Mans. I also do not support or desire any offshore hydrocarbon activity as proposed. The concept of "not in my backyard" does impact my New Jersey residency, those other residents who are living in this very large, populated location. It also affects substantial workers who travel in and are employed in these areas. You can look at the Middlesex County Transportation Plan which was just being adopted has professional data showing what kind of numbers we're talking about here. There are very large concerns as you heard tonight, about the fishing activities, shipping, land, marine life disturbance and increased storm threats. I wish to reiterate my opposition to building this LNG facility. Realistically it's a bifurcated application. That process, the export phase will not be offered at this time, it will be dovetailed

```
1
    both operationally and economically with proposed import
2
    LNG project. We don't need it, we don't want it.
    administrative discipline rule is necessary. Please
3
4
    note, all the substantial environmental issues you've
    heard tonight, there's emergency failures that wasn't
5
    even properly addressed. These are paramount concerns.
6
7
    I've lived here in Edison many years. We went through a
8
    horrible natural gas explosion. I saw those faces of
    those people. We also in New Jersey went through
9
10
    horrible storms of Irene and of course, Superstorm
    Sandy. I am with the others about what happened in the
11
12
    Gulf of Mexico. So my advice to the management and the
13
    staff, go elsewhere. Get your stuff from the
    third-world countries. Don't reduce our area to a
14
    third-world status.
15
16
                MS. MATHER:
                             Laura?
                                     Laura?
                                             Laura going
17
    once, Laura going twice?
18
                MS. BAGWELL:
                             Hi.
19
                             Thank you, Laura. I'm glad
                MS. MATHER:
20
    you're ready to go. Beyond you is Bonnie Webber.
    Laura.
21
22
                MS. BAGWELL: My name is Laura Bagwell,
23
    B-a-g-w-e-l-l. I thank you so much for giving us this
24
    opportunity. I'm very happy that I found it.
                                                   It was a
25
    little far. I am representing the Red Bank
```

Environmental Commission. And we had passed a resolution in 2010 when three LNG projects were brought in the clean ocean zone. So I resurrected that at our meeting last night and we re-passed the resolution opposing this project. And I will submit this to you, so I don't have to read it, because everything in here has been very eloquently spoken about already and I'm not great at public speaking. So I just wanted to say that I did a little research and I went on Fox Business and I read an article and there was a chief economist for ConocoPhillips that studies have shown that LNG exports would increase domestic gas prices at most one dollar per million BTU, keeping price for American shell gas under six dollars. This price would spur more drilling. And everything else I read, something from the CEO Rick Tillerson of Exxon Mobil is pressuring the government to increase exporting of LNG. This troubles Hydraulic fracturing is completely linked to LNG and I know this is supposed to be an import only, but economically it doesn't make sense and it just doesn't make sense. Once a giant plant is built and it is becoming uneconomical, what are you going to do, just leave it there? It's going to switch to export. we're very, very concerned about that. And that's why we're going to reiterate our opposition to the governor

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and we ask you to deny this permit, thank you.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MATHER: Thank you. Bonnie. And after Bonnie is Lynn Schanbach.

MS. WEBER: My name is Bonnie Lane Weber. I'm a citizen activist. I live in New York City and I have spent my life at the Jersey shore in the summers, two weeks at a time or whatever and I love it and I care very much about it. I want to know, who is paying for all of this? Who is paying for this meeting? paying for the EIS? Who is paying for the scoping rights? Who is paying for the outreach that wasn't done very well, guys? Who is paying to arrange the alternatives? Who is paying for the draft EIS? paying for the then public comment, then the final EIS, then one more comment period? Who is paying for all of that when we could have just asked the mayor, the governors in the beginning and decided by a vote of the governors? So as an activist, I'm saying to everybody in this room and everybody you know, if each of us asks 10 people to call the governors and speak out against this project, and when you ask those 10 people, ask them to ask 10 more people and then ask them to ask 10 more people and let's let the governors know that we don't want this. And I was sitting here thinking okay, ask them to call 50 people, 100 people? No, 10 people is

not that much and then ask them, okay? You got to promise me you'll do that. The information is probably on Cindy's website, if not it's on saveenergy.org. also just want to say that this, you know, all this gas drilling and the import/export and all of that, they all say it will be done safely, but accidents happen. it's human error and that's what really scares me. Wе have a pipeline at Chrisianson Basin that goes over a fault line. I mean, what kind of an accident is that? Somebody just wasn't paying attention. So make your phone calls. 10 people. Have them call both governors and those people have to call 10 people. You got the picture, right? Thanks. Thank you. Lynn? MS. MATHER: Thank you,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MATHER: Thank you. Lynn? Thank you, Lynn. My apologies for what I did to your name. After you is Joe Mitro, I believe. Lynn, spell your last name.

MS. SCHAMBACH: My last name is Schambach.

S-c-h-a-m-b-a-c-h. I live in Brielle, New Jersey. I've been a Jersey shore resident for 41 years. I'm here on behalf of myself, my family, my mother-in-law who has a small business in Sea Girt for 43 years. God bless her, she's 87 years old, and any other beach and ocean lover. I oppose the proposal for the LNG facility for all the reasons everyone here has said they oppose it. So many

important reasons, whether someone wants to say it's based on fear, accidents happen and we can't undue an accident. So to make it brief, I just want to say that I think that or I know that a disaster at a deepwater port with associated pipelines and tankers will threaten the marine environment, our shoreline, our tourism, local businesses, New Jersey and New York's economy, our way of life at the shore. And for the record, I support a clean ocean zone to permanently protect the waters in the New York and New Jersey bight from fossil fuel industrialization, pollution and exploitation. Thank you.

MS. MATHER: Back there? Okay, and after Joe is Krissy Halkes.

MR. MAIRO: Hello, my name is Joe Mairo,
M-a-i-r-o. I'm a public school teacher. I teach
biology and environmental science. I'm also a surfer
and a surf fisherman. And I'm also a member of the Surf
Rider Foundation and tonight I'm speaking on behalf of
the Jersey Shore Chapter of the Surf Rider Foundation.
Surf Rider is an environmental organization that works
to protect our coastal environment through conservation,
activism, research and education. The Jersey Shore
Chapter has over 900 members, some of them are here with
me tonight. Outside of New Jersey, in the Jersey Shore,

we have 250,000 members worldwide and a presence in 18 The Jersey Shore Chapter is opposed to the countries. Port Ambrose LNG terminal and is campaigning to urge Governor Christie to, once again, exercise his option to reject the facility. We believe it's a step in the wrong direction. As many people have said already, natural gas is a nonrenewable fossil fuel and by definition is unsustainable. Someone said, well, natural goods burns cleaner than the other popular fossil fuels and that's true, but like many people have said before, if you look at the overall life cycle of the fuel, from extraction which can include fracking, to the cooling down process, to the transportation process, and then eventually to the burning process, the environmental impact grows and grows. In addition, in response to Sandy, our chapter organized hundreds of volunteers to help people clean up after Hurricane Sandy. And I can just tell you firsthand, you know, I saw the damage. I worked in Belmar and helped people clean out their homes. We had members working along the Bayshore, helping people clean up their homes there. And building this offshore LNG facility in such a vulnerable area and at such a vulnerable time where the Jersey Shore is still recovering, just doesn't make sense to us at all. And finally, many of us might not

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

think of the ocean this way, but I know we do, that the ocean is wilderness. You paddle out into the ocean, you can bet that the experience is essentially the same as it's been for hundreds of years. This project would develop that ocean wilderness and our chapter supports conservation, not LNG industrialization of that wilderness area, thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MATHER: Thank you. Krissy is coming forward. After Krissy is Emily Reuman.

MS. HALKES: Hi, my name name is Krissy I am a volunteer with Surf Rider Foundation Halkes. South Jersey Chapter. We kind of do down at the beach, where you guys do the shore up here. Our mission at Surf Rider is to protect and enjoy the ocean waves and beaches. Through our membership, we were able to get over 300 e-mails to the governor requesting that this project be rejected. My work with Surf Rider Foundation, as I said, is volunteer and it's because I love the ocean, I strive to protect what I love so that I can enjoy it today and in the future and so that my grandchildren, who aren't even born yet, have an ocean to play in. I am opposed to the Port Ambrose project because I believe it endangers our ocean. I believe that chemically treated seawater and dredging up the sea floor are far from clean and that this is only the very

beginning of the possible risks that this facility brings to the health of our ocean and the creatures whose lives depend on it. I'm not just talking about the four legged creatures, the finned and feathered ones, I'm talking about the two legged ones who make their living from the ocean and the beach, meaning the local small businesses, the fishermen and those that depend on the beach and the ocean for their income. Wе depend on it in part because of the beach loving tourists who frequent the small businesses as they spend their precious vacation time and their dollars at the beach. And I want to protect it for folks like me who love the ocean just for the beauty and the joy it gives me. Additionally, I'm kind of sorry that all those marine union worker guys left because it is my understanding that although this application was for an import facility, there is the possibility that it could become an export. And he seems so certain that that would never happen. I don't think life is that certain. I question the number of jobs that this project will bring. Will those employees be locals, for how long? And how would that go up against the jobs that would be lost if the ocean became something nobody wanted to play in anymore? I ask you to reject the Port Ambrose project for the health of our ocean and I appreciate you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

letting me speak today. Thank you.

(Applause)

MS. MATHER: Emily is making her way up here. After her is Jim Rapp.

MS. REUMAN: Good evening. My name is Emily Reuman, R-e-u-m-a-n. I wanted to speak to the fact that although all the union gentlemen left, right at 8 p.m. I noticed, they did mention that dredging is bad. Well, in fact, this project requires 22 miles of dredging in our ocean. So if the union gentlemen are saying that, I think we should probably take that into account, how serious this is.

I also wanted to note that yesterday, you know, we were told that this hearing was held close to the affected communities in Long Beach and that's why the hearing was held there, but then why today was the hearing held here in Edison, New Jersey which is so far from the communities who will actually be affected by this project? It was quite difficult to get here for so many people, so I wanted to note that as well.

Just yesterday, the U.S. Coast Guard confirmed a natural gas leak in the Gulf of Mexico that forced the evacuation of a gas protection platform 74 miles southwest of Louisiana. The gashing runs over 4 miles wide and 3/4 of a mile long. The Jersey shore is

too precious for us to invest in these risky
technologies that we've seen fail again and again. We
can't expose our homes and communities and economy to
this technology and we've had enough of it. It's
costly, fossil fuel, development, infrastructure,
dependence. And just as Governor Christie refused the
project in 2011 because it undermines renewable
investment, we demand renewable and sustainable future.
Thank you so much.

MS. MATHER: Okay, Jim Rapp is next and after Jim is Janice Rapp.

MR. RAPP: Janice is, of course, my wife and she is tired and does not want to speak tonight, but I will speak. I stand today as a lifelong New Jersey resident strongly shoulder to shoulder, Jersey strong next to Governor Christie in opposition of the Port Ambrose LNG export/import facility. I regard this facility as yet just the next cut in a death of a thousand cuts that America is suffering from the natural gas and petroleum industry. They have pretended to us that this is the bridge fuel into the future when yet in fact, it is only a bridge to the last century. The fracking has been proven to be unsafe. It is polluting aquifers and displacing existing industries that have supported rural American communities for hundreds of

years, well in excess of the 20-year time frame of this fracked gas that they think is so wonderful and is now the new wheel.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'd like to also speak about the financing, the financing of natural gas, now operating under considerable loss to the industry. It is all being financed by a derivative product that has been spoken about on MSNBC and CNBC as the same exact product that brought down the global economy most recently. What is going to happen to this facility? What is going to happen to all the pipelines, compressors? All of the infrastructure that's being built when all of these companies, just like this one that's based in Delaware or the Cayman Islands or something just decides that it's not profitable and they've made enough money, who pays the bills? The taxpayers. The taxpayer always pays the bill no matter what, for everything. For this meeting, for everything.

I would also like to comment on the fact that the natural gas industry in portending these untruths on America is dividing America, at a time when we need to have solidarity to best compete in this ever changing troubled world in which we live. As a world looks for us to lead, we cannot allow the smallest minds, those with no moral compass other than the

almighty dollar to lead us back to the last century as the rest of the world moves in a progressive way towards clean energy, sustainable, safe economies for their societies to grow. We are a nation that is moving in the wrong direction because we have allowed our government to be overtaken by petroleum prostitutes who have been placed in positions of high office throughout our nation in a 20 or 30-year scam and now here we are today with destruction, lies and a ceasing of American properties through the polluting of aquifers necessary for people to live in those. We have a right to remain in our home, unmolested.

MS. MATHER: Thank you. I have a piece of information for you. There's a red Jetta outside with Massachusetts plates and lights on. So, if that pertains to anybody. Now Michael Empry and then after Michael is Klaus Rittenbach. Is Michael here? Michael going once? Michael in the green shirt? No, okay. Michael going once, twice, three. Klaus I'm going to guess is in a green shirt, good, and then Paul Schilling is next.

MR. RITTENBACH: Hi, my name is Klaus
Rittenbach. I'm from Freehold, New Jersey, a lifelong
resident. I worked for the Department of Defense for 32
years and I'm here to tell you that a liquid natural gas

terminal is a potential national security disaster. terminal is a prime target for terrorists. We seem to have forgotten about 9/11 just 12 years ago. We seem to have forgotten about the attack on the USS Cole. quoting here from reports by the U.S. Department of Energy and from the Council on Foreign Relations. Ouote, "Because of LNG's highly explosive potential, Al Quaeda has specifically cited LNG terminals and LNG boats as desirable targets. LNG terminals make better targets because an attack could result in a massive fire that could potentially kill huge numbers of people. successful terrorist attack on an LNG tanker could result in as many as 8,000 deaths and 20,000 injuries." Now remember, 9/11 only resulted in about 3,000 deaths. So I ask you, do you want to be responsible for 8,000 Another scenario in the report involves deaths? terrorists taking control of an LNG tanker, sailing it into a major population center such as New York City and detonating the cargo. That would kill far more than 8,000. Another scenario is because of its low cost and high impact, a USS Cole-style attack. The defense planners say it's not a difficult thing to do if you're determined to do it. It doesn't require trained experts to evade the Coast Guard. The time to detect and intersect a rapidly moving small boat in a harbor could

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

be as little at two to three minutes. A fast boat loaded with explosives and suicide bombers is likely to evade most small Coast Guard patrol crafts. The Coast Guard is designed primarily for safety patrol. They are not designed for armed combat. And on top of that, the Coast Guard is woefully underfunded. So the bottom line is, do you want to be responsible for another terrorist disaster like the USS Cole right here in New Jersey? Do you want to be responsible for another 9/11 or worse right here in New Jersey? Do you want to be like Condaleeza Rice who had to apologize for 9/11 because she never imagined that airplanes could be used as missiles? Or do you want to use common sense and deny this nightmare of a terminal? Thank you.

(Applause)

MS. MATHER: Paul, and after Paul comes Bruce Smith. Paul?

MR. PAUL: Yeah, Hi Linda. Thanks for this opportunity to comment. I'm going to be very brief.

I'm the secretary for the executive board of the Surf Rider Jersey Shore Chapter. As was mentioned earlier, we have 900 members in our chapter. And our executive board is opposed to this project for the reasons previously given by my Surf Rider colleagues and by Clean Ocean Action and so that concludes my comments.

1 Thank you.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MATHER: Thank you, Paul. After, I'm sorry, Bruce Smith is next and after Bruce comes Lynn Blake.

Hi, my name is Bruce Smith. MR. SMITH: I'm a member of the Sandy Hook Bay Owners, Atlantic Highlands, New Jersey. Sandy Hook Bay Owners is also a member of the Jersey Coast Anglers Association which is an association of recreational fishing clubs in New Jersey numbering about 75 clubs in all and thousands of members. We're a relatively small player on this, but we've been active in the anti-LNG movement for five years or so. Going back five years, many of us asked what's the big deal of import versus export? And the answer was, very little. It's practically flip a switch and export more than import. So what's the in game? The in game has always been export and the other speakers tonight have commented on that. And it's always an advantage to speak last, because everybody else said everything you wanted to say. So I'll leave it at this, that I agree with all the people and all the comments that were negative, anti-LNG. Nothing has changed. Only the application has changed to try to hoodwink us again. We don't need LNG. We've got LNG to spare. So what's the sense of exporting it? To make

somebody a lot of money, that's all. So one of my concerns, the last gentleman, the one recent gentlemen here talked about the safety issues. That was on my top list, but the safety issue might never happen. It could go on for 50 years and not have an explosion or a hijacking. Regardless of that, with all the construction and preparation, the exclusions of commercial activities in the New York Bight, the negative impacts on recreational haggling and the negative impacts or potential impacts on recreation and tourism in our state, major concerns. So the Jersey Coast Anglers continues to oppose the LNG project proposed for this area, whether it be import or export. And my last thought is that I challenge you folks to come up with a site in the lower 48 states that would propose a greater negative impact on general populations, commercial fishing, recreational angling, marine biomass or potential catastrophic event. (Applause) MS. MATHER: Thank you. Next is Lynn Blake. And after Lynn Blake is Annie Wilson. Ms. Blake going once? Twice? Three times? Annie Wilson. Charles K-r-a-t-o-r-i-l? Charles? Britta Wilson? MS. WILSON: I'm Annie Wilson. Is the person ahead of me here? No?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MATHER: No, but two people after you.

Let's go.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Hi, I'm Annie Wilson. MS. WILSON: I'm from the New York Environmental Law and Justice Project and the Environmental Justice Committee National Lawyers Guild and these are preliminary comments. We'll be submitting written comments by the due date. overview of this proposal, first request that somehow the formalities regarding the application, that the application should be complete prior to the notice of intent and notice of public meeting and request for comments. That should be maybe revised. The segregation issues around this matter are again, foremost. There hasn't been any interaction within the different agencies within the pipeline expansion, fracking the states. This is an isolated project. now live in a global community. We cannot ignore the bigger impacts on our climate crisis. Fossil fuel infrastructures should not be expanded and in this case, it is in our backyard and it's in everybody's backyard given the times we live in. And also, the interconnections to the pipeline, transfer pipeline, there can be a requirement that they will have to extend to New York City, Brooklyn, that's as of right. this project will benefit a few and certainly will not

benefit most of us and our environment and the animals that will be impacted as well. The NEPA requirements will require terrorist review. And so for those in the room, there's an opportunity here to address those concerns. And thank you very much for the opportunity.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MATHER: Thank you. And my guess is that that's Britta behind you? After Britta is Dave Pringle.

MS. FORSBERG WENZEL: Good evening, my name is Britta Forsberg Wenzel and I traveled here tonight all the way from Lavalette in Ocean County, New Jersey. Lavalette is a lovely little town that's located on the barrier island right between some images you may be seeing on TV lately, Mantoloking and Ortley Beach. have seven properties on the island of which one of them is my home. I'm currently living on the second story with a bed and a microwave. I think it's absolutely outrageous that this proposal is coming up tonight and I have to drive all the way up here to testify about something that I feel so passionately against. particular project is wrong for the Jersey shore. not only wrong for the Jersey shore, it's wrong for the residents that live there. It's wrong for our local economy, our clean economy and for jobs. I'm not a business agent for a union; however, I happen to be half

Swedish and half Norwegian so I could have a union meeting in my living room any day of the week. We have pile drivers, dock builders, ship builders, crane operators, captains and tugboat operators in my family on both sides. This project does not propose good, clean jobs, not long-term jobs, jobs that are going to employ and feed families over a lifetime. My husband is a commercial fisherman out of Point Pleasant Beach. know this seems like a surreal story, but it's probably the story of a lot of families on the Jersey shore that you're not hearing tonight because we're tackling more personal issues like getting electric, which I got last weekend. This project has been wrong not in this particular applicant, but this project has been wrong over a long period of time. I served as an elected official in my municipality for six years and we pass multiple resolutions against similar projects in the In addition, I operate several small businesses at the Jersey shore. One of them is called Salty's; maybe you've been there. It's 49 years old. It's a family restaurant, breakfast, lunch, dinner, several ice cream stores and a novelty gift shop. We employ 180 people typically in the summertime, but we're not open. My husband's vessel which is a joliet boat which runs out of Point Pleasant typically can employ up to six

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people. To me these are good, clean jobs. They're not just summer jobs; they're jobs that last all year. They feed families. They're clean. They don't hurt the ocean. There's no dangerous components to them other than if you can't swim or perhaps if you don't have a skill to stay on board.

I'm opposed to this project on a personal level as a citizen, as a taxpayer and as a resident. I also serve as the executive director of a group called Save Barnegat Bay. It's a 43-year-old non-profit organization that protects and restores the Barnegat Bay. We're supported by 1,500 families and small businesses and that organization is against this proposal. Thank you for the Coast Guard keeping us safe and please have a hearing in Ocean County or Monmouth County.

(Applause)

MS. MATHER: Dave Pringle. After Dave is Kim Yousey. Dave.

MR. PRINGLE: Thank you. My name is David
Pringle. I'm the campaign director for the New Jersey
Environmental Federation State Chapter of Clean Water
Action. We have over 100,000 members, here in New
Jersey. Can I have a show of hands, please, up here too
as well, bate and switch, anybody ever hear of it? Bate

and switch? That's what's going on here, we all know it. Oh, woe is me. I built this big, fancy project and, you know, I can sell my stuff four times as much over here or 75 percent less over there. Which way will I go? Could I please change my application? already built it. Are you guys going to say no then? No way. So let's not be in the tank and be honest and include that as we draft this EIS. Josh Fox said this so I don't want to take his thing, but natural gas isn't a bridge, it's a game plank. The governor vetoed this. He's running for president. He's going to veto it again unless he decides it's better for his interest running for president not to, but then you have the opportunity to run for president and veto it. Trying to think what else hasn't been said tonight. That's really a shame you're wasting your time and our time. It's the same project. We all know it. A couple little different -the details are a little different, but it's actually worse. It's closer to people. It's four times the size. So do us all a favor, just say no. Don't be ignorant. Don't be in the tank. End the process soon. (Applause)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MATHER: Kim? I think that's Kim coming across. After Kim is April Gimber -- something. Anyway Kim, Kim could you spell your last name?

MS. YOUSEY: Kim Yousey, Y-o-u-s-e-y. don't have a lot to say. We have economically heated our house with natural gas for over 15 years. Virtually none of it came from a frack matrix. I want to say matrix and not well because if you look at a frack, a fracking fields from the Google, it is many wells in one spot. Now there's a big rush to build a 42-inch low pressure pipeline through my town. There are no local connections planned to bring natural gas service to any homes or businesses. So this overly large pipe appears to be intended for storage. I would like to take issue with the claim that this plant is intended for import. It's pretty obviously not. Fracking fields, pipelines and LNG plants are part of the same infrastructure and they cannot be evaluated individually. Renewable energy is resilient, more so than other types of energy because first, it's not dependent on centralized collection and distribution system. Generation is collocated, usually collocated in the area where it is produced. So for this reason, there's less waste in the transport. read statistics from Professor Becker in Pratt Institute, he put on the board a diagram, coal plants in Ohio produce two parts of P energy for every one part of electricity. And then from there, all that electricity diminishes until it gets to energy. So clearly, we do

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
1
    need a better system of generating electricity.
2
    However, LNG frack gas is just definitely wrong in my
    book. I don't buy the idea that this produces jobs
3
4
    because New Jersey was quite quickly on its way to the
    number two in solar energy production and all the
5
    rooftops, impervious area in the state we can produce
6
7
    enough solar energy. We have lots of wind on our coast.
8
    We can produce -- the two complement each other and we
    can produce all the energies we need right there.
9
10
    my opinion that this is not based on fear, but disbelief
    that otherwise --
11
12
                MS. MATHER:
                             Thank you, April. That's it.
13
    That's your time.
                MS. YOUSEY: And as indicated, people are
14
    using so little common sense.
15
16
                MS. MATHER: Thank you, it's actually Kim,
17
    excuse me. April? Is April going once? Going twice?
    April? Cecilia? Castel -- here comes Cecilia so she
18
19
    can fix what I just did to her name.
20
                MS. CASTELON:
                               That was pretty good.
    Cecilia Castelon. I'll keep it short and sweet.
21
                                                       Ι
22
    second the emotions of many of the anti-LNG comments
23
    tonight. So I'm coming here as both a recent college
24
    graduate and a daughter. As a daughter, I had the very
25
    unique experience of growing up with a mother who
```

suffered from cancer not once, but twice and is still battling it today. For those reasons alone, I'm deeply skeptical and fed up with our dependents on carcinogenic fossil fuel development. I know and I think that you know as well, our prolonged objection with continuing to rely on materials and toxins and chemicals that we know are proven to cause harm to our bodies and to our environment. It is absolutely ignorant and absurd if you ask me. As a 20-something and as a recent college graduate, I am a future taxpayer and a taxpayer right now and I am eager to pay taxes for probably my somewhat middle paying jobs that I'm excited to take on in the next ten years of my life to projects and infrastructure development that leads to an alternative energy economy, leads to a future where I may have the chance to have a daughter and she may have the chance to have a mother that was not sick multiple times in her life. Sometimes connecting all of these dots together in these types of venues can lead to allegations of environmental emotionalism which is what we heard tonight earlier from the fellow, but I think this is a human story and I think this is connecting the dots in a way that we need to be connecting the dots right now. So I would love, as I said, to dedicate my tax dollars to projects that actually lead to a future that I'd be interested in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 occupying. And an LNG future, a coal future, 2 what-have-you, is not a future I'm interested in finding jobs in or raising my future children in. 3 4 absolutely oppose the development of this LNG terminal site and I hope that you realize that this is not just 5 one generation speaking about this issue, two 6 7 generations speaking about this issue. This is all of 8 This is an intergenerational movement and it's as us. much about your children, which I'm sure you all care 9 10 and love dearly about, as it is much about people who are working, paying taxes and looking for jobs today. 11 12 So thank you. 13 (Applause) 14 MS. MATHER: We've now come to the end of our speaker list and we also are over time, so let me 15 16 thank you for your cooperation and turn this over to my 17 right. MR. BACHAMAN: Yeah, this concludes the 18 19 public scoping meeting Port Ambrose Deepwater Port 20 license application here in Edison, New Jersey. We are now adjourned. Thank you, and please have a safe trip 21 22 home and good night. 23 (Whereupon the proceedings were concluded at

25

24

8:47 p.m.

#### CERTIFICATE

I, NADINE M. GAZIC, a Notary Public and Certified

Court Reporter of the State of New Jersey, License No.

XI01883, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true

and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken

stenographically by and before me at the time, place and

on the date hereinbefore set forth.

I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the action.

-----

Notary Public of the State of New Jersey

\$	20:13, 39:16, 99:7	<b>48</b> [1] - 105:15	Α	addition [6] - 13:17,
•	<b>2012</b> [4] - 4:16, 39:17,	<b>49</b> [1] - 108:20		14:4, 14:19, 16:20,
	53:12, 62:11	<b>4E1</b> [1] - 55:17		95:15, 108:18
<b>\$16.76</b> [1] - 81:18	<b>2013</b> [3] - 5:14, 5:15,	_	<b>abide</b> [2] - 18:6, 56:19	Additional [1] - 15:13
<b>\$17.71</b> [1] - 87:24	58:23	5	<b>able</b> [5] - 11:11, 25:9,	additional [5] - 4:7,
<b>\$3.67</b> [1] - 87:20	<b>2017</b> [1] - 62:17		25:11, 53:20, 96:15	5:19, 16:2, 41:2,
<b>\$9.92</b> [1] - 87:24	<b>2030</b> [4] - 28:15, 59:9,		absolute [2] - 20:15,	44:8
	62:22, 73:20	<b>50</b> [7] - 19:25, 47:23,	32:7	Additionally [1] -
0	<b>22</b> [3] - 5:14, 5:15,	47:25, 59:13, 59:24,	absolutely [4] - 51:4,	97:14
	98:9	92:25, 105:5	107:17, 113:8, 114:4	address [5] - 37:3,
	<b>22-mile</b> [1] - 71:1	<b>50,000</b> [1] - 22:2	absurd [1] - 113:8	62:1, 74:13, 81:22,
<b>003</b> [1] - 83:1	<b>22nd</b> [2] - 5:17, 9:3	<b>500</b> [2] - 46:16, 65:8	abundant [1] - 84:14	107:4
	<b>23</b> [1] - 57:3	<b>500,000</b> [1] - 19:25	Accelerated [1] - 38:6	addressed [3] - 9:3,
1	<b>23rd</b> [1] - 5:17	<b>56</b> [1] - 47:21	accelerator [1] - 73:9	14:3, 90:6
	<b>24</b> [3] - 4:23, 19:2,	<b>57</b> [1] - 58:25	acceptable [1] - 33:25	adequate [1] - 50:13
<b>4 500</b> (4) 40:20 46:4	21:8	<b>585</b> [1] - 58:25	access [1] - 57:20	adjacent [1] - 20:15
<b>1,500</b> [4] - 40:20, 46:1, 88:6, 109:12	<b>24/7</b> [1] - 58:12		accident [3] - 72:18,	adjourned [1] - 114:21
1-and-a-half [1] - 60:2	<b>24th</b> [1] - 40:23	6	93:9, 94:3	administration [4] -
<b>10</b> [9] - 56:15, 60:1,	<b>25</b> [4] - 25:6, 33:5,		accidents [3] - 54:6,	6:19, 19:15, 30:19,
	82:9, 85:4	6-and-a-half [1] -	93:6, 94:2	54:21
92:20, 92:21, 92:22, 92:25, 93:11, 93:12	<b>25,000</b> [1] - 65:5	62:12	accordance [2] - 4:20,	Administration [10] -
<b>100</b> [5] - 45:5, 58:15,	<b>250,000</b> [1] - 95:1	<b>60</b> [1] - 8:23	13:14	4:3, 6:9, 7:17, 8:1,
58:17, 80:5, 92:25	<b>27</b> [3] - 57:1, 57:2,	<b>600,000</b> [1] - 32:8	according [3] - 53:11,	8:5, 8:6, 27:2, 28:3,
<b>100,000</b> [1] - 109:23	57:7		55:16, 61:14	40:18, 41:1
100,000 [1] - 109.23 100-year [1] - 23:2	_	<b>601</b> [1] - 58:25	<b>According</b> [1] - 44:5	administrative [1] -
114 [1] - 65:3	3	7	account [3] - 31:24,	90:3
<b>12</b> [1] - 102:3		7	39:21, 98:11	administrator [4] -
<b>12</b> [1] - 102.3 <b>120</b> [1] - 41:6	3 to 50.00 64.0		accounting [1] - 29:15	8:4, 8:5, 10:9, 10:20
<b>13</b> [2] - 28:6, 86:22	<b>3</b> [4] - 59:23, 64:3,	<b>74</b> [1] - 98:23	accuracy [1] - 67:9	Administrator [1] -
<b>13</b> [2] - 28.0, 80.22 <b>14</b> [1] - 42:25	82:25 <b>3,000</b> [1] - 102:14	<b>75</b> [3] - 47:5, 104:10,	acknowledge [1] -	6:21
14th [1] - 81:16		110:4	45:1	adopted [1] - 89:17
<b>15</b> [3] - 17:8, 32:12,	<b>3/4</b> [1] - 98:25		<b>ACO</b> [1] - 22:14	ads [1] - 15:10
111:3	<b>30</b> [5] - 5:18, 24:18, 46:22, 58:16, 62:23	8	Act [8] - 4:1, 4:18,	advanced [1] - 61:15
<b>16</b> [1] - 54:23	<b>30-year</b> [1] - 101:8		4:21, 13:15, 20:14,	advantage [1] -
17th [1] - 57:3	<b>300</b> [2] - 44:13, 96:16		30:18, 74:19, 88:4	104:19
<b>18</b> [2] - 56:14, 95:1	<b>32</b> [2] - 85:6, 101:24	<b>8</b> [1] - 98:7	act [3] - 39:17, 47:9,	<b>adverse</b> [2] - 11:18, 43:24
<b>180</b> [1] - 108:22	<b>325</b> [1] - 81:12	<b>8,000</b> [3] - 102:13,	57:6	advice [1] - 90:12
<b>1956</b> [1] - 55:25	<b>350</b> [1] - 44:13	102:15, 102:20	action [6] - 5:2, 5:4,	advocate [1] - 77:25
<b>1971</b> [1] - 74:20	<b>350.org</b> [1] - 61:25	8-hour [1] - 82:14	15:2, 16:21, 37:1,	aesthetics [1] - 14:16
<b>1980s</b> [1] - 20:2	<b>37</b> [2] - 56:14, 59:17	<b>80</b> [1] - 79:17	37:2	affected [4] - 5:2,
<b>1985</b> [2] - 63:25, 64:3	<b>38</b> [1] - 64:4	<b>800</b> [1] - 78:10	Action [9] - 27:22,	41:2, 98:15, 98:18
1303 [2] - 03.23, 04.3	36 [1] - 04.4	<b>825</b> [1] - 88:19	43:15, 52:3, 52:6,	affects [3] - 43:24,
2	4	<b>87</b> [1] - 93:23	54:20, 72:5, 76:23, 103:25, 109:23	49:24, 89:14
	•	<b>888-444-9452</b> [1] -	Action's [2] - 77:6,	affiliated [1] - 27:24
		49:12	77:18	afford [2] - 47:15,
<b>2</b> [1] - 22:2	<b>4</b> [3] - 42:25, 81:15,	<b>8:47</b> [1] - 114:24	active [1] - 104:12	85:18
<b>20</b> [7] - 32:10, 58:16,	98:24	8th [1] - 9:1	activism [1] - 94:23	Afghanistan [3] -
62:23, 64:24, 65:17,	<b>4.5</b> [1] - 52:20		activist [2] - 92:5,	42:20, 42:21, 75:12
83:19, 101:8	<b>40</b> [8] - 28:15, 38:3,	9	92:18	<b>afraid</b> [3] - 67:17,
<b>20,000</b> [2] - 22:1,	53:13, 59:25, 65:9,		activists [1] - 36:23	76:4, 78:16
102:13	78:24, 79:16, 82:2		activities [4] - 78:13,	<b>Africa</b> [3] - 25:2, 25:3
20-something [1] -	<b>400</b> [1] - 73:1	9-to-12-month [1] -	84:20, 89:20, 105:8	afterwards [3] - 8:17,
113:9	<b>4050</b> [1] - 61:24	40:7	activity [4] - 6:17,	10:4, 12:20
<b>20-year</b> [1] - 100:1	<b>41</b> [1] - 93:20	<b>9/11</b> [4] - 102:3,	20:1, 52:22, 89:11	agencies [8] - 5:7, 7:3,
<b>200</b> [1] - 62:21	42-inch [1] - 111:7	102:14, 103:9,	actuary [5] - 83:19,	7:18, 13:21, 15:12,
<b>2007</b> [2] - 29:14, 29:19	<b>43</b> [1] - 93:22	103:11	83:21, 84:2, 84:7,	32:6, 62:14, 106:15
<b>2010</b> [4] - 4:9, 21:11,	43-year-old [1] -	<b>900</b> [2] - 94:24, 103:22	84:15	Agency [1] - 62:15
39:14, 91:2	109:10	<b>9:30</b> [1] - 71:3	add [1] - 68:18	agency [4] - 6:3, 6:24,
<b>2011</b> [5] - 4:13, 19:4,	<b>471</b> [1] - 31:9		added [1] - 22:18	8:2, 10:15

agent [4] - 82:8, 82:13, 85:4, 107:25 ago [8] - 30:5, 36:19, 40:24, 47:23, 54:24, 64:4, 67:20, 102:3 agree [1] - 104:21 agreed [1] - 57:5 agreement [4] - 31:1, 57:4, 57:6, 89:5 agricultural [1] - 26:9 ahead [8] - 26:25, 33:1, 54:18, 55:22, 64:20, 74:3, 78:19, 105:25 Air [2] - 39:23, 74:18 air [11] - 23:19, 33:12, 38:15, 38:17, 39:9, 43:21, 44:24, 59:21, 68:22, 69:21, 75:15 aircraft [1] - 74:25 airplanes [1] - 103:12 AI [1] - 102:7 Alan [2] - 82:4, 82:6 alarming [1] - 29:21 Alexandra [2] - 18:15, 18:20 allegations [1] -113:19 Allen [1] - 81:7 allow [10] - 9:8, 18:9, 20:8, 28:25, 37:16, 39:18, 47:11, 49:4, 84:13, 100:24 allowed [7] - 17:10, 31:22, 42:1, 48:14, 55:19, 82:15, 101:5 allude [1] - 77:19 almighty [1] - 101:1 almost [1] - 64:14 aloha [1] - 41:11 alone [5] - 43:1, 65:22, 83:7, 88:25, 113:2 **alpacas** [1] - 33:16 alternate [3] - 33:11, 33:17, 34:2 alternative [10] -14:24, 14:25, 15:1, 15:2, 35:18, 43:5, 58:20, 83:4, 113:14 alternatives [8] - 5:2, 5:5, 14:23, 35:19, 42:23, 82:24, 92:13 Ambrose [30] - 4:10, 4:14, 7:24, 9:4, 9:9, 9:11, 13:1, 16:10, 21:8, 21:14, 38:5, 39:12, 40:2, 52:6. 52:9, 52:19, 53:12, 54:8, 62:3, 65:7, 66:13, 67:16, 67:19,

83:20, 84:1, 95:3, 96:22, 97:24, 99:17, 114:19 amend [1] - 55:17 amended [6] - 4:13, 9:7, 30:18, 37:14, 39:17, 55:2 amendment [2] - 4:15, 30:24 America [6] - 19:18, 29:24, 65:13, 99:19, 100:21 American [6] - 27:2, 65:8, 67:11, 91:13, 99:25, 101:9 Americanship [1] -75:22 amount [5] - 25:8, 38:3, 50:14, 81:25, 84:12 amounts [2] - 38:18, 51:5 ample [1] - 87:22 analysis [6] - 5:10, 6:25, 10:18, 14:11, 44:23, 45:14 analyze [2] - 14:23, 88:9 anchorage [1] - 53:21 anchoring [1] - 7:12 Anglers [2] - 104:8, 105:12 angling [1] - 105:17 animal [1] - 83:22 animals [2] - 44:12, 107:1 Annie [4] - 105:21, 105:22, 105:24, 106:3 announce [1] - 15:7 announced [3] - 4:23, 4:24, 16:12 ANSI [1] - 61:14 answer [3] - 16:1, 27:25, 104:15 anti [3] - 104:12, 104:22, 112:22 anti-LNG [3] - 104:12, 104:22, 112:22 anxious [1] - 12:19 Anyway [1] - 110:24 apologies [1] - 93:15 apologize [2] - 18:23, 103:11 **applaud** [1] - 75:22 applauds [1] - 75:19 Applause [27] - 24:11, 27:18, 30:7, 32:13, 39:1. 41:8. 43:9.

48:23, 49:16, 51:23,

54:15, 57:23, 60:7, 63:14, 66:16, 67:23, 70:6, 71:24, 76:2, 81:5, 87:1, 98:2, 103:15, 105:19, 109:17, 110:22, 114:13 applause [1] - 17:13 applicable [2] - 11:11, 55:4 applicant [4] - 11:7, 11:8, 11:11, 108:14 application [43] - 4:8, 4:13, 4:14, 5:13, 6:2, 6:12, 8:22, 9:8, 9:10, 9:14, 9:16, 9:17, 10:20, 11:3, 12:1, 12:15, 16:11, 28:23, 30:20, 36:22, 37:2, 39:11, 39:14, 39:21, 40:21, 40:25, 43:20, 44:6, 46:1, 55:3, 55:6, 61:4, 61:6, 66:8, 66:9, 87:18, 89:24, 97:16, 104:23, 106:9, 106:10, 110:5, 114:20 Applications [1] -14:9 applications [5] -6:21, 38:1, 38:2, 57:1, 57:3 applied [1] - 86:11 apply [3] - 25:16, 32:4, 57:8 **applying** [1] - 57:13 appreciate [3] - 12:18, 24:17, 97:25 appropriate [1] - 7:18 appropriately [1] -61:13 approval [2] - 4:1, 7:18 approve [8] - 4:2, 6:22, 6:23, 7:15, 11:25, 12:1, 12:3, 12:4 approved [7] - 7:14, 9:18, 9:21, 11:21, 39:18, 57:4, 57:9 April [6] - 4:16, 110:24, 112:12, 112:17, 112:18 Aquifer [1] - 64:2 aquifers [3] - 63:22, 99:24, 101:10 arbiters [1] - 48:17 Arctic [1] - 65:13

area [29] - 15:23,

47:10, 47:13, 47:14, 52:11, 52:16, 56:1, 60:15, 65:8, 65:18, 65:20, 65:21, 76:17, 77:20, 81:13, 81:14, 81:20. 90:14. 95:23. 96:7, 105:13, 111:19, 112:6 areas [17] - 7:12, 13:8, 19:16, 22:21, 22:23, 23:6, 26:9, 41:2, 56:16, 56:17, 56:18, 63:1, 64:9, 65:2, 69:21, 89:16 **arguments** [1] - 83:5 armed [1] - 103:5 army [1] - 11:22 arrange [1] - 92:12 Arthur [3] - 54:17, 55:21, 55:23 **ARTHUR** [2] - 55:23, 57:18 article [2] - 81:15, 91:10 articulated [1] - 31:21 **ashore** [1] - 20:3 Asia [3] - 25:10, 37:24, 87:24 aspect [2] - 13:16, 76:21 Assemblyman [1] -21:3 assess [2] - 44:21, 53:22 assessed [2] - 7:21, 44:22 assessment [6] - 7:7, 31:7, 31:21, 41:5, 61:7, 61:18 assessments [1] -44:7 assist [2] - 13:12, 16:4 associate [2] - 8:4, 8:5 associated [2] - 6:3, 94:5 Association [6] -64:23, 65:7, 79:2, 79:9, 89:10, 104:8 association [1] -104:9 assuming [2] - 18:15, 35:6 **assurance** [1] - 11:7 asthma [1] - 51:6 **ASTM** [1] - 61:14 Atlantic [7] - 19:13, 19:16, 44:3, 44:13,

49:6, 66:25, 104:6

29:24, 40:4, 40:15,

42:1, 42:7, 46:8,

atmosphere [4] -22:18, 38:20, 58:13, 58:14 atom [1] - 73:17 attack [6] - 63:23, 72:18, 102:4, 102:10, 102:12, 102:21 attempting [1] - 53:9 attention [1] - 93:10 attitude [1] - 82:11 Attorney [1] - 55:4 August [4] - 5:14, 5:17. 9:3 author [1] - 59:3 authority [5] - 8:7, 9:11, 9:16, 10:10, 38:2 availability [3] - 15:7, 15:9, 33:9 available [10] - 6:5, 14:8, 15:16, 15:21, 16:17, 27:13, 33:23, 40:22, 46:22 average [1] - 81:18 avoided [1] - 7:12 aware [1] - 37:11 awful [2] - 86:7, 88:20 Axelrod [4] - 64:19, 66:21, 73:24, 74:4 AXELROD [4] - 66:21, 73:24, 74:4, 76:3 В

B-a-g-w-e-I-I [1] -90:23 **B-e-e-n-y** [1] - 68:2 B-e-n-n-e-k-a-m-p-er[1] - 88:18 BACHAMAN [1] -114:18 background [1] -44:18 backyard [4] - 83:10, 89:12, 106:20 bad [6] - 22:3, 42:16, 46:4, 55:8, 98:8 Bagwell [2] - 89:2, 90:22 **BAGWELL** [2] - 90:18, 90:22 bait [1] - 28:22 bait-and-switch [1] -28:22 ballast [1] - 45:12 Bank [2] - 62:21, 90:25 bank [1] - 74:14

causing [1] - 53:17

bar [1] - 47:6 beneath [2] - 38:10, boat [8] - 41:21, 42:2, 107:7, 107:10 78:11 barely [1] - 37:21 38:11 42:4, 56:22, 80:1, broader [1] - 71:9 cables [1] - 41:21 benefit [2] - 106:25, 102:25, 103:1, broadly [1] - 25:12 California [2] - 48:12, Barnegat [2] - 109:10, 107:1 59:5 109:11 108:24 Brooklyn [3] - 47:9, benefits [1] - 51:2 boating [1] - 84:20 72:8, 106:24 cameras [1] - 42:4 barred [1] - 20:7 barrier [1] - 107:13 BENNEKAMPER [1] boats [1] - 102:9 brought [2] - 91:2, campaign [2] - 26:18, base [4] - 83:7, 83:8, 88:17 **Bob** [3] - 88:14, 89:1, 100:9 109:21 86:9, 86:10 Benny [1] - 87:2 89:3 Bruce [4] - 103:17, campaigning [1] baseball [1] - 71:19 Bergen [1] - 49:2 bodies [2] - 47:5, 104:3, 104:5 95:3 based [7] - 11:7, 13:5, best [3] - 35:4, 82:21, 113:7 **BTU** [2] - 81:18, 91:13 Cancer [1] - 48:7 57:2, 83:12, 94:2, 100:22 **boilers** [3] - 60:12, BTUs [2] - 81:15, cancer [3] - 51:5, 60:16, 60:17 100:13, 112:10 bet [1] - 96:3 87:20 63:22, 113:1 **boldface** [1] - 81:14 Based [1] - 45:4 betrayed [1] - 75:17 **build** [10] - 21:5, 21:7, cannot [19] - 20:8, bomb [2] - 73:17, 22:8. 24:4. 36:16. 30:22, 32:19, 33:17, Basin [1] - 93:8 better [7] - 24:9, 25:1, 58:21, 64:5, 102:9, 80:11 40:3. 51:11. 69:12. 49:4, 50:22, 50:23, basins [1] - 38:11 bombers [1] - 103:2 83:6, 111:7 basket [1] - 33:22 110:12. 112:1 51:5, 51:6, 60:14, builders [2] - 108:3 75:8, 83:1, 83:3, **bond** [1] - 11:9 bate [1] - 109:25 between [4] - 10:2, 83:8, 100:24, Building [2] - 52:17, 33:25, 80:6, 107:13 Bonnie [4] - 90:20, Bate [1] - 109:25 106:17, 111:15 80:8 bats [1] - 71:19 Beyond [1] - 90:20 92:2, 92:3, 92:4 capable [1] - 34:1 building [6] - 58:7, beyond [2] - 25:15, **book** [1] - 112:3 **battling** [1] - 113:2 69:16, 70:25, 89:23, capacity [3] - 28:15, 88:22 **books** [1] - 69:6 Bay [8] - 83:17, 83:18, 38:3, 38:22 bias [1] - 82:20 bordering [1] - 66:25 95:22 83:24, 84:8, 104:6, Cape [1] - 85:8 104:7, 109:10, bifurcated [1] - 89:24 boring [1] - 10:3 built [12] - 15:4, 22:23, 23:5, 25:21, 30:25, capital [1] - 37:22 109:12 big [10] - 9:22, 25:20, born [1] - 96:21 43:20, 84:13, 84:18, captain [1] - 82:8 46:6, 47:4, 69:7, boss [3] - 8:25, 9:20, Bayshore [1] - 95:21 91:21, 100:12, captains [1] - 108:4 70:16, 88:6, 104:14, 10:9 beach [10] - 47:12, 110:2, 110:6 110:2, 111:7 capturing [1] - 60:24 58:8, 58:10, 76:18, Boston [3] - 82:9, bunch [1] - 85:3 car [1] - 33:5 bigger [2] - 74:25, 93:23, 96:12, 97:6, 82:14, 82:16 buoys [1] - 4:11 carbon [3] - 58:15, 106:18 bothersome [1] -97:8, 97:9, 97:12 bight [4] - 42:7, 44:2, **burden** [1] - 54:13 58:17, 62:9 Beach [5] - 14:2, 72:9, 75:18 Bureau [1] - 52:7 98:15, 107:14, 108:8 52:19, 94:10 **bottom** [2] - 56:5, carcinogenic [1] -**BURKE** [2] - 87:4, beaches [7] - 20:2, **Bight** [2] - 81:3, 105:8 103:6 113:3 49:5, 65:15, 85:8, bill [2] - 85:24, 100:17 Bottom [1] - 53:10 88:13 carcinogens [1] -85:9, 88:2, 96:15 billion [3] - 20:1, bought [1] - 33:5 **Burke** [4] - 84:24, 38:16 bearing [1] - 12:17 79:11, 79:13 **BP** [1] - 64:10 87:3, 87:4, 87:5 card [1] - 15:19 burn [1] - 60:17 care [8] - 35:17, 36:1, beautiful [1] - 75:13 **billions** [1] - 74:22 Branch [3] - 19:2, burning [2] - 59:18, 36:4, 48:20, 48:22, beauty [1] - 97:13 **bills** [1] - 100:16 21:9, 21:15 95:14 92:7, 114:9 became [1] - 97:23 biologists [1] - 13:9 breach [2] - 53:13, **burns** [1] - 95:9 biology [2] - 14:16, cargo [2] - 53:14, Becker [1] - 111:21 53:20 burying [1] - 17:10 102:19 94:17 bread [1] - 76:16 become [4] - 22:6, caring [2] - 70:11, busiest [1] - 41:18 22:16, 55:15, 97:18 biomass [1] - 105:18 breakfast [1] - 108:21 business [10] - 33:9, 70:18 becomes [1] - 15:20 **bird** [1] - 65:9 breathe [1] - 50:23 carrier [1] - 74:25 33:13, 33:14, 66:22, becoming [2] - 87:21, **birds** [1] - 65:16 Bridge [1] - 33:20 76:10, 82:8, 82:13, cars [2] - 33:19, 85:17 bit [7] - 35:4, 43:2, 91:22 bridge [4] - 51:8, 85:4, 93:22, 107:25 47:19, 47:20, 51:17, case [8] - 4:19, 9:22, bed [1] - 107:17 99:21. 99:22. 110:10 **Business** [1] - 91:9 11:24, 12:3, 24:7, **BEENY** [2] - 68:2, 70:4 51:18, 66:18 brief [3] - 6:10, 94:3, Beeny [1] - 66:19 Blake [4] - 104:4, 103:19 businesses [7] -34:3, 62:7, 106:19 105:20, 105:21 79:10, 94:7, 97:7, cases [1] - 50:16 Brielle [1] - 93:19 bees [1] - 69:23 97:10, 108:18, Castel [1] - 112:18 blast [1] - 80:10 Bright [2] - 70:21, beginning [2] - 92:17, 109:13, 111:10 bleak [1] - 85:12 CASTELON [1] -70:22 97:1 bust [1] - 37:10 112:20 bless [1] - 93:22 **brilliant** [1] - 70:15 behalf [7] - 36:22, busy [2] - 80:22, 84:3 Castelon [1] - 112:21 39:6, 63:20, 72:7, blew [2] - 72:17, 80:11 bring [8] - 28:17, butter [1] - 76:16 catalyst [1] - 71:8 76:12, 93:21, 94:19 blight [1] - 56:1 31:23, 54:13, 60:14, buy [1] - 112:3 catastrophic [1] behind [5] - 27:12, blows [1] - 80:8 71:19, 81:20, 97:21, bypassed [1] - 74:18 105:18 30:9, 56:13, 64:25, 111:9 blue [1] - 44:4 107:7 board [6] - 22:12, bringing [2] - 17:22, catechisms [1] - 69:14 C Catskill [1] - 8:25 **Belford** [1] - 79:3 78:25, 103:20, 25:3 caught [3] - 17:12, **belief** [1] - 49:3 103:23, 109:6, brings [4] - 35:16, 111:22 54:14, 75:4, 97:2 79:22, 80:1 bell [1] - 64:11 cable [2] - 76:19,

Britta [4] - 105:23,

Belmar [1] - 95:19

Board [1] - 79:1

Cayman [3] - 29:4, 74:15, 100:14 ceasing [1] - 101:9 Cecilia [3] - 112:18, 112:21 cell [1] - 17:1 celsius [1] - 62:22 center [1] - 102:18 Central [1] - 26:5 centralized [1] -111:17 cents [1] - 33:5 century [3] - 62:13, 99:22, 101:1 **CEO** [2] - 70:12, 91:16 certain [3] - 10:22, 97:18, 97:19 certainly [3] - 18:2, 85:9, 106:25 certainty [1] - 78:3 cetera [5] - 41:22, 51:6, 61:14 chaining [2] - 24:2, 24:3 **chair** [1] - 68:2 chairman [1] - 55:24 challenge [1] - 105:14 **challenges** [1] - 29:11 **champions** [1] - 29:12 chance [2] - 113:15, 113:16 change [21] - 9:12, 22:8, 26:15, 29:2, 29:3, 30:19, 38:19, 39:19, 39:24, 47:18, 57:14, 62:9, 62:18, 62:19, 63:2, 63:4, 67:7, 68:7, 73:10, 110:5 changed [3] - 22:16, 104:23 changes [1] - 7:19 changing [1] - 100:23 Chapter [6] - 94:20, 94:24, 95:2, 96:12, 103:21, 109:22 chapter [4] - 21:25, 95:16, 96:5, 103:22 Charles [2] - 105:22, 105:23 cheaper [2] - 60:25, 86:1 cheer [1] - 32:11 chemical [1] - 65:3 chemically [2] - 23:12, 96:24 chemicals [2] - 45:6, 113:6 chemists [1] - 13:9 Chesapeake [1] -

41:23 chess [1] - 87:14 chief [1] - 91:10 child's [1] - 51:7 children [5] - 26:21, 48:20, 67:18, 114:3, 114:9 China [2] - 69:17, 69:21 choice [3] - 58:5, 58:21 choose [1] - 30:20 **choosing** [1] - 30:14 chosen [1] - 28:3 Chris [2] - 65:24, 67:19 Chrisianson [1] - 93:8 Christie [12] - 19:4, 20:14, 30:1, 36:19, 39:15, 46:12, 54:23, 66:9, 67:19, 95:4, 99:6, 99:16 Christie's [4] - 20:11, 53:25, 55:5, 65:24 chunks [1] - 25:19 Cindy [10] - 21:20, 27:12, 27:21, 74:8, 85:1, 85:3, 89:7 Cindy's [1] - 93:3 Cinnaminson [1] -63:19 cited [2] - 58:3, 102:8 citizen [7] - 49:2, 49:3, 56:24, 60:11, 87:5, 92:5, 109:8 Citizens [2] - 8:25, 68:12 citizens [2] - 15:23, 40:19 City [6] - 71:2, 72:6, 80:13, 92:5, 102:18, 106:24 civil [1] - 59:4 claim [1] - 111:12 clarification [1] - 4:7 clean [31] - 19:17, 20:23, 23:17, 23:19, 24:6, 24:9, 26:22, 39:9, 47:7, 48:18, 49:6, 49:8, 49:13, 49:14, 63:12, 64:24, 65:1, 84:9, 91:3, 94:9, 95:17, 95:20, 95:21, 96:25, 101:3, 107:24, 108:6, 109:1, 109:3 Clean [13] - 27:22, 43:15, 52:3, 52:6,

54:20, 74:18, 76:23,

77:6, 77:18, 79:18,

88:3, 103:25, 109:22 **cleaner** [1] - 95:9 cleanness [1] - 81:24 clear [6] - 6:13, 9:14, 10:13, 37:15, 47:7, 62:7 clearly [3] - 31:21, 78:9, 111:25 Clearwater [1] - 72:6 climate [16] - 26:15, 38:19, 39:24, 47:18, 53:1, 62:1, 62:2, 62:9, 62:18, 62:19, 62:22, 63:2, 63:4, 73:1, 73:9, 106:18 clock [1] - 83:2 close [3] - 16:3, 84:2, 98:14 closed [2] - 20:2, 62:17 closer [3] - 35:15, 35:16, 110:19 closing [1] - 63:11 Club [2] - 21:25, 74:9 clubs [2] - 104:9, 104:10 Clubs [1] - 55:24 CNBC [1] - 100:8 co [1] - 49:25 co-founder [1] - 49:25 coal [4] - 69:19, 82:2, 111:22, 114:1 Coalition [1] - 72:6 coast [26] - 19:2, 19:7, 19:16, 19:23, 20:9, 20:12, 21:6, 21:8, 21:10, 21:15, 22:20, 22:21, 23:3, 24:5, 29:12, 36:18, 39:13, 40:5, 41:3, 41:15, 42:8, 46:15, 54:12, 68:6, 79:18, 112:7 Coast [43] - 6:11, 6:13, 6:19, 6:24, 7:2, 7:6, 8:24, 10:12, 12:23, 12:24, 13:12, 13:16, 13:18, 15:17, 15:22, 16:5, 27:2, 28:3, 29:10, 29:16, 40:18, 41:1, 46:1, 46:2, 46:14, 46:16, 46:18, 46:24, 54:2, 62:2, 64:10, 68:4, 71:16, 82:19, 82:20, 98:21, 102:24, 103:3, 103:6, 104:8, 105:12, 109:14 coastal [8] - 4:5, 11:21, 20:15, 41:12,

55:10, 63:1, 63:6,

94:22 coastline [1] - 21:13 coastlines [1] - 32:1 **COBRA** [1] - 85:18 cognizant [1] - 33:15 Cole [3] - 102:4, 102:21, 103:8 Cole-style [1] - 102:21 collapsed [1] - 23:9 colleagues [2] -20:22, 103:24 collection [1] - 111:17 college [2] - 112:23, 113:9 **colliding** [1] - 52:12 collocated [2] -111:18, 111:19 Colorado [1] - 48:12 Colts [1] - 66:24 combat [1] - 103:5 combination [1] -17:18 coming [16] - 21:1, 22:11, 27:20, 48:24, 57:25, 59:25, 63:16, 70:22, 80:21, 82:5, 83:14, 84:24, 96:8, 107:18, 110:23, 112:23 comment [16] - 5:20, 9:2, 16:4, 16:25, 36:15, 41:6, 42:15, 50:5, 71:17, 77:5, 77:17, 88:9, 92:14, 92:15, 100:19, 103:19 commented [1] -104:18 commenting [3] - 6:6, 10:8 comments [23] - 4:25, 5:13, 5:22, 5:23, 5:24, 6:3, 14:3, 14:4, 14:5, 14:11, 15:24, 16:2, 16:6, 16:9, 21:17, 32:22, 35:1, 103:25, 104:22, 106:6, 106:7, 106:12, 112:22 **commerce** [1] - 54:6 commercial [7] - 20:7, 40:12, 78:24, 79:12, 105:8, 105:17, 108:8 commercialization [1] - 81:2 Commission [2] -49:21, 91:1 commission [1] -49:22

commissioning [1] -

7:16 commissions [1] -49:23 **commitment** [1] - 66:2 Committee [1] - 106:5 common [2] - 103:13, 112:15 communities [20] -25:14, 25:25, 26:24, 37:7, 38:8, 38:12, 38:17, 41:3, 45:18, 50:16, 54:14, 63:6, 65:1, 84:10, 87:9, 88:1, 98:15, 98:18, 99:3, 99:25 community [3] -31:24, 66:12, 106:17 companies [6] -26:17, 29:3, 38:1, 65:3, 82:11, 100:13 company [9] - 24:25, 29:3, 29:6, 46:11, 46:23, 50:12, 51:17, 53:9, 79:15 compare [1] - 60:5 **compared** [1] - 73:2 compass [1] - 100:25 **compatible** [1] - 82:18 compete [1] - 100:22 complement [1] -112:8 complete [2] - 85:25, 106:10 completed [3] - 6:17, 14:12, 15:11 completely [3] -12:10, 63:11, 91:18 completion [1] - 16:7 compliance [1] - 7:22 comply [2] - 11:11, 11:19 component [2] - 4:11, 59:24 components [2] - 7:1, 109:4 **Compounds** [1] - 64:1 compressed [1] -75:13 compressing [1] -60:22 compressors [1] -100:11 compressure [1] -50:19 concept [2] - 33:20, 89:12 concepts [1] - 15:1 concern [4] - 9:3, 67:2, 67:7, 67:15 concerned [17] - 9:20,

21:13, 22:19, 23:4, 27:5, 29:9, 52:8, 56:3, 56:7, 56:16, 57:19, 65:10, 67:3, 67:8, 74:23, 87:5, 91:24 concerning [2] -13:25. 15:24 concerns [11] - 11:3, 16:2, 34:18, 38:21, 55:8, 56:23, 89:19, 90:6, 105:2, 105:11, 107:5 concluded [1] -114:23 concludes [2] -103:25, 114:18 conclusion [3] -30:19, 44:20, 54:7 conclusions [3] -31:12, 31:13 Condaleeza [1] -103:11 condition [8] - 4:6, 9:23, 30:22, 30:25, 31:9, 45:15, 55:16, 55:17 conditioning [1] -33:12 conditions [8] - 6:23, 10:23, 11:12, 12:4, 16:16, 30:16, 30:18, 31:1 conducted [1] - 13:14 conducting [1] - 7:7 confirmed [1] - 98:22 confiscate [2] - 42:2, 42:4 conflict [1] - 40:3 confusion [1] - 10:6 congratulate [1] -85:1 Congress [5] - 18:24, 20:22, 43:6, 47:10, 47:11 Congressional [2] -28:25, 30:23 Congressman [3] -18:21, 18:22, 89:6 connect [1] - 47:4 connected [1] - 49:22 **connecting** [4] - 48:8, 113:18, 113:22, 113:23 **connection** [1] - 78:11 connections [1] -111:9 ConocoPhillips [1] -91:11 consequences [2] -

36:24, 84:16 conservation [4] -43:4, 65:10, 94:22, 96:6 conservative [1] -62:14 consider [5] - 12:13, 14:17, 14:24, 36:24, 62:2 considerable [2] -28:1, 100:6 consideration [3] -5:22, 52:15, 53:5 considered [9] - 5:9, 6:8, 7:5, 9:15, 15:5, 16:6, 16:21, 43:23, 61:3 considering [2] -35:19, 67:19 consistent [3] - 4:6, 11:14, 35:13 consistently [1] -41:18 constantly [2] - 25:18, 73:7 constitute [1] - 9:12 construct [2] - 9:8, 64:6 constructed [1] -11:17 construction [8] -7:15. 14:18. 19:21. 22:6, 40:7, 40:11. 84:5, 105:7 consultant [3] - 5:19, 12:24, 13:6 consulted [1] - 11:23 consumer [1] - 81:12 **consumers** [1] - 81:13 consumption [1] -38:4 contain [2] - 6:2, 58:19 contained [1] - 82:1 containing [1] - 29:18 contains [1] - 6:1 contaminants [3] -63:24, 64:7, 70:1 contaminate [2] -38:14, 49:5 contaminated [3] -20:3, 65:5, 65:6 continual [1] - 67:11 continue [5] - 20:21, 28:9, 51:10, 60:16, 65:21 continues [5] - 26:14.

26:15, 26:16, 26:17,

continuing [2] - 63:9,

105:12

113:5 **contractor** [1] - 27:3 contracts [1] - 38:7 contribute [1] - 35:8 contributes [2] -79:10, 79:12 contribution [1] -26:19 contributor [1] - 62:9 control [1] - 102:17 conversion [3] -59:16, 78:12, 87:19 convert [2] - 59:7, 75:2 converted [1] - 43:21 **converting** [1] - 49:12 **Converting** [1] - 59:1 cooling [1] - 95:13 Coop [1] - 79:3 cooperation [1] -114:16 Cooperative [1] - 79:1 cope [1] - 84:14 copies [3] - 15:11, 15:13, 15:14 copy [2] - 15:20, 15:21 corporate [2] - 73:14, 75:25 corporation [5] -27:24, 29:5, 55:25, 88:3 corporations [1] -35:25 Corps [1] - 34:11 correct [3] - 18:19, 44:6, 82:7 cost [4] - 25:7, 85:22, 86:2, 102:20 Costal [1] - 64:2 costly [1] - 99:5 costs [5] - 51:3, 59:19, 59:22, 82:11, 83:6 Council [4] - 41:19, 55:24, 68:3, 102:6 counties [1] - 53:3 countries [7] - 20:21, 42:17, 48:4, 67:4, 67:6, 90:14, 95:2 country [18] - 19:11, 22:25, 23:18, 31:15, 41:18, 42:14, 48:7, 49:25, 51:22, 65:4, 67:7, 73:16, 73:18, 74:6, 74:20, 75:10, 75:21, 85:14 County [8] - 49:2, 58:2, 68:3, 85:6, 89:16, 107:11, 109:15, 109:16

couple [1] - 110:17

course [7] - 36:18, 42:17, 50:5, 82:14, 82:15, 90:10, 99:12 court [4] - 8:11, 17:21, 18:19, 68:1 courtesy [1] - 18:9 coverage [1] - 76:17 covering [2] - 13:8, 30:23 covers [1] - 76:17 crab [1] - 65:14 crafts [1] - 103:3 Craig [1] - 48:11 crane [1] - 108:3 cream [1] - 108:22 create [9] - 19:19, 19:21, 20:19, 21:14, 37:17, 40:6, 54:4, 59:19, 69:13 created [2] - 32:5, 36:6 creates [1] - 87:9 creating [1] - 53:23 creation [2] - 33:6, 76:21 creatures [5] - 68:13, 68:24, 75:23, 97:2, 97:4 crew [1] - 89:7 crisis [4] - 42:24, 62:1, 73:1, 106:18 critical [1] - 63:10 crossing [2] - 4:10, 33:19 crossroads [2] -23:18, 63:10 crowd [1] - 85:2 crowded [1] - 53:23 crustacean [1] - 56:6 **cry** [1] - 85:16 cryogenic [1] - 53:16 cultural [1] - 14:15 cumberstring [1] -40:7 cumulative [2] -14:20, 15:4 current [4] - 31:9, 36:21, 37:14, 44:6 Current [2] - 37:20, 38:2 Curry [3] - 83:14, 84:23, 85:4 **CURRY** [1] - 84:25 cut [2] - 42:25, 99:18

cuts [1] - 99:19

cycle [1] - 95:11

Cynthia [5] - 61:22,

63:15, 63:16, 63:18

#### D

daily [1] - 84:19 damage [4] - 53:16, 53:22, 64:8, 95:19 damaged [1] - 53:18 damaging [1] - 45:18 **Dan** [2] - 36:12, 36:13 Dana [4] - 63:16, 64:19, 64:20, 64:22 danger [4] - 23:13, 24:7, 84:21, 86:22 dangerous [6] - 53:11, 54:8, 62:17, 75:4, 80:14, 109:4 **Daniel** [5] - 64:19, 66:18, 66:19, 66:20, 66:21 data [7] - 31:7, 31:22, 44:19, 45:3, 45:4, 45:13, 89:18 date [2] - 5:15, 106:7 dates [1] - 16:11 daughter [4] - 34:11, 112:24, 113:16 daughter-in-law [1] -34:11 Dave [4] - 107:7, 109:18, 109:19 David [6] - 45:22, 46:25, 47:1, 109:20 Davinci [1] - 47:3 Davis [2] - 48:25, 49:20 **DAVIS** [1] - 49:19 Dawn [1] - 49:20 days [6] - 5:18, 41:6, 46:22, 69:8, 85:7, 85:16 **DC** [1] - 18:24 dead [2] - 30:4, 30:6 deaf [1] - 29:7 deal [4] - 23:22, 51:13, 77:23, 104:14 dealing [3] - 23:7, 80:7, 80:12 Dear [1] - 13:19 dearly [1] - 114:10 death [1] - 99:18 deaths [3] - 102:13, 102:14, 102:16 Debbie [5] - 82:5, 83:13, 83:14, 83:16, 89:10 decades [2] - 29:2, 63:24

December [1] - 39:17

48:17

decide [3] - 6:22, 12:6,

decided [1] - 92:17 decides [2] - 100:14, 110:12 deciding [1] - 21:10 decision [10] - 7:1, 10:21, 11:1, 11:6, 16:14, 16:21, 26:13, 83:11, 86:24 decisions [3] - 36:20, 83:7, 83:8 declarations [1] - 53:3 decline [1] - 59:22 decommission [1] -14:19 decommissioned [1] -11:10 dedicate [1] - 113:24 deep [3] - 9:7, 37:23, 69:8 deeply [1] - 113:2 **Deepwater** [8] - 4:1, 4:9, 4:18, 14:8, 20:4, 20:14, 30:17, 114:19 deepwater [20] - 6:7, 6:14, 6:20, 6:24, 10:11, 10:19, 11:13, 11:15, 11:19, 12:1, 13:1, 14:19, 14:24, 15:1, 16:19, 36:17, 37:15, 39:17, 57:2, 94:4 **defender** [1] - 29:12 **Defense** [1] - 101:24 defense [2] - 11:23, 102:21 definitely [1] - 112:2 **definition** [2] - 63:13, degrees [1] - 62:22 Delaware [4] - 23:8, 66:25, 67:1, 100:13 delegated [1] - 10:10 deliberate [1] - 27:1 demand [4] - 33:18, 54:2, 59:16, 99:8 demands [1] - 37:18 democracy [2] -26:17, 48:15 denied [1] - 55:10 densely [2] - 29:23, 54:5 deny [4] - 12:4, 88:25, 92:1, 103:13 Department [4] -37:25, 76:12, 101:24, 102:5 dependence [3] -19:19, 63:9, 99:6 dependent [1] -111:17

dependents [1] -113:3 Derek [4] - 32:25, 34:22, 34:23, 34:24 derivative [1] - 100:7 describe [3] - 4:25, 8:2. 8:20 **described** [1] - 16:16 description [1] - 5:2 design [1] - 7:15 designed [2] - 103:4, 103:5 desirable [1] - 102:9 desire [1] - 89:11 **Despite** [1] - 62:4 destroying [1] - 71:13 destruction [2] -49:14, 101:9 destructive [1] - 37:9 detailed [1] - 44:6 **Details** [1] - 14:6 details [2] - 59:6, 110:18 detect [1] - 102:24 determine [1] - 61:7 determined [1] -102:23 detonating [1] -102:19 devastate [1] - 84:18 devastated [1] - 50:16 devastation [1] -55:10 develop [5] - 7:9, 10:25, 82:24, 83:3, 96:5 developed [2] - 54:5, 59:6 developing [2] - 6:25, 49:8 development [14] -19:13, 19:16, 21:12, 23:22, 23:23, 32:1, 77:22, 78:4, 78:9, 84:22, 99:5, 113:4, 113:14, 114:4 developments [1] -43:5 diagram [1] - 111:22 dialog [2] - 18:2, 18:3 Diana [1] - 20:25 Diane [3] - 66:19, 67:24, 67:25 dictate [1] - 87:16 died [1] - 69:23 Diego [1] - 41:16 difference [1] - 47:22 differences [1] - 10:2 distribution [1] -

106:15, 110:17, 110:18 difficult [2] - 98:19, 102:22 difficulties [1] - 17:3 dig [1] - 74:21 diminishes [1] -111:25 Dimock [1] - 25:25 dines [1] - 65:14 dinner [1] - 108:21 dioxide [3] - 58:15, 58:17, 62:10 direct [3] - 39:22, 40:3, 72:18 direction [5] - 70:16, 70:19, 71:15, 95:6, 101:5 directly [3] - 6:6, 49:24, 84:7 director [6] - 21:25, 24:21, 27:22, 83:17, 109:9, 109:21 directors [1] - 78:25 **Directors** [1] - 79:2 dirtier [2] - 60:18, 82:3 dirty [5] - 35:15, 49:7, 69:19, 79:19, 82:2 disabled [1] - 53:18 disappointed [3] -40:17, 46:2, 46:5 disapprove [3] - 4:2, 6:22, 12:6 disaster [8] - 41:22, 42:6, 53:3, 64:10, 69:9, 94:4, 102:1, 103:8 disasters [2] - 53:4, 73:3 disbelief [1] - 112:10 discharged [1] - 45:12 discharges [1] - 45:10 discharging [1] -23:11 **discipline** [1] - 90:3 discuss [2] - 5:19, 6:9 disgrace [1] - 25:13 disingenuous [1] -54:21 dismiss [1] - 38:20 displacing [1] - 99:24 disrupting [1] - 54:6 disseminated [1] -77:3 distorted [1] - 69:20 distributed [2] -13:19, 13:20

111:18

Distrubution [1] - 64:1

different [7] - 4:8,

34:19, 37:25, 71:6,

disturbance [2] - 17:2, 89:21 disturbing [1] - 80:20 dive [1] - 56:22 divers [4] - 56:1, 56:3, 56:22, 57:19 divers' [1] - 56:20 dividing [1] - 100:21 Diving [1] - 55:24 diving [2] - 56:16, 57:20 Dixon [2] - 27:13, 30:9 **DIXON** [1] - 30:12 dizziness [1] - 50:20 dock [1] - 108:3 Docket [1] - 5:25 docket [2] - 14:6, 15:16 Docs [2] - 43:12, 45:21 **DOCS** [1] - 45:24 doctors [1] - 48:14 document [2] - 12:14, 57:1 documentation [1] -6:3 **DOE** [2] - 53:11, 53:19 Dogs [1] - 63:20 **Doll** [2] - 45:22, 46:25 **DOLL** [1] - 47:2 dollar [2] - 91:13, 101:1 dollars [10] - 20:1, 31:23, 50:23, 65:23, 79:11, 79:13, 81:12, 91:14, 97:11, 113:24 dolphins [1] - 65:18 domestic [6] - 7:22, 37:21, 59:23, 62:20, 64:13, 91:12 **Don** [5] - 34:23, 36:9, 36:10, 36:11 done [12] - 8:8, 15:18, 44:8, 46:14, 61:13, 61:16, 61:17, 69:7, 85:9, 92:11, 93:6 **DONOHUE** [2] - 60:10, 60:11 **Donohue** [3] - 57:25, 60:9, 60:10 door [2] - 29:22, 62:17 dots [5] - 47:4, 48:8, 113:18, 113:22, 113:23 doubt [1] - 52:10 dovetailed [1] - 89:25 down [8] - 8:12, 25:16, 40:6, 56:20, 66:13,

95:13, 96:12, 100:9

downloaded [1] -

81:10 downsides [1] - 60:19 Dr [1] - 59:3 draft [12] - 6:5, 14:4, 15:7, 15:8, 15:9, 15:11, 15:15, 15:20, 15:25, 16:4, 92:13, 110:8 drastically [1] - 20:7 dredging [4] - 76:18, 96:24, 98:8, 98:9 **Dredging** [1] - 86:13 drill [3] - 26:5, 37:22, 41:4 drilled [1] - 25:24 drilling [11] - 19:8, 23:8, 37:8, 37:19, 37:23, 38:6, 48:9, 68:11, 69:9, 91:15, 93:5 drink [2] - 48:10, 50:22 drinking [4] - 26:15, 26:22, 38:15, 47:7 Driscoll [1] - 33:20 drive [2] - 26:14, 107:19 driver [1] - 19:24 drivers [1] - 108:3 droughts [1] - 73:4 due [4] - 20:2, 75:2, 79:5, 106:7 **dumping** [1] - 19:9 **dumps** [1] - 38:19 during [5] - 19:21, 30:24, 45:10, 84:5 Ε

e-mails [1] - 96:16 eager [1] - 113:11 early [1] - 71:18 ears [1] - 29:7 earth [2] - 71:10 earthquake [1] - 52:24 earthquakes [1] -52:20 easier [1] - 60:25 easily [1] - 55:15 east [6] - 4:10, 40:5, 41:15, 42:8, 42:19, 54:12 eastern [1] - 34:5 eastward [1] - 23:6 easy [3] - 18:6, 22:7, 73:15 eat [1] - 50:22 echo [1] - 51:15 ecologically [1] -

65:21 economic [8] - 20:1, 28:12, 37:9, 51:2, 51:9, 59:6, 65:23, 84:19 economically [5] -22:4, 83:23, 90:1, 91:20, 111:2 economics [9] - 25:4, 25:13, 27:8, 27:9, 62:5, 66:22, 87:11, 87:14, 87:16 economies [3] - 20:6, 32:2, 101:3 economist [1] - 91:10 economy [13] - 19:24, 30:2, 48:6, 55:1, 79:11, 79:13, 86:3, 94:7, 99:3, 100:9, 107:24, 113:14 ecoside [1] - 71:12 ecosystem [1] - 84:21 ecosystems [1] - 37:4 **Edison** [11] - 28:5, 46:7, 64:22, 65:6, 66:11, 88:8, 89:5, 89:9, 90:7, 98:17, 114:20 Edith [6] - 70:8, 71:25, 72:1, 72:3, 72:4, 74:8 education [1] - 94:23 effect [3] - 43:24, 52:14, 55:5 effects [2] - 11:23, 14:18 efficiency [1] - 43:4 efficient [3] - 17:17, 59:17, 67:13 effort [2] - 21:18, 37:17 efforts [2] - 39:25, 55:11 eggs [1] - 65:14 egregious [1] - 84:12 eight [2] - 25:11, 53:3 EIS [31] - 4:22, 4:25, 6:5, 13:1, 13:2, 13:5, 13:12, 13:13, 14:4, 14:12, 14:23, 15:7, 15:8, 15:9, 15:11, 15:15, 15:20, 15:25, 16:4, 16:5, 16:7, 16:8, 16:10, 16:13, 23:14, 27:4, 40:16, 92:10, 92:13, 92:14, 110:8 **EISs** [1] - 13:6 either [2] - 12:5, 72:18 elderly [1] - 74:6

elected [5] - 13:21, 18:9, 18:10, 19:5, 108:15 electric [2] - 59:17, 108:12 electricity [5] - 49:9, 59:13, 111:24, 112:1 **elements** [1] - 6:8 eloquent [1] - 30:13 eloquently [3] - 72:12, 74:12, 91:7 elsewhere [1] - 90:13 Emergency [1] - 62:15 emergency [6] -72:14, 72:16, 72:19, 72:21, 72:23, 90:5 **Emily** [3] - 96:9, 98:3, 98:5 emission [3] - 45:3, 58:16, 58:18 **emissions** [3] - 40:2, 45:2, 58:19 emitted [1] - 45:8 emotionalism [2] -83:5, 113:20 emotions [1] - 112:22 emphasize [2] - 5:11, 6:15 Empire [2] - 52:17, 80:8 employ [3] - 108:7, 108:22, 108:25 employed [1] - 89:15 **employees** [1] - 97:21 Empry [1] - 101:16 enacted [1] - 74:19 encouraging [2] -19:12. 66:1 End [2] - 66:8, 110:21 end [8] - 10:19, 11:10, 19:8, 24:23, 51:14, 62:13, 85:25, 114:14 endangered [9] -14:14, 31:20, 43:24, 44:1, 44:7, 44:11, 65:19, 80:15, 80:19 endangering [1] -38:17 endangers [1] - 96:23 ends [1] - 85:19 endure [1] - 78:8 enemy [1] - 71:21 energies [2] - 43:6, 112:9 energy [67] - 11:14, 19:12, 19:13, 19:16, 19:18, 20:18, 20:19,

20:23, 23:17, 23:19,

33:16, 33:17, 33:18,

24:6, 24:9, 33:13,

33:21, 34:2, 34:3, 35:8, 35:15, 35:25, 36:7, 37:4, 40:1, 42:23, 43:18, 45:9, 47:24, 49:6, 49:8, 49:10, 49:13, 49:14, 53:6, 58:5, 58:9, 58:22. 59:7. 59:10. 59:14, 59:18, 59:20, 62:16, 63:12, 66:1, 66:2, 66:4, 66:7, 67:13, 69:20, 70:5, 73:12, 81:25, 83:1, 83:2, 83:4, 88:22, 101:3, 111:15, 111:16, 111:23, 111:25, 112:5, 112:7, 113:14 Energy [7] - 9:1, 37:25, 50:1, 52:7, 58:24, 59:2, 102:6 enforce [1] - 12:10 enforceable [1] -12:10 enforcement [1] -82:12 engineer [1] - 59:4 Engineers [2] - 34:12, 76:11 **engineers** [1] - 13:10 England [2] - 28:20, 75:10 enjoy [2] - 96:14, 96:20 enjoyment [1] - 75:16 ensure [3] - 7:4, 52:12, 84:8 ensuring [1] - 7:21 enter [1] - 16:2 entering [1] - 40:10 entire [1] - 85:24 entirety [4] - 9:5, 9:14, 27:6, 27:10 entitled [1] - 63:25 entrance [2] - 15:19, 84:3 environment [18] -5:3, 5:4, 7:20, 11:19, 22:6, 23:15, 24:4, 30:2, 31:25, 34:10, 38:12, 45:14, 59:11, 87:9, 94:6, 94:22, 107:1, 113:8 environmental [36] -4:4, 4:19, 5:1, 5:10, 5:18, 6:16, 6:25, 10:18, 11:15, 11:20, 12:13, 12:24, 13:5, 13:8, 13:11, 13:17, 14:11, 14:18, 16:20,

41:5, 43:18, 49:22, 49:23, 59:4, 65:1, 66:22, 72:5, 77:14, 82:12, 82:14, 83:5, 90:4, 94:17, 94:21, 95:15, 113:19 Environmental [10] -4:19, 4:21, 12:25, 13:14, 39:20, 49:21, 91:1, 106:4, 106:5, 109:22 environmentalist [1] -78:6 environmentally [4] -22:4, 33:24, 64:16, 82:18 equal [1] - 5:22 equation [1] - 83:6 equipment [2] - 7:8, 7:19 equivalent [2] - 38:3, 59:23 erected [1] - 50:19 Ernest [4] - 43:12, 45:21, 45:22, 45:23 error [2] - 5:15, 93:7 escaped [1] - 75:9 especially [4] - 35:23, 52:15, 68:22, 83:10 **essence** [1] - 71:13 essential [3] - 37:16, 44:20.80:14 essentially [4] - 8:14, 25:4, 66:8, 96:3 et [5] - 41:21, 41:22, 51:6, 61:14 **Europe** [6] - 25:3, 25:9, 37:24, 48:5, 69:17, 87:23 **evacuation** [1] - 98:23 evade [2] - 102:24, 103:3 evaluate [3] - 40:16, 40:20, 40:25 evaluated [2] - 44:16, 111:15 evaluation [3] - 5:3, 13:10, 24:24 evening [11] - 8:15, 12:22, 18:23, 18:25, 27:15, 55:23, 61:23, 64:21, 76:13, 98:5, 107:9 event [2] - 84:16, 105:18 events [1] - 62:25 eventually [1] - 95:14 evicted [1] - 26:3 evidence [1] - 50:16 evolutionary [1] - 71:8

exact [5] - 54:23, 55:7, 55:8, 80:4, 100:8 exactly [2] - 19:17, 58:10 Examining [1] - 58:25 example [1] - 35:23 exceed [1] - 45:1 excellent [1] - 82:19 except [1] - 80:4 excess [1] - 100:1 excited [1] - 113:12 excluded [1] - 59:10 **exclusion** [1] - 40:8 **exclusions** [1] - 105:7 excuse [2] - 54:17, 112:17 executive [5] - 27:22, 83:17, 103:20, 103:22, 109:9 exempt [1] - 88:3 exercise [1] - 95:4 existence [1] - 75:16 existing [3] - 32:8, 57:1, 99:24 exists [1] - 62:6 **expanded** [1] - 106:19 expansion [1] -106:15 expect [2] - 36:21, 61:10 expected [3] - 28:14, 38:9, 53:1 **expensive** [1] - 53:15 experience [6] -53:13, 60:11, 61:11, 82:16, 96:3, 112:25 experienced [2] -13:6, 52:21 experiencing [1] -50:20 experts [2] - 15:25, 102:23 explain [2] - 13:13, 16:25 explanation [1] - 16:6 exploded [1] - 53:7 **exploding** [1] - 24:8 exploit [1] - 68:21 exploitation [1] -94:11 **exploiting** [1] - 68:6 explosion [4] - 46:6, 46:7, 90:8, 105:5 **explosive** [1] - 102:7 explosives [1] - 103:2 export [35] - 9:4, 9:11, 9:15, 10:1, 10:3, 22:7, 22:16, 25:17, 29:1, 30:15, 31:12, 38:2, 38:3, 38:7,

foreclosure [1] - 85:17

38:22, 38:23, 39:19, 43:17, 43:21, 55:12, 55:15, 57:12, 64:14, 77:11, 87:17, 87:19, 87:21, 88:24, 89:24, 91:23, 97:18, 104:14, 104:16, 104:17. 105:13 export/import [1] -99:17 exportation [2] - 77:5, 77:12 exporting [11] - 20:20, 29:18, 42:18, 57:3, 57:7, 62:6, 67:4, 67:6, 77:8, 91:17, 104:25 exports [11] - 9:8, 28:18, 28:24, 29:6, 29:8, 37:6, 37:16, 45:11, 55:19, 91:12 Exports [1] - 37:7 expose [1] - 99:3 extend [1] - 106:23 extended [3] - 5:18, 9:2. 84:17 **extension** [1] - 41:5 extent [1] - 5:1 extra [1] - 24:18 extract [1] - 26:4 **extraction** [1] - 95:12 extreme [2] - 50:4, 62:25 **extremely** [4] - 11:2, 40:17, 53:23, 54:8 extricate [1] - 42:19 **Exxon** [2] - 79:7, 91:16 eyes [2] - 50:6, 73:5

## F

F-r-a-n-c-i-s [1] - 82:7 face [5] - 41:14, 42:5, 50:7, 87:14, 87:16 faced [1] - 86:3 faces [1] - 90:8 facet [1] - 82:22 facilities [8] - 10:16, 20:12, 21:10, 55:13, 57:6, 60:12, 60:21, 84:13 facility [43] - 9:9, 9:12, 10:3, 10:8, 11:4, 11:6, 11:9, 22:7, 22:13, 22:16, 22:19, 23:1, 23:2, 24:25, 28:11, 29:1, 29:7, 36:17, 37:6, 37:12,

99:18. 100:10 facility's [1] - 39:23 fact [19] - 12:18, 29:14, 33:15, 51:16, 62:4, 68:19, 75:17, 76:25, 77:2, 77:9, 77:13, 78:4, 82:13, 83:7, 98:6, 98:9, 99:22, 100:19 facts [11] - 43:17, 43:25, 44:11, 45:11, 45:17, 77:1, 77:6, 83:12, 86:16, 86:23 fail [2] - 27:8, 99:2 failures [1] - 90:5 fair [8] - 17:4, 28:7, 40:20, 57:4, 57:6, 61:19, 65:2 fall [2] - 8:7, 29:7 families [5] - 51:11, 108:7, 108:10, 109:3, 109:12 family [11] - 50:3, 51:21, 66:23, 67:17, 79:17, 85:7, 85:19, 93:21, 108:4, 108:21 family's [1] - 67:2 fancy [1] - 110:2 far [8] - 27:5, 57:8, 74:23, 80:13, 90:25, 96:25, 98:17, 102:19 Farm [1] - 58:3 farm [5] - 40:3, 58:21, 66:24, 74:21 farming [2] - 26:8, 67:1 farms [3] - 26:23, 38:18, 78:11 fast [3] - 56:21, 78:16, 103:1 faster [1] - 73:21 father [2] - 79:16 fault [2] - 52:19, 93:9 favor [2] - 71:18, 110:20 **favorable** [1] - 11:6 favoring [1] - 55:12 **fax** [1] - 14:6 fear [3] - 76:4, 94:2, 112:10 fearfully [1] - 76:5 fears [1] - 83:9 Feasibility [1] - 59:1

39:18, 43:20, 43:21,

45:8, 52:14, 55:15,

64:6, 64:11, 64:18,

65:7, 82:17, 87:13,

87:18, 88:4, 89:23,

93:24, 95:5, 95:22,

97:1, 97:17, 99:17,

feathered [1] - 97:4 fed [1] - 113:3 Federal [4] - 4:23, 5:16, 40:22, 77:10 federal [12] - 5:7, 6:2, 7:3, 10:15, 13:21, 13:24, 15:9, 15:11, 16:12, 28:2, 28:14, 56:19 Federation [1] -109:22 feed [2] - 108:7, 109:3 feedback [1] - 24:17 **FEEHAN** [1] - 12:22 Feehan [2] - 5:19, 12:23 feet [1] - 62:13 **fell** [1] - 20:6 fellow [1] - 113:21 fellows [1] - 88:18 felt [1] - 20:6 few [9] - 5:20, 35:25, 44:14, 46:8, 53:2, 69:8, 74:13, 79:20, 106:25 fields [2] - 111:6, 111:13 Fifth [1] - 53:19 fight [2] - 36:2, 75:11 filled [1] - 23:25 fin [2] - 44:3, 80:17 final [7] - 6:5, 12:17, 16:5, 16:7, 16:10, 16:21, 92:14 finalized [1] - 16:13 Finally [1] - 31:17 finally [2] - 12:13, 95:25 finance [1] - 87:7 financed [1] - 100:7 Financial [1] - 81:16 financial [4] - 28:11, 50:10, 50:12, 67:9 financially [1] - 11:7 financing [2] - 100:4, 100:5 finished [1] - 17:9 finite [2] - 68:19 finned [1] - 97:4 fire [3] - 53:23, 54:11, 102:10 Fire [1] - 44:4 firey [1] - 53:23 firm [1] - 13:6 first [13] - 4:12, 17:1, 18:9, 33:4, 33:6, 54:3, 54:13, 58:16, 59:3, 63:17, 106:8, 111:17 First [6] - 30:12,

37:14, 52:6, 68:4, 77:4, 84:25 firsthand [1] - 95:18 fish [7] - 26:22, 31:21, 40:14, 44:22, 56:5, 79:18, 80:14 fished [2] - 79:19, 79:21 fisheries [2] - 14:13, 22:22 fisherman [4] - 20:7, 78:24, 94:18, 108:8 fishermen [7] - 41:25, 42:5, 79:9, 79:12, 79:17, 79:23, 97:7 Fishery [1] - 79:25 fishing [7] - 20:8, 40:13, 80:5, 84:20, 89:20, 104:9, 105:17 **fits** [2] - 11:22, 35:12 five [6] - 29:19, 62:19, 65:17, 80:10, 104:12, 104:13 fix [1] - 112:19 flabbergasted [1] -67:14 flags [1] - 56:20 flare [2] - 60:23, 60:25 flight [1] - 65:12 flip [2] - 75:1, 104:15 flipping [1] - 67:8 flooded [1] - 63:2 flooding [1] - 62:11 **floods** [1] - 73:3 floor [1] - 96:25 flounder [1] - 79:23 fluid [1] - 23:10 flurry [1] - 38:1 flyaway [2] - 65:8, 65:9 flyer [1] - 82:24 focus [2] - 51:16, 69:24 focusing [3] - 19:10, 69:15, 69:16 folks [5] - 51:15, 62:7, 71:7, 97:12, 105:14 follow [3] - 31:17, 69:6, 71:5 followed [1] - 87:18 following [3] - 14:12, 21:4, 69:4 Food [3] - 24:21, 36:14, 36:22 food [5] - 26:9, 26:24, 38:18, 62:24, 75:7 foot [1] - 85:24 forbid [1] - 9:25 forced [1] - 98:23

forces [1] - 37:13

Foreign [1] - 102:6 foreign [4] - 19:19, 27:24, 66:5, 88:3 foreign-affiliated [1] -27:24 foreign-owned [1] -88:3 foremost [2] - 85:1, 106:14 foreseeable [1] -14:20 forever [2] - 31:4, 62:17 forgotten [2] - 102:3, 102:4 **form** [2] - 33:16, 62:9 formal [2] - 15:24, 18:4 **formalities** [1] - 106:9 formally [1] - 55:3 former [1] - 78:17 forming [1] - 45:6 FORSBERG [1] -107:9 Forsberg [1] - 107:10 forth [1] - 79:8 **fortifying** [1] - 88:20 fortitude [1] - 43:8 forward [14] - 12:7, 20:11, 20:17, 26:19, 28:2, 39:14, 51:13, 57:25, 63:16, 68:7, 82:5, 83:14, 84:24, 96:9 forward-looking [1] -20:17 fossil [24] - 19:14, 20:20, 23:20, 24:3, 33:23, 39:25, 49:4, 49:7, 59:18, 62:4, 62:16, 63:8, 63:9, 63:11, 67:12, 69:12, 69:20, 73:8, 82:22, 94:10, 95:7, 95:10, 99:5, 113:4 Fossil [1] - 106:18 fought [2] - 19:7, 75:11 Foundation [4] -94:19, 94:20, 96:11, 96:18 founder [1] - 49:25 four [5] - 4:11, 53:8, 97:4, 110:3, 110:19 Fourth [1] - 53:11 **Fox** [2] - 91:9, 110:8 frack [6] - 25:8, 37:22, 70:1, 111:4, 111:5,

112:2

fracked [1] - 100:2 Fracking [1] - 111:13 fracking [23] - 25:2, 25:6, 25:24, 26:4, 37:8, 37:19, 37:24, 38:7, 38:9, 38:13, 48:9, 53:6, 64:16, 77:16, 86:5, 86:7, 86:9, 86:11, 87:22, 95:12, 99:23, 106:16, 111:6 fracture [1] - 37:8 fracturing [2] - 53:16, 91:18 frame [1] - 100:1 Francis [2] - 81:7, 82:6 FRANCIS [1] - 82:7 Frank [1] - 18:22 frankly [6] - 22:25, 23:18, 24:9, 50:11, 50:22, 75:24 Freehold [1] - 101:23 freezing [1] - 24:8 frenzy [1] - 38:6 frequent [1] - 97:10 Friday [1] - 70:24 Friends [1] - 72:6 front [9] - 8:22, 26:12, 27:7, 29:22, 56:13, 56:23, 70:20, 71:16, 71:18 **FTA** [1] - 57:8 fuel [18] - 33:11, 34:5, 43:4, 49:4, 51:8, 57:12, 59:19, 62:4, 63:8, 69:20, 70:1, 94:10, 95:7, 95:12, 99:5, 99:21, 106:18, 113:4 fuels [18] - 19:14, 20:21, 23:20, 24:3, 33:22, 33:23, 39:25, 49:8, 59:18, 60:18, 62:16, 63:9, 63:12, 67:12, 69:12, 73:8, 82:22, 95:10 fulfill [1] - 38:7 fulfilling [1] - 7:1 full [3] - 41:24, 79:14, 87:7 full-time [2] - 79:14, 87:7 fully [2] - 36:24, 59:5 fundamental [1] -13:16 funny [1] - 47:23 future [41] - 23:17, 24:6, 26:12, 26:13, 26:14, 26:21, 31:3,

34:17, 37:4, 40:16, 49:13, 50:8, 51:8, 51:21, 52:25, 53:5, 58:5, 58:22, 62:11, 67:8, 67:18, 67:21, 67:22, 69:11, 69:16, 74:11, 75:5, 75:6, 83:8, 86:10, 96:20, 99:8, 99:21, 113:10, 113:15, 113:25, 114:1, 114:2, 114:3

#### G

gag [1] - 48:13 gallon [2] - 33:4, 42:25 gallons [3] - 23:10, 23:12, 45:11 game [4] - 17:10, 104:16, 104:17, 110:10 **Gap** [1] - 23:8 garden [1] - 75:15 Garden [3] - 63:21, 79:2, 79:8 gas [104] - 9:10, 9:11, 9:15, 9:25, 19:1, 19:8, 19:19, 21:6, 22:18, 22:25, 25:3, 25:5, 25:6, 25:10, 25:11, 26:5, 28:17, 29:5, 33:4, 33:8, 33:9, 33:12, 33:22, 34:13, 35:6, 36:17, 37:10, 37:17, 37:18, 37:19, 37:20, 37:22, 37:24. 38:2. 39:12. 40:2, 42:24, 42:25, 43:16, 43:17, 45:12, 46:3, 46:6, 46:7, 50:17, 51:8, 53:8, 53:11, 58:6, 58:11, 58:12, 58:14, 58:18, 60:13, 60:15, 60:17, 60:22, 60:23, 62:6, 62:8, 62:10, 64:12, 64:13, 64:14, 64:15, 71:1, 75:2, 75:3, 75:6, 75:19, 77:5, 77:8, 77:12, 81:14, 81:17, 81:20, 81:24, 81:25, 82:1, 82:2, 86:1, 87:11, 87:20, 87:23, 90:8, 91:12, 91:14, 93:4, 95:7, 98:22, 98:23, 99:20, 100:2, 100:5, 100:20, 101:25,

110:9, 111:3, 111:9, 112:2

**Gas** [9] - 19:1, 20:16, 27:23, 39:14, 52:5, 54:8, 59:9, 81:11, 85:23

Gas' [2] - 36:16, 39:12 gases [2] - 41:4, 45:7 gashing [1] - 98:24 Gateway [1] - 47:10 geez [1] - 80:9 general [2] - 29:15,

105:16 **General** [1] - 55:5 **generated** [1] - 59:14

**generates** [2] - 19:25, 65:22

generating [1] - 112:1 generation [2] - 51:10, 114:6

**Generation** [1] - 111:18

generations [3] -49:13, 74:11, 114:7

**gentleman** [2] - 68:11, 105:2

**gentlemen** [4] - 17:25, 98:7, 98:10, 105:2 **geographic** [1] - 76:17 **geologists** [1] - 13:9

geology [1] - 14:13 geoside [1] - 71:13 geothermal [4] -

geotnermai [4] -35:21, 35:23, 59:15, 69:25

Germany [1] - 48:4 giant [1] - 91:21 gift [1] - 108:22

**Gimber** [1] - 110:24 **Girt** [1] - 93:22

**given** [9] - 5:22, 17:6, 20:11, 22:25, 37:22, 44:17, 52:15,

103:24, 106:21 **glad** [1] - 90:19

**Glenn** [2] - 54:17, 55:23

**glimpse** [1] - 63:5 **global** [7] - 39:24,

58:20, 61:25, 62:12, 69:14, 100:9, 106:17

**globally** [2] - 50:2, 71:9

**goal** [3] - 25:15, 26:1, 35:8

**goals** [3] - 11:15, 35:13, 39:11

God [2] - 48:18, 93:22

Godforsaken [1] - 74:18

goods [1] - 95:9 Google [1] - 111:6 government [7] - 44:5, 62:10, 75:17, 80:24, 82:21, 91:17, 101:6 Government [5] -44:15, 44:18, 45:2, 45:13, 77:10 government's [1] -

**Governor** [15] - 19:4, 20:11, 20:14, 30:1, 36:19, 39:15, 46:12, 53:24, 54:23, 55:3, 55:5, 66:9, 95:4, 99:6, 99:16

28:14

**governor** [5] - 12:2, 20:14, 91:25, 96:16, 110:10

**governors** [9] - 11:24, 11:25, 12:3, 12:5, 92:17, 92:18, 92:20, 92:23, 93:11

**graduate** [2] - 112:24, 113:10

grandchildren [1] -96:21

granted [1] - 15:3 grass [1] - 61:25 grave [1] - 84:21 greasing [1] - 80:22 great [11] - 57:18, 70:9, 70:10, 70:11, 70:21, 75:10, 77:23, 85:10, 86:24, 89:8,

91:8 **greater** [3] - 59:10, 86:15, 105:16 **greatest** [1] - 62:20

greatest [1] - 62:20 green [3] - 58:9, 101:18, 101:20

**Greenberg** [1] - 74:8 **greenhouse** [2] - 40:2, 45:7

gross [1] - 59:23 grounds [4] - 26:11, 79:19, 79:21, 80:6 groundwater [2] -63:23, 64:3

**group** [2] - 68:12, 109:9 **groups** [2] - 15:12,

72:5

grow [1] - 101:4 growing [1] - 112:25 grows [2] - 95:15

**guarantee** [1] - 11:9 **Guard** [37] - 6:13, 6:19, 6:24, 7:2, 7:6,

8:24, 10:12, 12:25,

13:12, 13:18, 15:17, 15:22, 16:5, 27:2, 28:3, 29:10, 29:16, 40:18, 41:1, 46:1, 46:2, 46:14, 46:16, 46:18, 46:24, 54:2, 62:2, 68:5, 71:17, 82:19, 82:20, 98:21, 102:24, 103:3, 103:4, 103:6, 109:14 Guard's [2] - 6:11, 13:16 Guards' [1] - 12:24

guess [2] - 101:20, 107:6

107:6
guide [1] - 71:22
Guild [1] - 106:6
Gulf [7] - 20:5, 42:9,
42:10, 53:9, 64:10,
90:12, 98:22
gulf [1] - 20:8
guts [1] - 43:7
guys [11] - 42:14,

**guys** [11] - 42:14, 43:7, 48:8, 48:16, 48:17, 85:16, 85:21, 92:12, 96:13, 97:15, 110:6

# Н

Habit [1] - 43:1 habitat [2] - 45:15, 80:14 haggling [1] - 105:9 half [3] - 64:14, 107:25, 108:1 Halkes [2] - 94:14, 96:11 HALKES [1] - 96:10 hallway [1] - 15:19 Hamptons [1] - 47:16 hand [3] - 16:23, 58:6, 69.22 handed [1] - 82:25 handout [2] - 14:8, 16:17 hands [2] - 41:24, 109:24 handsome [1] - 85:2 happy [5] - 8:18, 10:11, 12:21, 28:2, 90:24 Harbor [2] - 82:9, 82:10 harbor [7] - 83:19, 83:21, 84:2, 84:4, 84:7, 84:15, 102:25 hard [4] - 43:2, 57:9,

57:10, 66:18

hardest [1] - 20:6 hardly [2] - 46:20, 46:22 harm [5] - 69:2, 69:3, 85:9, 86:18, 113:7 harmful [2] - 83:20, 86:21 hate [1] - 76:4 haul [1] - 32:5 hazardous [1] - 45:7 **Hazardous** [1] - 38:15 hazards [2] - 7:9, 57:21 head [1] - 17:11 heading [2] - 14:8, 23:6 health [10] - 26:16, 38:12, 51:7, 59:11, 67:21, 70:1, 79:5, 84:8, 97:2, 97:25 healthcare [1] - 85:18 healthy [1] - 84:9 hear [8] - 12:19, 34:15, 43:11, 50:7, 68:4, 74:1, 86:7, 109:25 heard [7] - 30:13, 73:20, 76:5, 76:15, 89:20, 90:5, 113:20 hearing [14] - 9:19, 24:15, 28:5, 29:11, 32:17, 32:18, 36:14, 69:8, 98:14, 98:16, 98:17, 108:11, 109:15 hearings [3] - 40:23, 41:2, 68:9 heart [1] - 34:16 heat [4] - 58:12, 58:15, 58:16, 62:25 heated [1] - 111:2 Heather [3] - 41:10, 43:12, 43:14 heating [1] - 33:12 heightened [1] - 54:4 held [6] - 14:1, 39:8, 88:2, 98:14, 98:16, 98:17 Hello [2] - 52:2, 94:15 help [6] - 10:7, 43:6, 71:21, 71:22, 86:3, 95:17 helped [2] - 70:18, 95:19 helping [1] - 95:21 heroes [1] - 74:8 hi [2] - 76:9, 81:9 **Hi** [12] - 34:25, 41:11, 54:19, 58:1, 66:21,

87:4, 90:18, 96:10,

101:22, 103:18, 104:5, 106:3 high [6] - 17:7, 37:22, 37:23, 84:6, 101:7, 102:21 high-tech [1] - 17:7 higher [1] - 61:10 highlands [1] - 25:22 Highlands [1] - 104:7 highly [3] - 42:6, 102:7 hijacking [1] - 105:6 himself [1] - 36:10 Hiroshima [1] - 80:11 history [2] - 46:4, 76:14 Hold [1] - 78:21 **hold** [4] - 15:23, 16:8, 41:1, 48:5 holding [2] - 28:4, 28:5 holy [1] - 41:20 home [4] - 20:19, 101:12, 107:16,

114:22 Homeland [1] - 54:3 homeowners [1] -60:16 homes [8] - 26:4, 49:10, 49:12, 85:16, 95:20, 95:21, 99:3, 111:10 honest [1] - 110:7 **honor** [1] - 70:10 hoodwink [1] - 104:24 51:1 Hook [4] - 28:6, 88:7, 104:6, 104:7 hookup [1] - 57:12 hope [4] - 48:18, 66:10, 76:6, 114:5 hopefully [1] - 88:19 horizon [2] - 58:9, 69:9 Horizon [1] - 20:5 horizontal [1] - 37:23 horrible [3] - 71:20, 90:8, 90:10 horse [2] - 28:22, 87:12 horseshoe [1] - 65:14

hour [1] - 33:20

house [1] - 111:3

Howell [1] - 85:5

huge [2] - 31:10,

93:7, 113:21

human [4] - 5:4, 84:9,

humpback [1] - 44:3

hundreds [4] - 79:9,

hug [1] - 70:16

102:11

95:16, 96:4, 99:25 **Huntington** [1] - 75:14 Hurricane [2] - 64:10, 95:17 hurt [2] - 22:5, 109:3 husband [1] - 108:7 husband's [1] -108:24 HVAC [1] - 33:9 hydraulic [1] - 37:8 Hydraulic [1] - 91:18 hydrocarbon [1] -89:11 hydroelectric [1] -59:15 hypocritical [1] - 69:2

ı ice [1] - 108:21 idea [5] - 42:16, 55:8, 63:7, 69:9, 112:3 identical [1] - 36:20 identified [1] - 65:20 identify [1] - 7:8 ignorant [2] - 110:21, 113:8 ignore [4] - 25:12, 28:4, 28:7, 106:17 ignored [1] - 28:8 **illustrated** [1] - 17:25 images [1] - 107:13 44:22 imagine [2] - 36:5, 84:22 imagined [1] - 103:12 immediately [1] - 60:5 Impact [3] - 4:20, 12:25. 39:20 impact [22] - 5:1, 5:10, 6:25, 7:20, 12:14, 14:20, 26:2, 31:20, 34:9, 38:24, 40:12, 40:16, 41:5, 55:7, 45:3 84:1, 84:7, 86:13, 86:15, 89:12, 95:15, 102:21, 105:16 impacted [5] - 11:5, 25:15, 44:1, 107:2 impacts [25] - 5:3, 7:4, 11:18, 13:11, 13:13, 15:5, 16:20, 23:4, 26:8, 37:3, 37:5, 38:8, 39:22, 43:18, 43:22, 44:14, 44:17, 44:21, 44:22, 44:25, 4:3 62:2, 105:9, 105:10, 106:18

impede [2] - 77:21,

91:12, 91:17

78:4

**imperative** [1] - 55:13 impervious [1] - 112:6 import [24] - 9:10, 10:2, 10:8, 22:15, 23:1, 24:25, 28:10, 29:7, 31:8, 31:13, 39:19, 43:16, 77:11, 87:13, 87:24, 87:25, 88:24, 90:1, 91:19, 97:17, 104:14, 104:16, 105:13, 111:12 **Import** [1] - 42:16 import/export [2] -36:17, 93:5 important [16] - 4:17, 10:17, 11:2, 12:2, 12:15, 22:22, 27:1, 34:17, 47:6, 52:4, 65:16, 65:20, 75:9, 77:8, 83:25, 94:1 importantly [1] - 63:21 importation [1] - 9:24 importers [1] - 87:23 importing [6] - 20:20, 35:6, 45:5, 64:12, 67:6, 77:7 imports [6] - 28:13, 30:16, 31:14, 37:12, 55:19, 57:13 imposed [1] - 29:17 inability [1] - 58:18 inaccurately [1] inappropriate [1] inaudible [1] - 40:5 incentivize [1] - 20:22 incinerate [1] - 72:20 include [7] - 37:5, 38:13, 61:17, 61:18, 67:6, 95:12, 110:8 included [5] - 4:24, 9:17, 31:5, 43:19, includes [3] - 7:10, 13:7, 65:11 including [12] - 13:9, 16:5, 19:17, 21:14, 23:7, 28:16, 38:16, 41:2, 44:2, 45:6, 54:5, 59:6 **income** [1] - 97:8 incoming [1] - 56:10 inconsistencies [1] incorporated [1] -55:25 increase [3] - 44:9,

increased [3] - 20:18, 54:2, 89:21 increasing [1] - 66:5 indeed [1] - 77:13 indefinite [1] - 84:11 independence [3] -35:8, 75:11, 88:22 independent [3] - 7:7, 13:10, 41:4 indicate [1] - 15:18 indicated [1] - 112:14 indicates [1] - 81:17 indication [1] - 17:15 indirect [1] - 39:22 individually [1] -111:15 **individuals** [1] - 15:13 industrial [4] - 19:6, 19:10, 47:13, 63:23 industrialization [7] -77:18, 78:7, 78:8, 78:10, 81:3, 94:11, 96:6 industries [2] - 40:13, 99:24 industry [32] - 20:4, 25:5, 25:12, 25:18, 26:4, 32:1, 34:11, 34:13, 34:14, 37:10, 37:20, 46:4, 48:5, 48:13, 49:4, 58:14, 64:14, 67:17, 75:3, 75:19, 76:20, 77:24, 77:25, 78:6, 79:8, 79:10, 79:12, 80:3, 99:20, 100:6, 100:20 industry's [2] - 37:17, 58:18 inevitable [2] - 62:18, 84:6 infancy [1] - 78:2 influence [2] - 26:18, 28:1 inform [1] - 78:3 Information [1] - 71:1 information [14] -17:22, 18:5, 31:18, 45:25, 46:10, 46:18, 46:20, 46:21, 46:24, 67:9, 77:2, 93:2, 101:14 Infrastructure [1] -59:2 infrastructure [10] -28:16, 36:6, 50:17, 59:7, 62:4, 63:8, 99:5, 100:12, 111:14, 113:13 infrastructures [1] -

106:19

inhaling [1] - 58:11 initiation [1] - 74:17 injuries [1] - 102:13 injuring [1] - 53:7 input [4] - 5:6, 5:8, 5:12, 7:2 inputs [1] - 16:14 inputting [1] - 35:6 Insanity [1] - 79:7 insanity [1] - 63:13 inspect [1] - 53:22 inspection [1] - 7:17 inspiring [1] - 70:11 Instead [1] - 19:10 instead [3] - 17:19, 69:4, 69:15 **Institute** [1] - 111:22 instructions [1] - 4:24 insufficient [1] - 29:16 insult [1] - 24:1 integrity [1] - 61:12 intended [3] - 38:21, 111:11, 111:12 intense [1] - 62:25 intensify [1] - 38:8 intensive [1] - 43:18 Intent [1] - 4:22 intent [4] - 5:8, 37:11, 37:14, 106:11 intentions [1] - 86:19 interaction [1] -106:14 interconnections [1] -106:22 interest [8] - 10:17, 11:13, 32:8, 35:12, 35:17, 36:2, 88:24, 110:12 Interested [1] - 13:19 interested [3] - 49:11, 113:25, 114:2 interfere [1] - 11:16 interference [1] - 54:9 interferes [1] - 22:24 intergenerational [1] -114:8 interim [1] - 33:25 interlockable [1] -60:13 International [1] -62:15 international [4] -7:22, 11:16, 56:19, 57:5 internet [4] - 14:6, 15:16, 46:16, 56:25 interrupt [1] - 60:15 intersect [1] - 102:25 intestinal [1] - 43:7

intricately [1] - 78:1 introduce [1] - 7:25 intrusive [1] - 79:21 invade [1] - 88:21 invest [2] - 63:7, 99:1 invested [1] - 65:25 investing [1] - 19:18 investment [3] - 62:3, 66:4, 99:8 invite [6] - 70:14, 70:20, 70:24, 71:16, 71:17 involved [2] - 13:4, 78:1 involvement [1] -13:15 involves [1] - 102:16 Iraq [1] - 42:20 Irene [1] - 90:10 ironic [1] - 68:8 irreplaceable [1] -22:20 **Island** [5] - 35:1, 44:5, 56:12, 79:8, 88:21 island [2] - 107:13, 107:15 Islands [3] - 29:4, 74:15, 100:14 isolated [1] - 106:16 issuance [2] - 16:18, 35:11 issue [18] - 5:9, 6:7, 10:11, 10:21, 10:22, 10:25, 16:15, 18:12, 52:4, 61:5, 64:3, 105:4, 111:11, 114:6, 114:7 issued [1] - 21:4 issues [12] - 23:22, 27:3, 29:9, 29:21. 47:14, 50:20, 74:13, 76:16, 90:4, 105:3, 106:13, 108:12

# J

item [1] - 56:17

Jacobson [1] - 59:3

Janice [2] - 99:11,
99:12

Japan [1] - 87:24

Jeff [5] - 21:19, 21:22,
21:24, 74:9, 89:7

Jennifer [4] - 72:1,
73:24, 74:2, 74:4

jeopardize [1] - 67:21

jeopardy [1] - 75:4

Jersey [106] - 7:4.

12:6, 13:21, 15:23,

28:7, 29:23, 36:18, 38:11, 39:7, 39:13, 40:6. 40:19. 41:3. 42:7, 46:15, 47:16, 49:9, 49:20, 49:23, 50:2, 50:18, 54:7, 55:24, 56:2, 61:24, 63:3, 63:19, 64:2, 64:9, 64:24, 65:2, 65:12, 65:15, 65:22, 65:23, 65:25, 66:2, 66:7, 66:14, 68:4, 69:10, 70:22, 73:19, 78:25, 79:1, 79:11, 80:2, 83:18, 84:3, 85:5, 85:6, 85:8, 86:17, 86:25, 87:6, 88:7, 89:13, 90:9, 92:6, 93:19, 93:20, 94:7, 94:10, 94:20, 94:23, 94:25, 95:2, 95:24, 96:12, 98:17, 98:25, 99:14, 99:15, 101:23, 103:8, 103:10, 103:21, 104:7, 104:8, 104:10, 105:11, 107:11, 107:21, 107:22, 108:10, 108:19, 109:21, 109:24, 112:4, 114:20 Jersey's [3] - 63:22, 64:4, 83:21 Jetta [1] - 101:14 Jim [15] - 21:19, 21:20, 21:21, 24:20, 76:7, 78:17, 78:19, 78:20, 78:22, 78:23, 89:7, 98:4, 99:10, 99:11 job [7] - 76:21, 79:15, 82:15, 82:20, 85:3, 85:10, 89:8 jobs [30] - 19:19, 19:21, 19:22, 19:25, 20:3, 20:19, 31:22, 32:5, 32:7, 36:4, 36:5, 42:23, 43:3, 59:20, 79:14, 79:18, 97:20, 97:22, 107:24, 108:6, 109:1, 109:2, 112:3,

113:12, 114:3,

114:11

16:9, 19:3, 19:11,

20:16, 21:6, 21:9,

21:11, 21:25, 22:2,

22:20, 26:3, 28:4,

19:17, 19:23, 20:12,

Joe [3] - 93:16, 94:14, 94:15 John [4] - 84:24, 87:2, 87:3. 87:5 join [3] - 32:11, 38:6, 70:14 joining [1] - 27:16 joliet [1] - 108:24 Josh [1] - 110:8 journal [1] - 58:24 joy [1] - 97:13 judge [1] - 86:9 July [2] - 5:16, 9:1 June [3] - 4:23, 40:23, 81:16 Justice [2] - 106:4, 106:5 justice [1] - 65:1 justification [1] -81:11

K K-a-n-t-r-o-w-i-t-z [1] -72:3 KANTROWITZ [1] -72:3 Katrinas [1] - 69:15 Kean [1] - 21:3 keen [1] - 10:17 keep [2] - 26:2, 112:21 **Keeper** [4] - 83:17, 83:18, 83:25, 84:8 keeping [3] - 41:25, 91:13, 109:14 keeps [1] - 80:20 Keith [3] - 8:1, 8:3, 50:9 Kemp's [1] - 80:18 key [1] - 7:1 kid [1] - 47:23 kill [2] - 102:11, 102:19 **killing** [1] - 48:6 Kim [8] - 109:19, 110:23, 110:24, 110:25, 111:1, 112:16 kind [8] - 21:20, 39:3, 48:15, 73:8, 89:18, 93:9, 96:12, 97:14 Kingwood [1] - 66:24 Klaus [3] - 101:17, 101:19, 101:22 knot [2] - 65:12, 65:14 knots [1] - 56:15 known [2] - 13:15, 58:11 knows [1] - 85:11

KRATORIL [1] -105:23 Krissy [4] - 94:14, 96:8, 96:9, 96:10

## L

labor [2] - 77:23, 77:25 lack [2] - 74:16 lagerhead [1] - 80:17 lamb [1] - 42:8 land [6] - 4:4, 34:8, 49:5, 57:2, 60:2, 89:21 lands [2] - 23:25, 67:3 Lane [1] - 92:4 lane [2] - 40:5, 78:11 lanes [3] - 53:24, 54:12, 80:7 large [8] - 20:8, 38:23, 44:14, 52:10, 77:22, 89:14, 89:19, 111:10 larger [2] - 60:15, 60:17 largest [1] - 54:12 Larraine [4] - 47:1, 48:24, 48:25, 49:1 Last [1] - 81:10 last [34] - 8:9, 8:19, 9:19. 10:6. 14:2. 18:17. 21:22. 35:2. 36:11, 46:8, 50:10, 53:6, 63:5, 67:25, 70:8, 72:2, 81:7, 81:8, 83:15, 85:6, 88:16, 89:1, 89:3, 91:4, 93:16, 93:18, 99:22, 101:1, 104:19, 105:2, 105:14, 108:12, 109:2, 110:25 late [1] - 20:2 lately [2] - 56:25, 107:14 latest [1] - 36:16 laughed [1] - 71:21 Laura [8] - 89:2, 90:16, 90:17, 90:19, 90:21, 90:22 Lavalette [2] - 107:11, 107:12 law [9] - 22:16, 28:23, 28:24, 28:25, 30:23, 30:25, 34:11, 37:14, 93:21 Law [1] - 106:4 laws [4] - 7:22, 11:12,

11:20, 56:19

**Lawyers** [1] - 106:5 44:21, 44:24, 45:9, Laying [1] - 58:8 45:20, 46:11, 46:23, 52:5, 52:21, 53:25, laying [1] - 58:10 54:4, 54:7, 54:21, lead [8] - 6:24, 20:17, 71:4, 71:7, 100:24, 55:2, 79:6, 80:22, 101:1, 113:19, 81:10, 85:23 82.2 Liberty's [4] - 37:11, 113:25 38:5. 44:19. 52:13 leader [1] - 66:3 libraries [1] - 15:14 leaders [1] - 71:5 license [39] - 4:6, 6:7, leading [2] - 53:15, 6:12, 6:16, 6:23, 9:14, 9:17, 9:21, leads [2] - 113:14, 85:16 9:23, 10:15, 10:19, 113:15 League [3] - 39:6, 10:21, 10:25, 11:12, 12:3, 12:5, 12:7, 39:25, 74:5 12:9, 12:12, 12:17, league [1] - 39:7 15:3, 16:10, 16:15, leak [2] - 53:8, 98:22 16:18, 16:21, 30:14, leaking [1] - 64:7 30:15, 30:17, 30:21, learned [1] - 84:10 30:24, 31:2, 35:12, least [2] - 16:8, 34:9 37:15, 39:18, 55:16, leather [1] - 80:17 114:20 leave [3] - 37:13, licensed [3] - 22:14, 91:23, 104:20 30:22, 38:6 108:2 leaving [1] - 40:10 licenses [3] - 10:11, lecture [1] - 32:20 30:23, 31:4 left [7] - 17:9, 17:21, licensing [5] - 4:17, 32:10, 44:14, 64:25, 8:6, 8:20, 36:24, 97:15, 98:7 37:6 legacies [1] - 66:14 lie [4] - 73:11, 81:14, legacy [2] - 49:13, 81:21, 81:22 64:25 lies [2] - 38:10, 101:9 legal [1] - 31:3 life [16] - 7:14, 11:10, legged [2] - 97:4, 97:5 25:23, 40:14, 44:20, legislation [1] - 21:12 47:8, 61:9, 70:18, legitimate [1] - 32:8 82:22, 89:21, 92:6, **Leonardo** [1] - 47:3 94:8, 95:11, 97:19, **LESNICK** [2] - 8:3, 113:13, 113:17 57:16 lifelong [3] - 63:21, Lesnick [3] - 6:9, 8:1, 99:14, 101:23 lifetime [4] - 39:20, less [5] - 40:23, 71:12, 39:23, 47:22, 108:7 79:21, 110:4, 111:20 **lights** [1] - 101:15 lethal [2] - 40:16, 64:7 likely [4] - 43:24, 44:9, letter [7] - 8:24, 9:2. 53:14. 103:2 13:19, 13:22, 50:11, limited [1] - 50:25 65:25 limits [2] - 17:6, 74:25 letting [1] - 98:1 Linda [5] - 55:22, **leukemia** [1] - 51:6 57:24, 57:25, 58:1, level [3] - 61:10, 103:18 103:2 88:21, 109:8 **Lindsay** [5] - 49:17, levels [2] - 44:18, 51:25, 52:1, 52:2 62:12 line [6] - 6:6, 42:12, liberty [1] - 24:1 52:19, 53:10, 93:9, Liberty [37] - 4:9, 4:12, 103:6 18:25, 20:16, 21:7, 111:8 linked [1] - 91:18 23:25, 27:7, 27:23, liquefy [1] - 82:1 27:25, 31:6, 31:18, liquid [1] - 101:25 88:19 31:22, 36:16, 39:11, liquidate [1] - 68:20 39:14, 39:16, 43:16, liquification [3] -43:23, 44:8, 44:17,

43:19, 57:15, 87:18 107:12 Liquified [1] - 81:24 location [4] - 39:16, liquified [8] - 19:1, 52:18, 58:21, 89:14 21:5, 28:17, 36:17, locations [2] - 14:24, 39:12, 45:12, 81:24, 16:11 logistics [2] - 13:23, liquifies [1] - 57:11 68:16 list [2] - 105:4, 114:15 loligo [1] - 79:23 listen [2] - 8:11, 26:20 London [1] - 81:16 listening [1] - 76:6 long-term [5] - 32:7, literally [2] - 50:12, 36:5, 62:20, 67:10, 108:6 Look [1] - 27:7 livable [1] - 51:20 live [15] - 47:8, 47:9, look [26] - 14:20, 48:16, 49:20, 63:1, 14:25, 24:22, 25:17, 65:18, 68:3, 85:5, 25:20, 26:6, 26:7, 26:10, 27:6, 27:9, 92:5, 93:19, 100:23, 101:11, 106:17, 33:19, 35:10, 41:20, 106:21, 107:23 47:4, 50:6, 53:1, 58:20, 60:18, 61:8, lived [2] - 85:6, 90:7 63:3, 78:22, 86:23, lives [2] - 48:6, 97:3 87:12, 89:16, 95:11, living [5] - 86:14, 111:5 89:13, 97:6, 107:16, looked [1] - 86:5 looking [11] - 20:17, LNG [63] - 7:23, 9:4, 25:21, 25:22, 25:24, 13:1, 15:2, 19:20, 19:22, 20:12, 21:7, 26:2, 26:25, 35:18, 21:10, 21:14, 23:2, 41:21, 58:8, 85:2, 24:6, 29:18, 29:19, 114:11 39:18, 41:23, 52:13, looks [4] - 61:2, 63:4, 52:16, 53:14, 53:16, 82:5, 100:24 53:19, 57:2, 58:11, loophole [1] - 74:17 losing [1] - 25:7 60:5, 72:17, 77:7, 79:6, 79:15, 80:7, loss [1] - 100:6 82:16, 83:20, 84:1, lost [4] - 19:22, 20:4, 84:6, 84:13, 84:17, 59:20, 97:23 89:23, 90:2, 91:2, loud [1] - 72:4 91:11, 91:17, 91:18, LOUG [1] - 78:20 93:24, 95:3, 95:22, Loughran [2] - 76:7, 96:6, 99:17, 102:1, 78:23 102:8, 102:9, LOUGHRAN [1] -102:12, 102:17, 78:23 104:12, 104:22, Louise [3] - 36:10, 104:24, 105:12, 39:2, 39:5 111:14, 112:2. Louisiana [1] - 98:24 112:22, 114:1, 114:4 love [10] - 48:21, LNG's [1] - 102:7 70:10, 70:18, 76:4, LNGs [1] - 21:12 92:7, 96:19, 97:13, loaded [2] - 45:13, 113:23, 114:10 lovely [1] - 107:12 **local** [16] - 5:7, 11:4, lover [1] - 93:23 13:21, 14:1, 15:10, loving [1] - 97:9 15:12, 16:12, 20:4, low [2] - 102:20, 111:7 20:5, 77:22, 78:11, lower [1] - 105:15 94:7, 97:7, 107:23, **ludicrous** [1] - 50:9 lunch [1] - 108:21 Local [3] - 82:8, 85:4, Lynn [7] - 92:3, 93:14, 93:15, 93:16, 104:3, locals [1] - 97:21 105:20, 105:21 located [2] - 15:19,

М M-a-h-e-d-y[1] - 70:9 **M-a-i-r-o** [1] - 94:16 M-a-I-d-o-n-a-d-o [1] -M-a-n-s [1] - 83:16 Macailagh [2] - 51:25, 54:19 mackerel [1] - 41:20 magnitude [1] - 40:17 **MAHEDY** [1] - 70:9 mail [1] - 14:6 mails [1] - 96:16 main [1] - 76:3 Maine [2] - 41:15, 76:17 maintain [2] - 36:25, 75:21 maintenance [1] -7:16 **MAIRO** [1] - 94:15 **Mairo** [1] - 94:15 major [7] - 12:14, 38:19, 66:22, 80:6, 81:11, 102:18, 105:11 MALDONADO [2] -18:16, 18:20 mammals [2] - 44:10, 44:23 man [2] - 68:11, 70:15 management [5] - 4:5, 7:11, 11:21, 84:5, 90:12 Management [3] -5:25, 52:8, 68:10 manager [2] - 13:2, 76:10 Manalapan [2] -49:20, 49:21 **Manasquan** [1] - 85:8 maneuver [1] - 56:22 Manhattan [2] - 73:16, 88:20 manner [1] - 82:18 Mans [4] - 82:6, 83:16, 89:10 MANS [1] - 83:16 Mantoloking [1] -107:14 map [2] - 79:24, 80:4 MARAD [5] - 4:5,

15:22, 16:13, 30:14,

Marcellas [1] - 38:9

Marcellus [2] - 23:5,

MARCO [1] - 41:19

30:20

28:19

Margo [4] - 39:3, 41:9, 41:11 marine [12] - 11:18, 14:16, 40:13, 41:24, 44:10, 44:20, 44:23, 76:20, 89:21, 94:6, 97:15, 105:18 marine's [1] - 37:3 Maritime [16] - 4:2, 6:9, 6:19, 6:21, 7:17, 8:1, 8:4, 8:6, 10:9, 10:20, 12:25, 28:3, 40:18, 41:1, 54:21, 76:11 maritime [2] - 7:9, 7:20 Mark [2] - 8:24, 59:3 market [4] - 31:9, 37:13, 55:12, 64:13 marketplace [1] -31:14 Martin [5] - 57:25, 60:8, 60:9, 60:10 Maryland [1] - 22:13 Massachusetts [1] -101:15 massacre [1] - 78:21 massacring [1] - 89:2 massive [7] - 29:5, 38:18, 49:9, 54:10, 62:3, 63:8, 102:10 material [1] - 20:3 materials [2] - 17:11, 113:6 **MATHER** [73] - 16:24, 18:17, 20:24, 21:16, 24:12, 24:16, 24:19, 27:11, 27:19, 30:8, 32:14, 32:21, 32:25, 34:22, 36:9, 38:25, 39:2, 41:7, 41:9, 43:10, 45:19, 45:21, 46:25, 48:24, 49:17, 51:24, 54:16, 55:21, 57:24, 60:3, 60:6, 60:8, 61:21, 63:15, 64:19, 66:17, 67:24, 70:3, 70:7, 71:25, 73:23, 73:25, 76:1, 76:7, 78:16, 81:4, 81:6, 82:4, 83:13, 84:23, 87:2, 88:12, 88:14, 89:1, 90:16, 90:19, 92:2, 93:14, 94:13, 96:8, 98:3, 99:10, 101:13, 103:16, 104:2, 105:20, 106:1, 107:6, 109:18,

110:23, 112:12,

112:16, 114:14 **MATHERS** [1] - 78:14 matrix [2] - 111:4, 111:5 Matt [3] - 60:9, 61:21, 61:23 matter [3] - 79:18, 100:17, 106:13 mayor [1] - 92:16 McCue [3] - 54:16, McNamara [4] - 49:18, 52:2, 52:3, 89:8 mean [13] - 52:25, 68:17, 68:18, 68:20, 69:8, 69:9, 69:11, 69:17, 69:20, 69:21, 72:19, 87:12, 93:9 meaning [1] - 97:6 means [9] - 25:7, 34:2, 34:4, 34:7, 34:16, 35:24, 52:18, 57:5, 75:1 meantime [1] - 34:24 meanwhile [1] - 69:18 measures [3] - 7:11, 7:13, 52:11 mechanical [1] -61:12 meet [4] - 16:23, 29:17, 33:18, 85:20 meeting [21] - 4:24, 5:8, 5:11, 6:4, 8:9, 10:17, 13:23, 13:25, 14:1, 15:23, 16:9, 18:3, 46:17, 71:2, 79:4, 91:4, 92:9, 100:18, 106:11, 108:2, 114:19 member [3] - 94:18, 104:6, 104:8 members [9] - 22:1, 36:23, 66:12, 94:24, 95:1, 95:20, 103:22, 104:11, 109:23 membership [1] -96:15 men [1] - 85:10 mention [4] - 46:3, 64:15, 86:6, 98:8 mentioned [5] - 13:18, 15:4, 62:8, 67:5, 103:21 merely [1] - 32:21 mess [1] - 69:7 message [2] - 21:4, 69:2 methane [7] - 38:18,

58:12, 58:14, 58:19,

77:9, 77:21

62:10, 73:9, 73:22

methods [1] - 14:10 misconceptions [2] -Mexico [6] - 20:5, 76:22, 83:9 42:9, 42:10, 53:9, misinformation [1] -90:12, 98:22 83:10 MG [1] - 82:11 mislead [1] - 86:20 Miami [2] - 41:15, misleading [2] - 77:1, 41:16 77:2 mic [1] - 81:9 missiles [1] - 103:13 Michael [6] - 101:16, mission [1] - 96:13 101:17, 101:18, mistake [2] - 84:11, 101:19 84:12 microphone [1] **mitigation** [1] - 7:10 18:14 Mitro [1] - 93:16 microwave [1] -Mobil [2] - 79:7, 91:16 107:17 mode [1] - 8:10 MidAtlantic [3] **model** [1] - 59:9 24:21, 28:17, 41:19 modeling [1] - 45:3 middle [4] - 42:19, moment [1] - 6:10 54:11, 77:20, 113:12 moments [1] - 5:20 Middlesex [1] - 89:16 monarchy [2] - 75:10, Middletown [1] - 79:4 75:25 might [9] - 11:5, 39:2, money [12] - 25:8, 69:1, 72:19, 83:9, 28:1, 28:19, 31:18, 86:3, 86:10, 95:25, 105:4 59:23. 74:13. mighty [1] - 49:5 100:15, 105:1 migratory [2] - 40:14, monitor [2] - 12:11, 80:16 53:22 Mike [4] - 83:14, Monmouth [3] - 85:6, 84:23, 84:24, 85:4 87:8, 109:15 mile [6] - 40:8, 53:8, months [2] - 46:9, 56:13, 72:20, 80:10, 54:24 98:25 moral [1] - 100:25 miles [11] - 19:2, 21:8, moratorium [1] - 33:8 28:6, 56:13, 56:14, moratoriums [1] -78:10, 79:20, 86:22, 34:6 98:9, 98:24, 98:25 morning [2] - 71:3, million [8] - 22:2, 87:19 62:21, 73:1, 81:12, mortality [1] - 59:22 81:15, 81:18, 87:20, most [14] - 29:23, 91:13 33:3, 34:15, 34:18, millions [5] - 23:10, 34:20, 47:5, 65:4, 23:12, 31:23, 45:11, 77:8, 80:18, 89:5, 65:22 minds [1] - 100:25 107:1 Minerals [1] - 68:10 Most [1] - 58:13 minimal [3] - 52:14, mother [5] - 50:3, 52:23, 86:13 71:10, 93:21, minimize [1] - 11:18 112:25, 113:16 minimized [1] - 52:13 Mother's [1] - 50:1 minimum [1] - 14:11 mother-in-law [1] minor [1] - 18:12 93:21 minutes [8] - 17:7, mountain [1] - 23:24 17:20, 32:9, 56:15, move [6] - 26:19, 28:1, 78:15, 78:19, 89:9, 40:1, 52:10, 53:21, 103:1 56:21 mischaracterization moved [3] - 39:16, [1] - 8:20 70:12, 79:20 misconception [2] movement [3] - 62:1,

moves [4] - 20:18, 35:20, 37:10, 101:2 moving [6] - 18:11, 20:11, 39:3, 62:16, 101:4, 102:25 MR [37] - 8:3, 12:22, 21:24, 24:13, 24:18, 24:20, 30:12, 32:24, 33:2, 34:25, 36:12, 45:24, 47:2, 55:23, 57:16, 57:18, 60:10, 61:23, 66:21, 70:9, 76:9, 78:15, 78:23, 81:8, 82:7, 84:25, 87:4, 88:13, 88:17, 89:4, 94:15, 99:12, 101:22, 103:18, 104:5, 109:20, 114:18 MS [110] - 16:24, 18:16, 18:17, 18:20, 20:24, 21:2, 21:16, 24:12, 24:16, 24:19, 27:11, 27:15, 27:19, 50:14, 50:22, 50:23, 27:21, 30:8, 32:14, 32:21, 32:25, 34:22, 36:9, 38:25, 39:2, 39:5, 41:7, 41:9, 41:11, 43:10, 43:14, 45:19, 45:20, 45:21, 46:25, 48:24, 49:1, 49:17, 49:19, 51:24, 52:2, 54:16, 54:19, 55:21, 57:24, 58:1, 60:3, 60:4, 60:6, 60:8, 61:21, 63:15, 63:18, 64:19, 64:21, 66:17, 67:24, 68:2, 70:3, 70:4, 70:7, 71:25, 72:3, 73:23, 73:24, 73:25, 74:4, 76:1, 76:3, 76:7, 78:14, 78:16, 81:4, 81:6, 82:4, 83:13, 91:12, 100:9, 103:3, 83:16, 84:23, 87:2, 88:12, 88:14, 89:1, 90:16, 90:18, 90:19, 90:22, 92:2, 92:4, 93:14, 93:18, 94:13, 96:8, 96:10, 98:3, 98:5, 99:10, 101:13, 103:16, 104:2, 105:20, 105:24, 106:1, 106:3, 107:6, 107:9, 109:18, 110:23, 111:1, 112:12, 112:14, 112:16, 112:20, 114:14 MSNBC [1] - 100:8 104:12, 114:8

multiple [3] - 44:12, 108:17, 113:17 multistage [1] - 37:23 municipality [1] -108:16 must [14] - 5:6, 6:8, 7:2, 11:19, 11:20, 11:25, 16:20, 18:13, 19:21, 31:4, 31:10, 43:22, 83:4, 83:6 mutilate [1] - 18:18 mysterious [1] - 27:23 Mystic [1] - 82:17

## Ν

name [48] - 12:22, 18:18, 21:23, 24:20, 27:21, 33:2, 36:11, 36:13, 39:5, 43:14, 49:1, 49:19, 50:10, 52:2, 54:19, 61:23, 63:18, 64:21, 66:21, 67:25, 68:8, 70:8, 72:2, 73:24, 74:4, 78:23, 81:7, 81:8, 83:15, 85:3, 88:16, 89:2, 89:3, 90:22, 92:4, 93:15, 93:17, 93:18, 94:15, 96:10, 98:5, 101:22, 104:5, 107:9, 109:20, 110:25, 112:19 names [1] - 29:2 narrow [2] - 25:1, 26:10 Nation [1] - 41:20 nation [5] - 34:1, 58:22, 66:7, 101:4, 101:8 National [4] - 13:14, 47:10, 79:25, 106:5 national [13] - 11:13, 11:14, 20:17, 30:3, 35:12, 35:17, 36:1, 36:2, 47:13, 66:3, 88:23, 88:24, 102:1 nationally [1] - 50:2 **nations** [1] - 57:8 nationwide [1] - 22:3 Natural [12] - 4:21, 19:1, 20:16, 27:23, 36:16, 37:24, 39:12, 39:14, 52:5, 54:8, 81:14, 85:23 natural [60] - 5:4, 9:10, 9:11, 9:15, 9:25, 11:20, 19:1, 21:6, 26:5, 28:17, 33:8,

33:9, 33:11, 33:22, 34:13, 35:6, 35:13, 36:17, 37:18, 37:20, 38:2, 39:10, 39:12, 41:4, 50:17, 51:8, 53:4, 53:8, 53:11, 55:1, 60:22, 62:8, 64:13, 75:3, 75:6, 75:19, 77:8, 77:12, 81:17, 81:20, 81:24, 82:2, 84:9, 84:21, 86:1, 87:11, 87:19, 87:23, 90:8, 95:7, 95:9, 98:22, 99:19, 100:5, 100:20, 101:25, 110:9, 111:3, 111:9 nature [2] - 4:25,

61:12

nausea [1] - 50:20

nautical [3] - 56:12,
56:13, 56:14

navigation [1] - 11:16

near [4] - 28:12,
28:15, 54:12, 80:12

nearly [5] - 19:25,
36:19, 59:20, 85:13,
86:20

necessary [11] - 5:23, 34:2, 34:4, 34:7, 35:5, 51:8, 52:12, 55:18, 83:4, 90:3, 101:10

necessity [1] - 33:10 Neck [1] - 66:25 need [45] - 23:2, 23:3, 24:6, 26:7, 27:19, 28:18, 29:14, 31:11, 31:15, 32:6, 32:16, 32:20, 33:21, 40:24, 41:18, 42:22, 42:23, 44:8, 49:6, 60:4, 64:17, 65:5, 65:21, 66:14, 68:23, 68:24, 71:14, 72:24, 72:25, 73:21, 77:16, 81:10, 86:16, 86:23, 87:11, 88:1, 88:10, 90:2, 100:22, 104:24, 112:1, 112:9, 113:22

needed [2] - 44:24, 55:18 needing [3] - 75:5, 75:6, 75:7 needs [8] - 18:19, 31:6, 31:10, 33:25, 37:20, 39:21, 52:15, 73:12

**negative** [7] - 37:3, 37:5, 38:8, 104:22,

105:9, 105:10, 105:16

negatively [1] - 43:25 negligible [3] - 44:17, 44:25, 83:2

**NEPA** [5] - 4:21, 13:15, 13:16, 16:7, 107:2

net [2] - 87:23, 87:24 neurotoxins [1] -38:16

**never** [4] - 4:15, 97:19, 103:12, 105:4

**New** [129] - 7:4, 12:5, 12:6, 13:20, 14:2, 15:22, 16:9, 19:2, 19:11, 19:17, 20:12, 20:16, 21:6, 21:9,

21:10, 21:25, 22:2, 22:20, 29:22, 29:23, 35:1, 36:18, 38:10, 38:11, 39:7, 39:13,

40:6, 40:19, 41:3, 41:16, 41:17, 42:7, 44:2, 44:5, 46:15, 49:9, 49:20, 49:22, 50:1, 50:18, 52:19.

53:4, 54:7, 55:24, 56:1, 56:2, 58:2, 58:5, 59:1, 59:7,

59:16, 59:20, 59:21, 61:24, 63:3, 63:19, 63:22, 64:1, 64:3,

64:9, 64:24, 65:2, 65:12, 65:23, 65:25, 66:2, 66:6, 66:14, 68:4, 72:5, 73:19,

78:25, 79:11, 80:2, 80:12, 81:3, 81:12, 81:13, 82:9, 83:17, 83:18, 83:20, 83:21,

84:3, 85:5, 85:8, 86:17, 86:25, 89:12, 90:9, 92:5, 93:19,

94:7, 94:10, 94:25, 98:17, 99:14, 101:23, 102:18, 103:8, 103:10,

104:7, 104:9, 105:8, 106:4, 106:24, 107:11, 109:21,

109:23, 112:4, 114:20 **new** [16] - 4:8, 5:17,

9:14, 21:5, 21:13, 30:20, 34:8, 37:17, 38:1, 43:5, 50:3, 53:5, 62:3, 63:8,

79:22, 100:3 **Newark** [1] - 38:11

**newspapers** [3] -14:1, 15:10, 16:13 **Next** [3] - 60:8, 66:17,

105:20
next [11] - 15:6, 30:8,
43:10, 72:1, 74:1,
99:10, 99:16, 99:18,
101:21, 104:3,

113:13 **night** [8] - 8:9, 8:19, 10:7, 14:2, 35:2, 81:10, 91:4, 114:22

night's [1] - 9:19 nightmare [1] - 103:14 nightmarish [2] -50:8, 64:17

nights [1] - 85:7 Nine [1] - 65:19 nitrogen [1] - 45:2 Nixon [1] - 74:19 NJDEP [1] - 63:25

**NJDEP** [1] - 63:25 **nobody** [2] - 18:11, 97:23

NOI [1] - 13:18 noise [5] - 14:16, 43:22, 44:17, 44:18, 44:21

**non** [4] - 39:8, 55:25, 109:10

non-partisan [1] - 39:8

**non-profit** [3] - 39:8, 55:25, 109:10 **none** [3] - 43:17, 47:6,

none [3] - 43:17, 47:6 111:4 nonrenewable [2] -

35:15, 95:7 **normal** [1] - 45:10 **normally** [1] - 13:24

Norman [1] - 75:23 North [4] - 25:2, 44:2,

44:13, 65:8 northeast [1] - 37:7 northern [1] - 80:15

**Norwegian** [1] - 80:15 **Norwegian** [1] - 108:1 **note** [5] - 5:21, 12:2, 90:4, 98:13, 98:20

**noted** [1] - 44:19 **Nothing** [1] - 104:22 **nothing** [3] - 47:7,

47:8, 73:2 **Notice** [1] - 4:22 **notice** [7] - 5:17,

13:25, 15:9, 40:22, 47:22, 106:10, 106:11

noticed [1] - 98:8 notices [1] - 6:2 notify [1] - 4:2

notwithstanding [1] -

29:10

novelty [1] - 108:22 November [1] - 4:13 Nowhere [1] - 51:2 number [14] - 6:8, 17:4, 28:13, 28:14, 31:10, 33:19, 35:11, 52:7, 64:3, 72:4, 72:23, 81:23, 97:20,

numbering [1] - 104:10

112:5

**numbers** [2] - 89:18, 102:11

nuts [1] - 42:21

## 0

Obama [1] - 19:15 **objection** [1] - 113:5 objectives [1] - 35:14 **obvious** [1] - 58:4 obviously [3] - 10:17, 88:13, 111:13 occupying [1] - 114:1 occur [2] - 44:1, 45:11 occurred [4] - 19:23, 20:5, 53:9, 55:11 ocean [40] - 19:6, 19:9, 19:11, 25:23, 26:22, 42:13, 53:24, 56:4, 64:8, 65:6, 74:9, 77:18, 78:7, 78:8, 78:10, 78:12, 81:3, 84:2, 86:13, 86:15, 91:3, 93:23, 94:9, 96:1, 96:2, 96:5, 96:14, 96:19, 96:21, 96:23, 97:2, 97:6, 97:8, 97:13, 97:23, 97:25, 98:10, 109:4

Ocean [14] - 19:13, 27:22, 43:15, 52:3, 52:6, 52:7, 54:20, 71:2, 76:23, 77:6, 77:18, 103:25, 107:11, 109:15

oceanography [1] -43:16 off-shore [1] - 14:25

offensive [1] - 88:5 offer [1] - 85:20 offered [1] - 89:25 office [4] - 18:21, 21:4, 89:7, 101:7

**office's** [1] - 8:7 **officer** [1] - 71:20

offices [1] - 29:15

official [3] - 16:3, 19:5, 108:16 officials [3] - 13:22, 18:9, 18:10 offshore [17] - 19:1, 19:7, 19:15, 37:4, 60:1, 76:19, 77:20, 77:22, 77:24, 77:25, 78:1, 78:4, 78:5, 78:8, 78:11, 89:11, 95:22 often [2] - 60:14, 61:1 Ohio [1] - 111:23 oil [10] - 19:7, 19:19, 33:6, 33:7, 33:11, 34:11, 37:17, 46:4, 48:5, 82:3 okayed [1] - 57:7 old [4] - 47:22, 51:1, 93:23, 108:20 older [1] - 33:3 on-line [1] - 6:6 once [14] - 22:8, 29:1, 37:10, 38:5, 43:20, 73:4, 90:17, 95:4, 101:18, 101:19, 105:22, 112:17, 113:1 Once [2] - 36:13, 91:21 One [9] - 10:24, 14:22, 30:18, 52:8, 55:2, 56:6, 59:2, 61:5, 108:19 one [59] - 6:4, 6:25, 10:16, 12:5, 12:12, 14:10, 15:17, 16:8, 16:14, 17:3, 17:5, 18:3, 18:12, 19:3, 22:11, 22:20, 23:7, 23:14, 26:20, 31:7, 31:15, 33:24, 34:6, 34:9, 35:10, 39:19, 40:8, 45:25, 48:21, 50:21, 51:1, 52:25, 56:13, 56:23, 58:6, 59:8, 66:24, 68:9, 68:11, 68:18, 72:17, 74:8, 74:23, 78:22, 80:18, 81:20, 82:20, 84:10, 91:12, 92:15, 100:13, 105:1, 105:2, 107:15, 111:6, 111:23, 114:6 one-mile [1] - 40:8 one-quarter [1] -81:20 one-way [1] - 74:23 one-year-old [1] - 51:1 ones [3] - 79:7, 97:5

onshore [1] - 60:1 **OPEC** [1] - 33:6 open [2] - 73:5, 108:23 opening [1] - 50:11 operate [4] - 9:9, 11:13, 16:19, 108:18 operated [1] - 11:17 operates [2] - 66:23, 82:21 operating [2] - 82:17, 100:5 operation [4] - 11:4, 14:18. 40:11. 45:10 operationally [1] -90:1 operations [4] - 7:8, 7:16, 7:19, 11:24 operators [2] - 108:4 opinion [3] - 34:19, 77:4, 112:10 opponent [2] - 6:14, 10:14 opportunities [2] -5:20, 32:18 opportunity [17] -5:12, 15:24, 16:2, 18:1, 18:3, 36:15, 40:20, 42:14, 52:4, 76:13, 76:14, 85:21, 90:24, 103:19, 107:4, 107:5, 110:13 oppose [7] - 21:12, 36:8, 39:11, 93:24, 93:25, 105:12, 114:4 opposed [16] - 18:25, 19:6, 35:25, 39:15, 65:7, 77:14, 78:6, 78:7, 79:6, 81:1, 81:2, 88:13, 95:2, 96:22, 103:23, 109:7 opposes [1] - 83:25 opposing [1] - 91:5 opposite [2] - 24:1, 76:4 opposition [8] - 20:12, 21:10, 50:4, 77:19, 78:5, 89:22, 91:25, 99.16 option [1] - 95:4 **options** [1] - 31:3 Oral [1] - 14:2 oral [2] - 5:21, 15:24 orders [1] - 48:13 ordinary [1] - 76:15 Organic [1] - 64:1 organization [7] -39:8, 64:23, 68:5, 74:14, 94:21,

109:11, 109:13

organizations [3] -66:12, 77:14, 82:21 organized [2] - 77:25, 95:16 original [5] - 4:9, 4:12, 5:15, 55:6, 58:23 originally [1] - 72:11 Orleans [1] - 41:16 Ortley [1] - 107:14 otherwise [1] - 112:11 ourselves [1] - 42:19 outbursts [1] - 17:13 **outgoing** [1] - 56:10 outlet [1] - 60:22 outlets [1] - 61:1 output [1] - 56:20 outraged [1] - 49:2 outrageous [1] -107:18 outreach [1] - 92:11 Outrigger [1] - 76:10 Outside [1] - 94:25 outside [2] - 57:8, 101:14 overall [3] - 4:17, 77:16, 95:11 overhaul [1] - 62:16 overly [1] - 111:10 override [2] - 30:23, overseas [1] - 64:15 overstated [1] - 31:8 overtaken [1] - 101:6 overview [3] - 6:11, 13:3, 106:8 owe [1] - 74:11 own [4] - 9:8, 16:18, 29:17, 35:25 owned [1] - 88:3 Owners [2] - 104:6, 104:7 owning [3] - 35:24, 35:25, 74:22 owns [1] - 66:23

#### Р

p.m [2] - 98:7, 114:24 pace [1] - 62:23 paddle [1] - 96:2 paddled [3] - 41:15, 41:23 paddler [1] - 41:12 page [1] - 45:25 pages [5] - 40:21, 46:1, 46:16, 58:25, 88:6 Pallone [1] - 18:22 Pallone's [1] - 89:6

41:11

palms [1] - 80:22 panels [1] - 69:18 Paradis [1] - 21:1 **PARADIS** [2] - 21:2 paragraph [1] - 9:6 paramount [1] - 90:6 Park [1] - 47:12 part [18] - 4:17, 7:24, 9:2, 9:3, 12:14, 14:9, 16:7, 25:17, 34:18, 36:3, 37:17, 61:25, 65:8, 67:4, 86:12, 97:9, 111:14, 111:23 participation [1] -13:4 particular [4] - 8:21, 74:14, 107:21, 108:14 particulates [1] - 45:7 partisan [1] - 39:8 parts [2] - 73:1, 111:23 Party [1] - 13:19 party [1] - 49:11 pass [2] - 82:15, 108:16 passed [3] - 75:8, 91:1, 91:4 passionately [1] -107:20 passively [1] - 67:16 past [7] - 23:20, 24:2, 53:2, 56:15, 84:10, 84:22, 108:18 Patch [1] - 71:2 path [1] - 80:16 pathetic [1] - 45:24 patrol [2] - 103:3, 103:4 PATTERSON [1] -64:21 Patterson [2] - 63:16, 64:22 Paul [5] - 101:20, 103:16, 103:17, 104:2 **PAUL** [1] - 103:18 pay [1] - 113:11 paying [12] - 92:8, 92:9, 92:10, 92:11, 92:12, 92:13, 92:14, 92:15, 93:10, 113:12, 114:11 payments [1] - 85:19 pays [2] - 100:16, 100:17 Peace [1] - 68:3 peer [1] - 58:23 PELLEGRINO [1] -

Pellegrino [2] - 39:3, 41:12 Pennsylvania [9] -23:6, 25:25, 26:3, 26:5, 48:10, 48:12, 56:2, 75:14 pentagon [1] - 62:19 People [6] - 48:11, 50:19, 63:1, 71:10, 73:10, 86:5 people [71] - 11:3, 17:4, 24:13, 24:15, 25:14, 26:3, 26:20, 34:15, 34:20, 35:24, 42:9, 42:25, 43:11, 47:18, 51:18, 53:7, 60:13, 61:8, 61:11, 61:19, 68:24, 70:10, 70:11, 70:18, 70:21, 70:24, 71:4, 71:8, 71:9, 72:24, 74:22, 75:9, 75:23, 76:3, 76:15, 80:24, 80:25, 83:23, 84:19, 85:22, 86:2, 88:2, 90:9, 92:20, 92:21, 92:22, 92:23, 92:25, 93:11, 93:12, 95:6, 95:10, 95:17, 95:19, 95:21, 98:20, 101:11, 102:11, 104:21, 106:1, 108:23, 109:1, 110:19, 112:14, 114:10 per [5] - 73:1, 81:15, 81:18, 87:20, 91:13 percent [18] - 25:7, 31:9, 38:4, 43:1, 47:5, 53:13, 59:13, 59:17, 59:23, 59:25, 60:1, 60:2, 65:9, 82:2, 82:25, 83:1, 110:4 **perfectly** [1] - 10:13 **performing** [1] - 76:18 perhaps [2] - 51:4, 109:5 period [8] - 9:2, 16:4, 41:6, 67:14, 77:13, 84:17, 92:15, 108:15 permanently [2] -53:10, 94:9 permit [6] - 22:9, 23:15, 25:17, 77:10, 86:7, 92:1 permits [1] - 86:11 perpetuated [1] -81:23 **perpetuity** [1] - 9:24 person [6] - 30:8,

41:10, 43:10, 71:6, 74:1, 105:25 personal [2] - 108:12, 109:7 personnel [1] - 54:3 persuaded [1] - 36:21 pertains [1] - 101:16 petroleum [3] - 34:12, 99:20, 101:6 Ph.D[1] - 62:5 **phantom** [1] - 81:19 phase [3] - 19:21, 40:8, 89:24 PhD [1] - 43:15 philanthropist [1] -81:19 **phone** [3] - 56:25, 85:15, 93:11 phones [1] - 17:1 physically [1] - 57:14 picture [4] - 25:1, 25:20, 47:4, 93:13 piece [2] - 71:6, 101:13 Pierson [2] - 61:22, 63:18 PIERSON [2] - 63:18, 63:19 **pile** [1] - 108:3 Pinelands [1] - 70:25 pipe [1] - 111:10 pipeline [15] - 4:10, 14:25, 28:6, 35:7, 46:8, 47:11, 52:18, 71:1, 76:19, 77:6, 93:8, 106:15, 106:22, 111:8 Pipeline [1] - 72:7 pipelines [11] - 23:5, 25:21, 34:8, 42:21, 58:7, 61:12, 82:9, 82:10, 94:5, 100:11, 111:13 piping [1] - 65:11 place [4] - 11:21, 15:10, 48:22, 52:22 placed [5] - 15:14, 33:8, 57:10, 101:7 places [4] - 57:20, 60:21, 60:24, 61:16 Plain [1] - 64:2 plan [5] - 59:6, 59:12, 59:24, 60:4, 76:23 Plan [1] - 89:17 planet [8] - 47:6, 48:18, 48:21, 68:24, 69:24, 72:23, 80:19 **plank** [1] - 110:10 **plankton** [1] - 44:22 planned [3] - 19:2,

53:4, 111:9 planners [1] - 102:22 planning [2] - 41:19, 70:25 plans [2] - 64:14, 86:6 plant [4] - 59:9, 83:21, 91:21, 111:12 plants [2] - 111:14, 111:22 plates [1] - 101:15 platform [1] - 98:23 **play** [4] - 17:10, 75:15, 96:22, 97:23 player [2] - 87:15, 104:11 playground [1] - 42:1 **Pleasant** [4] - 78:25, 79:1, 108:8, 108:25 **plenty** [1] - 43:3 plotter [1] - 80:2 **plotting** [1] - 79:25 plover [1] - 65:11 plug [1] - 53:10 pocket [1] - 86:2 podium [2] - 18:13, 21:1 point [8] - 8:7, 12:8, 27:14, 31:17, 32:15, 42:22, 69:10, 72:13 Point [4] - 78:24, 79:1, 108:8, 108:25 points [1] - 52:5 poison [1] - 38:14 poisoned [1] - 26:1 police [3] - 41:24, 71:17, 71:20 policies [1] - 13:17 policy [4] - 11:15, 20:18, 35:13, 82:12 Policy [3] - 4:21, 13:15, 58:24 political [2] - 26:18, 73:13 pollinate [1] - 69:22 pollutants [3] - 38:16, 45:6, 70:1 pollute [1] - 84:11 polluting [4] - 19:14, 20:20, 99:23, 101:10 pollution [10] - 39:23, 43:22, 44:24, 45:6, 59:22, 84:4, 84:15, 84:16, 84:22, 94:11 pond [1] - 28:21 pool [1] - 54:11 **POPE** [1] - 34:25 Pope [2] - 33:1, 34:22

popular [1] - 95:9

42:6, 89:14

populated [3] - 29:23,

population [2] - 44:15, 102:18 populations [1] -105:17 port [44] - 4:1, 6:7, 6:15, 6:20, 6:24, 7:7, 7:13, 7:14, 7:15, 7:19, 9:4, 9:7, 10:11, 10:19, 11:13, 11:15, 11:19, 11:23, 12:1, 13:1, 14:19, 14:24, 15:1, 16:19, 29:22, 31:4, 34:10, 34:21, 37:15, 39:17, 40:5, 40:9, 40:11, 44:25, 45:10, 52:13, 53:20, 54:12, 65:19, 69:9, 77:7, 84:6, 84:17, 94:5 Port [38] - 4:1, 4:9, 4:14, 4:18, 7:23, 9:4, 9:9, 9:11, 13:1, 14:9, 16:10, 20:14, 21:8, 21:14, 30:17, 38:5, 39:12, 40:2, 52:6, 52:9, 52:18, 53:12, 54:6, 54:8, 62:3, 65:7, 66:13, 67:16, 67:19, 83:20, 84:1, 95:3, 96:22, 97:24, 99:16, 114:19 portending [1] -100:20 ports [2] - 29:20, 57:2 poses [1] - 40:4 position [1] - 39:9 positions [1] - 101:7 **possibility** [1] - 97:17 possible [2] - 68:21, 97:1 possibly [1] - 33:17 post [1] - 11:8 poster [1] - 16:16 posters [1] - 14:7 potential [14] - 7:4, 7:8, 23:4, 38:22, 54:5, 54:11, 61:19, 62:6, 64:7, 84:4, 102:1, 102:7, 105:10, 105:18 potentially [5] - 40:11, 40:13, 50:15, 53:23, 102:11 pounds [1] - 50:24 power [7] - 20:15, 58:6, 59:12, 59:16, 59:17, 59:24, 59:25 powered [3] - 36:6, 49:10. 59:8 powerful [2] - 48:13,

80:10 powering [1] - 73:12 practically [1] -104:15 practice [1] - 78:18 Pratt [1] - 111:21 precautionary [2] -68:25, 69:5 precious [4] - 49:14, 68:22, 97:11, 99:1 predicts [1] - 62:21 preliminary [1] - 106:6 premium [1] - 85:14 preparation [1] -105:7 prepare [1] - 15:7 prepared [2] - 4:20, 72:11 preparing [7] - 4:22, 12:24, 13:4, 13:6, 13:12, 14:22, 16:5 Prescott [1] - 8:24 presence [1] - 95:1 present [4] - 15:25, 18:5, 53:25, 54:25 presented [1] - 76:23 presents [1] - 59:5 preserve [1] - 83:19 president [8] - 70:13, 75:18, 75:20, 76:10, 79:4, 110:11, 110:13, 110:14 President [2] - 74:19, pressure [1] - 111:8 pressuring [1] - 91:16 presumed [1] - 11:25 pretended [1] - 99:20 pretty [6] - 61:15, 62:7, 62:14, 88:5, 111:13, 112:20 prevent [2] - 11:17, 34:5 previously [3] - 13:18, 21:9, 103:24 price [4] - 51:6, 81:17, 91:13, 91:14 prices [5] - 37:20, 37:21, 37:24, 59:18, 91:12 primarily [2] - 39:24, 103:4 primary [2] - 19:24, 67:2 prime [2] - 21:11, 102:2 principle [3] - 69:1, 69:5, 69:6 Pringle [3] - 107:8,

109:18, 109:21

**PRINGLE** [1] - 109:20 **prioritizing** [1] - 19:15 priority [1] - 88:23 **Priuses** [1] - 43:2 private [3] - 27:2, 56:24, 60:11 privately [1] - 88:2 privatizing [1] - 27:4 problem [5] - 21:22, 50:14, 58:2, 74:15, 79:5 problems [2] - 35:22, 70:2 proceed [3] - 18:7, 32:19 proceedings [1] -114:23 process [27] - 4:1, 4:18, 6:12, 10:7, 10:20, 12:1, 12:15, 12:16, 13:4, 14:9, 15:6, 16:8, 18:4, 26:1, 26:18, 28:2, 36:25, 43:19, 74:17, 75:4, 84:5, 86:7, 89:24, 95:13, 95:14, 110:21 **processed** [1] - 4:15 processes [2] - 6:16, 26:19 processing [2] - 6:20, 12:7 produce [4] - 111:23, 112:6, 112:8, 112:9 produced [2] - 59:21, 111:19 produces [2] - 45:9, 112:3 producing [4] - 25:6, 34:1, 35:24, 64:12 product [3] - 59:24, 100:7, 100:8 production [4] - 26:9, 34:3, 37:5, 112:5 products [1] - 82:23 professional [2] -87:7, 89:18 Professor [1] - 111:21 professor [1] - 87:8 profit [5] - 39:8, 51:17, 55:25, 88:4, 109:10 profitable [2] - 37:21, 100:15 profits [1] - 73:15 program [2] - 11:21, 64:22 programs [1] - 4:3 progress [1] - 66:6 progressive [1] -101:2

Project [3] - 50:1, 73:16, 106:4 project [125] - 4:8, 4:12, 6:1, 6:15, 6:18, 8:21, 10:12, 10:18, 12:14, 13:2, 13:11, 14:21, 15:3, 15:5, 19:1, 19:3, 19:20, 20:10, 20:13, 20:16, 23:21, 24:10, 24:23, 24:24, 25:5, 25:13, 25:16, 25:18, 25:23, 26:11, 26:24, 27:4, 27:6, 27:10, 28:10, 30:1, 30:4, 30:14, 32:12, 34:20, 36:8, 36:25, 38:5, 38:21, 40:4, 40:21, 42:11, 43:23, 45:17, 46:3, 46:13, 46:14, 46:19, 49:24, 50:4, 50:21, 51:3, 52:6, 52:8, 52:10, 53:25, 54:4, 54:23, 54:24, 55:7, 55:9, 55:13, 58:2, 63:8, 66:4, 66:13, 68:7, 69:4, 71:19, 76:21, 77:1, 77:4, 77:9, 77:12, 77:15, 77:16, 77:19, 77:21, 78:2, 78:3, 78:9, 80:20, 81:2, 81:10, 83:25, 85:24, 86:6, 86:8, 86:9, 86:15, 86:20, 86:24, 87:8, 88:22, 88:25, 90:2, 91:5, 92:21, 96:4, 96:17, 96:22, 97:20, 97:25, 98:9, 98:19, 99:7, 103:23, 105:12, 106:16, 106:25, 107:21, 108:5, 108:13, 108:14, 109:7, 110:2, 110:17 project's [2] - 76:23, 77:10 projections [2] - 31:8, 32:4 projects [13] - 8:6, 14:21, 25:19, 26:7, 53:6, 61:11, 71:12, 76:16, 76:19, 91:2, 108:17, 113:13, 113:24 prolonged [1] - 113:5 **promise** [1] - 93:2 promises [2] - 29:6, 30:22 promote [1] - 66:7

88:9, 90:6 properties [3] - 66:24, 101:10, 107:15 proponent [4] - 6:14, 10:14, 33:14, 68:25 proposal [23] - 9:13, 21:5, 21:13, 22:3, 22:4, 22:5, 36:16, 36:20, 40:3, 54:9, 54:22, 55:14, 56:12, 67:4, 67:5, 67:15, 67:20, 79:22, 83:20, 93:24, 106:8, 107:18, 109:14 proposals [2] - 51:13, 79:6 propose [2] - 105:16, 108:5 proposed [23] - 4:9, 4:11, 4:14, 5:1, 5:4, 6:17, 6:23, 9:9, 13:1, 13:11, 18:25, 20:10, 40:8, 52:9, 52:14, 52:18, 54:9, 58:4, 77:20, 77:23, 89:11, 90:1, 105:13 proposing [4] - 21:7, 25:4, 27:9, 65:19 **Prosperity** [1] - 68:12 prostitutes [1] - 101:6 protect [11] - 24:4, 42:11, 65:1, 65:16, 65:22, 83:18, 94:9, 94:22, 96:14, 96:19, 97:12 protecting [1] - 23:15 protection [4] - 4:4, 39:10, 68:5, 98:23 protects [1] - 109:11 proud [4] - 67:11, 74:5, 75:21 proven [3] - 48:3, 99:23, 113:7 provide [6] - 5:12, 6:10, 13:3, 15:23, 67:13, 77:23 provided [3] - 14:7, 45:4, 79:24 provides [1] - 13:22 providing [4] - 14:4, 26:23, 40:19, 76:14 proximity [2] - 52:9, 84:3 public [34] - 5:6, 6:1, 6:3, 6:4, 7:3, 8:8, 13:3, 13:22, 13:24,

15:8, 15:14, 15:15,

15:23, 16:1, 16:3,

promoting [1] - 20:17

properly [3] - 44:16,

16:8, 16:25, 20:11, 21:17, 26:16, 28:4, 32:16, 32:18, 40:22, 41:6, 46:22, 76:24, 77:3, 80:22, 91:8, 92:14, 94:16, 106:11, 114:19 **Public** [1] - 13:15 publication [4] -13:17, 58:23, 58:24, 59:5 **publish** [1] - 15:8 published [4] - 5:16, 13:25, 56:11, 64:2 pull [1] - 12:12 purports [1] - 28:10 purpose [1] - 35:7 **Purpose** [1] - 59:2 purposes [1] - 5:21 **pursuit** [1] - 39:10 **pushes** [1] - 35:15 pushing [1] - 46:14 Put [2] - 37:19, 86:2 put [13] - 8:13, 9:23, 27:7, 31:1, 39:14, 47:13, 51:6, 68:17, 82:10, 85:10, 85:21, 85:22, 111:22 putting [2] - 38:17, 85:1 puzzle [2] - 71:5, 71:6

#### Q

Quaeda [1] - 102:8 quantifiable [1] - 51:4 quantify [1] - 51:5 quantitative [1] - 61:6 quarter [1] - 81:20 questions [3] - 8:15, 12:20, 16:1 quickly [5] - 37:9, 72:25, 73:17, 73:20, 112:4 quiet [2] - 27:20, 75:15 quite [6] - 24:8, 50:25, 75:18, 75:24, 98:19, 112:4 Quite [2] - 22:24, 23:17 Quote [1] - 102:7 quote [7] - 53:17, 54:4, 54:24, 62:13, 62:14, 65:25, 66:8 quoted [1] - 29:15 quoting [2] - 89:8, 102:5

## R

R-e-m-m [1] - 33:2 R-e-u-m-a-n [1] - 98:6 Rachel [3] - 48:25, 49:17, 49:19 radically [1] - 62:15 radioactive [1] - 38:13 rainbow [1] - 48:2 raised [1] - 85:5 raising [1] - 114:3 rallies [1] - 32:18 rally [1] - 32:17 range [1] - 14:23 rank [1] - 85:13 rapidly [1] - 102:25 Rapp [3] - 98:4, 99:10, 99:11 **RAPP** [1] - 99:12 rate [1] - 78:19 rates [1] - 86:1 rather [3] - 50:25, 67:6, 85:12 rationally [1] - 86:23 re [2] - 50:19, 91:4 re-erected [1] - 50:19 re-passed [1] - 91:4 read [7] - 8:23, 9:5, 13:24, 91:6, 91:10, 91:15, 111:21 readily [1] - 64:13 ready [3] - 21:20, 30:10, 90:20 real [5] - 29:21, 32:8, 35:22, 51:4, 74:10 Realistically [1] -89:23 reality [3] - 28:12, 29:8, 86:12 realize [5] - 60:14, 60:19, 62:5, 83:25, 114.5 really [30] - 24:1, 24:8, 33:24, 34:16, 35:7, 35:10, 35:17, 36:1, 41:14, 42:12, 42:16, 51:18, 65:16, 65:20, 72:10, 73:5, 75:16, 87:11, 87:12, 87:16, 87:17, 87:25, 88:5, 88:10, 93:7, 110:15 reason [8] - 8:19, 22:7, 23:1, 37:12, 38:4, 73:11, 74:18, 111:20 reasonable [1] - 40:20 reasons [7] - 10:16, 17:5, 29:25, 93:25,

94:1, 103:23, 113:2

receive [3] - 5:8, 10:14, 15:20 received [1] - 5:14 recent [4] - 53:1, 105:2, 112:23, 113:9 recently [4] - 37:14, 52:22, 52:24, 100:9 Recently [1] - 84:14 recognize [3] - 61:9, 72:15 recommend [1] - 37:1 record [6] - 6:1, 8:13, 10:25, 11:1, 16:3, 94:8 recorded [1] - 14:3 recovering [3] - 63:6, 64:9, 95:24 recovery [1] - 55:11 recreation [4] - 14:16, 47:13, 65:24, 105:10 **Recreation** [1] - 47:10 recreational [7] -40:12, 41:25, 42:5, 84:19, 104:9, 105:9, 105:17 recreationally [1] -83:24 red [4] - 33:13, 65:11, 65:13, 101:14 Red [1] - 90:25 reduce [5] - 19:18, 40:1, 59:16, 77:16, 90:14 Reese [1] - 47:12 reevaluated [2] -31:11, 31:16 referred [2] - 72:24, 76:24 refrain [1] - 17:13 refugees [1] - 62:22 refused [1] - 99:6 refute [1] - 76:22 regard [1] - 99:17 regarding [6] - 6:11, 77:1, 77:5, 77:9, 77:17, 106:9 **Regardless** [1] - 105:6 region [4] - 28:17, 44:25, 52:23, 54:5 regional [1] - 15:12 Regional [1] - 41:19 Register [3] - 4:23, 5:16, 40:22 register [4] - 6:2, 13:24, 15:10, 16:12 registration [1] -15:18 regularly [1] - 44:2 regulated [1] - 74:21 regulations [5] - 7:23,

38:18, 40:4, 44:10, Safe [1] - 9:1 11:12, 46:17, 46:18, reports [2] - 63:4, responses [1] - 17:7 44:16, 52:12, 52:23, 82:13 102:5 responsibilities [2] safe [8] - 26:23, 34:14, regulations.gov [1] repossessed [1] -8:2. 14:22 53:18, 54:4, 54:10, 48:11, 53:21, 82:18, 5:25 85:17 54:14, 61:7, 63:1, 101:3, 109:14, responsibility [6] -Reik [2] - 55:22, 58:1 represent [1] - 56:1 64:8, 88:1 114:21 6:11, 7:24, 27:5, **REIK** [2] - 58:1, 60:4 representative [1] -50:11, 50:12, 74:10 risks [7] - 38:12, 54:1, safely [2] - 61:17, 93:6 54:25. 61:7. 61:9. reiterate [2] - 89:22, responsible [6] - 7:6, safety [14] - 7:9, 7:11, 87:9. 97:1 91:25 representatives [2] -11:8, 26:25, 102:15, 7:21, 14:17, 32:2, risky [4] - 30:2, 30:3, reject [6] - 10:22, 18:10, 46:12 103:7, 103:9 34:17, 46:5, 52:11, 99:1 10:23, 37:2, 66:13, representing [4] rest [2] - 88:15, 101:2 53:12, 56:7, 56:9, Rittenbach [2] -95:5, 97:24 22:1, 61:24, 79:3, restating [1] - 32:21 103:4, 105:3, 105:4 101:17, 101:23 rejected [4] - 26:11, 90:25 **SAFFERT** [2] - 43:14, restaurant [1] - 108:21 36:19, 67:20, 96:17 represents [2] - 62:3, RITTENBACH [1] -45:20 restore [1] - 83:19 related [2] - 13:7, 79:9 restores [1] - 109:11 101:22 Saffert [1] - 43:14 River [1] - 82:17 79:10 request [4] - 37:15, restrict [1] - 56:9 sailing [1] - 102:17 relating [1] - 4:4 41:4, 106:8, 106:11 river [1] - 28:20 **salesman** [1] - 75:20 result [5] - 39:22, **Relations** [1] - 102:6 road [1] - 23:9 requested [1] - 15:13 salts [1] - 71:4 44:9, 72:17, 102:10, Robert [10] - 30:10, requesting [2] - 15:17, Salty's [1] - 108:19 relative [1] - 81:23 102:13 32:22, 32:23, 32:25, relatively [2] - 85:22, 96:16 resulted [1] - 102:14 **San** [1] - 41:15 33:1, 33:2, 87:2, sand [2] - 42:13, 56:5 104:11 require [5] - 9:13, resulting [1] - 37:9 88:14, 88:15 30:20, 53:21, released [2] - 40:23, resurrected [1] - 91:3 Sandy [16] - 28:6, Rockaway [2] - 58:3, 58:14 102:23, 107:3 40:15, 47:17, 53:2, retired [2] - 82:8, 87:6 reliability [1] - 14:17 required [4] - 4:18, return [1] - 15:22 72:7 54:10, 55:11, 63:6, Rockwell [1] - 75:23 10:15, 32:3, 40:9 64:10, 73:2, 84:15, reliance [4] - 66:5, Reuman [2] - 96:9, Rockwellian [1] requirement [1] -88:7, 90:11, 95:16, 67:12, 69:12, 69:25 98:6 106:23 75:22 95:18, 104:6, 104:7 relocated [2] - 68:14, **REUMAN** [1] - 98:5 requirements [5] -Roddy's [1] - 8:25 68:15 Sandys [2] - 69:14 revenue [1] - 65:23 12:9, 16:18, 16:19, Roger [2] - 70:13, **SAUER** [1] - 49:1 relocating [1] - 68:16 review [15] - 4:19, rely [5] - 75:8, 83:8, 35:11, 107:2 70:20 Sauer [1] - 49:1 6:16, 7:14, 7:18, 83:23, 84:19, 113:6 requires [1] - 98:9 role [2] - 7:2, 33:22 Save [1] - 109:10 9:13, 15:8, 15:15, rescind [1] - 55:17 roof [1] - 48:7 remain [4] - 21:13, 30:24, 31:5, 31:24, save [1] - 81:12 research [2] - 91:9, rooftops [1] - 112:6 53:15, 79:5, 101:11 32:6, 67:15, 88:9, saveenergy.org [1] room [9] - 14:7, 18:11, remained [1] - 55:5 94:23 107:3 93:3 25:14, 34:20, 46:19, researching [1] - 35:4 remaining [1] - 59:14 reviewed [1] - 58:23 Saver [1] - 47:1 46:20, 92:19, 107:4, remember [4] - 47:23, reside [1] - 83:22 reviewers [5] - 44:5, saving [1] - 59:22 68:11, 80:23, 102:14 residency [1] - 89:13 108:2 44:15, 44:18, 45:2, savings [1] - 61:19 resident [7] - 63:21, roots [1] - 61:25 remind [2] - 17:24, 45:13 saw [2] - 90:8, 95:19 32:16 87:5, 89:5, 93:20, revised [2] - 55:6, Roseland [1] - 50:18 Scale [1] - 52:20 route [1] - 74:23 Remm [2] - 30:10, 99:15, 101:24, 109:8 106:12 **scale** [1] - 49:9 33:2 residents [5] - 40:24, routes [1] - 14:25 revisit [1] - 37:13 scallops [1] - 79:23 **REMM** [2] - 32:24, 49:3, 55:1, 89:13, routing [1] - 7:13 revocation [1] - 30:25 scam [1] - 101:8 107:23 33:2 rule [1] - 90:3 revoked [1] - 30:18 **scare** [2] - 86:4, 86:12 resilient [1] - 111:16 rules [2] - 8:10, 22:8 removed [1] - 11:10 rhetoric [4] - 34:14, scares [1] - 93:7 resolution [2] - 91:2, run [2] - 84:18, 110:14 renewable [11] -34:15, 51:7, 51:9 scenario [2] - 102:16, 91:4 19:12, 19:14, 20:19, Rice [1] - 103:11 running [2] - 110:11, 102:20 23:19, 36:7, 40:1, resolutions [1] -110:12 Richter [1] - 52:20 SCHAMBACH [1] -108:17 66:2, 66:4, 67:13, Rick [1] - 91:16 runs [2] - 98:24, 93:18 99:7, 99:8 Resource [1] - 15:25 108:24 rid [1] - 51:12 Schambach [1] -Renewable [1] resource [3] - 13:8, rural [2] - 26:9, 99:25 93:18 Rider [8] - 94:19, 47:6, 77:23 rush [4] - 33:7, 33:20, 111:15 94:20, 94:21, 96:11, **Schanbach** [1] - 92:3 renewables [5] resources [10] -68:20, 111:7 96:14, 96:17, **Schilling** [1] - 101:20 69:15, 73:10, 73:11, 14:13, 14:15, 19:12, Rutgers [1] - 66:23 103:21, 103:24 school [1] - 94:16 73:18, 73:19 29:17, 39:10, 49:15, **Ridges** [1] - 23:23 science [2] - 13:5, replenishment [1] -55:1, 66:1, 68:19, S ridiculous [1] - 27:4 94:17 76:19 87:10 Ridley [1] - 80:18 science-based [1] respect [1] - 75:2 report [4] - 29:14, rights [2] - 36:4, 92:11 13:5 respond [1] - 8:14 S-c-h-a-m-b-a-c-h [1] 29:16, 63:24, 102:16 ring [1] - 64:11 sciences [1] - 13:8 - 93:19 reported [1] - 62:11 responders [2] - 54:3, rise [3] - 37:20, 62:12, scientific [2] - 58:24, sabotage [1] - 54:6 reporter [4] - 8:12, 54:13 83:12 88:21 sacrificial [1] - 42:8 17:21, 18:19, 68:1 response [1] - 95:16 risk [16] - 7:7, 7:9, scientist [1] - 43:15

scope [2] - 5:9, 5:13 scoping [10] - 5:8, 12:16, 13:23, 14:1, 23:14, 31:2, 36:25, 41:2, 92:10, 114:19 Scott [4] - 74:2, 76:7, 76:8, 76:9 scuba [1] - 56:1 sea [8] - 44:10, 58:8, 62:12, 65:17, 68:13, 75:1, 88:21, 96:24 **Sea** [3] - 70:21, 70:22, 93:22 **seaboard** [1] - 34:5 Seafood [3] - 79:2, 79:3, 79:9 Sean [5] - 21:3, 27:13, 30:9, 30:11 Seattle [1] - 41:15 seawater [1] - 96:24 second [5] - 33:11, 74:1, 78:21, 107:16, 112:22 Second [4] - 31:6, 37:16, 52:18, 77:17 secondary [1] - 23:4 secondly [1] - 17:3 Secondly [1] - 88:5 seconds [5] - 8:23, 17:8, 24:18, 32:10, 32:12 secretaries [1] - 11:22 secretary [3] - 10:10, 55:17, 103:20 Section [1] - 55:16 sectors [1] - 59:8 security [19] - 7:9, 7:11. 7:16. 7:21. 11:14, 29:8, 29:17, 29:21, 30:3, 32:2, 36:1, 40:4, 51:9, 54:1, 55:1, 56:8, 56:10, 62:20, 102:1 Security [1] - 54:3 sediments [1] - 14:13 see [21] - 17:3, 17:11, 22:23, 23:21, 23:23, 27:8, 35:22, 42:18, 50:6, 50:10, 56:4, 57:12, 62:21, 69:11, 69:21, 71:3, 73:3, 73:5, 85:9, 86:6 seeing [5] - 50:18, 73:3, 73:4, 73:6, 107:14 seek [2] - 5:6, 7:2 seeking [1] - 38:1 seem [4] - 73:15, 86:7, 102:2, 102:3 **segment** [3] - 25:16,

25:19, 26:10 segregation [1] -106:13 seismic [1] - 52:22 self [1] - 29:17 self-imposed [1] -29:17 sell [5] - 25:9, 25:10, 25:11, 60:23, 110:3 selling [2] - 69:18, 81:14 sending [1] - 58:12 senior [2] - 49:2, 49:3 sense [9] - 25:5, 28:21, 35:9, 91:20, 91:21, 95:25, 103:13, 104:25, 112:15 sensitive [1] - 65:21 sent [4] - 8:25, 9:1, 15:11, 15:21 serious [1] - 98:12 **serve** [1] - 109:9 served [1] - 108:15 Service [2] - 68:10, 79:25 service [1] - 111:9 servicing [1] - 34:12 set [1] - 30:21 seven [2] - 53:7, 107:15 Several [1] - 44:1 several [3] - 52:21, 108:18, 108:21 severe [1] - 72:22 severely [1] - 53:18 Shale [3] - 23:5, 28:19, 38:9 **shale** [4] - 23:6, 37:19, 37:22, 64:15 shall [1] - 55:17 **shame** [1] - 110:15 **share** [2] - 50:24, 65:2 shares [1] - 52:6 **sheet** [2] - 76:25, 77:6 shell [1] - 91:13 **shellfish** [1] - 56:6 **ship** [8] - 22:12, 23:11, 44:16, 56:13, 56:15, 57:11, 57:15, 108:3 shipping [6] - 22:22, 40:5, 53:24, 54:12, 80:7, 89:21 ships [12] - 29:18, 34:12, 40:9, 52:10, 52:12, 56:11, 56:17,

56:20, 57:21, 58:11,

shirt [2] - 101:18,

74:24

101:20

shocks [1] - 52:21 **shop** [1] - 108:22 **Shore** [10] - 19:23, 28:4, 28:7, 79:1, 94:20, 94:23, 94:25, 95:2, 95:24, 103:21 shore [21] - 4:10, 14:25, 32:2, 47:16, 65:15, 65:22, 68:10, 69:10, 70:22, 80:13, 87:6, 88:7, 92:6, 93:20, 94:8, 96:13, 98:25, 107:21, 107:22, 108:10, 108:19 **shoreline** [1] - 94:6 shores [1] - 88:4 short [4] - 63:10, 67:10, 67:14, 112:21 **shortage** [1] - 33:6 shortages [1] - 62:24 **shoulder** [2] - 99:15 **show** [3] - 45:17, 80:4, 109:24 showing [1] - 89:18 **shown** [2] - 40:15, 91:11 shows [1] - 57:1 sic [1] - 40:7 sick [2] - 48:12, 113:17 **side** [1] - 70:17 **sides** [2] - 56:14, 108:5 Sierra [2] - 21:25, 74:9 significant [6] - 9:12, 38:23, 50:15, 54:1, 58:19, 62:8 similar [6] - 4:13, 19:3, 20:13, 67:20, 69:2, 108:17 **simple** [1] - 23:15 **simply** [1] - 37:19 single [1] - 31:20 singular [1] - 84:16 sinking [2] - 53:18, 54:11 sister [1] - 74:8 sisters [1] - 74:5 sit [3] - 38:8, 49:20, 86:23 Site [1] - 44:23 site [8] - 45:16, 53:7, 79:20, 80:12, 84:1, 105:15, 114:5 sites [2] - 65:4, 65:5 sitting [1] - 92:24 situated [1] - 80:6 situation [5] - 72:14, 72:16, 72:19, 72:21,

85:12 Six [1] - 53:24 **six** [10] - 23:7, 25:10, 32:4, 32:7, 52:5, 74:24, 79:14, 91:14, 108:16, 108:25 **size** [2] - 80:8, 110:20 **sizeable** [1] - 27:25 skeptical [1] - 113:3 skill [1] - 109:6 sleep [1] - 8:10 sleeping [1] - 17:15 **slow** [1] - 40:6 **small** [9] - 44:9, 93:22, 97:7, 97:10, 102:25, 103:3, 104:11, 108:18, 109:12 smaller [2] - 25:19 **smallest** [1] - 100:24 Smith [6] - 60:9, 61:21, 61:24, 103:17, 104:3, 104:5 **SMITH** [2] - 61:23, 104:5 smog [1] - 45:6 snuck [1] - 88:6 social [3] - 51:2, 51:3, 87:10 societies [1] - 101:4 socioeconomic [3] -26:2, 26:6, 31:12 socioeconomics [1] -14:15 solar [14] - 33:13, 33:15, 33:16, 35:21, 35:23, 47:24, 59:12, 59:14, 69:18, 69:25, 70:5, 83:1, 112:5, 112:7 sold [1] - 29:4 **Soldiers** [1] - 75:12 solicit [1] - 16:9 **solidarity** [1] - 100:22 someday [2] - 47:24, 48:1 someone [1] - 94:1 **Someone** [1] - 95:8 **someplace** [1] - 18:11 Sometimes [1] -113:17 **sometimes** [1] - 60:18 somewhat [1] -113:11 somewhere [1] -81:21 sons [1] - 34:10 soon [2] - 68:21, 110:21

sorry [8] - 18:12,

32:14, 34:19, 42:9,

73:25, 78:20, 97:14, 104:3 **Sorry** [1] - 72:4 sorts [1] - 50:17 Sound [2] - 44:20, 56:12 source [3] - 47:8, 59:10, 59:13 sources [9] - 19:14, 20:19, 20:23, 35:16, 37:18, 59:14, 63:12, 66:6, 83:4 South [3] - 25:2, 65:13, 96:12 southwest [1] - 98:24 **Spain** [1] - 48:4 spare [1] - 104:25 **speaker** [1] - 114:15 speakers [3] - 24:15, 89:6, 104:18 speaking [9] - 28:9, 30:13, 39:6, 81:1, 91:8, 94:19, 114:6, 114:7 speaks [1] - 72:12 **special** [1] - 7:13 specialists [1] - 13:7 species [15] - 14:14, 31:20, 40:14, 44:1, 44:7, 65:9, 65:10, 65:17, 65:19, 80:1, 80:15, 80:19, 83:22 **specific** [2] - 16:16, 44:23 specifically [2] -65:14, 102:8 specificity [1] - 32:3 specifics [1] - 31:25 speech [1] - 78:17 speed [1] - 21:18 spell [12] - 18:17, 21:22, 36:11, 67:25, 70:8, 72:1, 81:7, 83:14, 88:16, 89:3, 93:16, 110:25 **spelling** [1] - 18:19 spend [3] - 50:25, 85:7, 97:10 **spending** [3] - 50:3, 50:8, 51:19 spent [1] - 92:6 **spill** [7] - 19:23, 20:5, 24:7, 24:8, 53:13, 53:14, 70:4 spoken [3] - 74:12, 91:7, 100:7 **sponsor** [1] - 21:11 **sponsoring** [1] - 46:2 **sport** [2] - 56:3, 56:9 spot [1] - 111:7

spread [2] - 38:16,
62:24 <b>spur</b> [2] - 37:7, 91:14
square [1] - 28:11
squid [1] - 79:23
stabilize [1] - 59:18
staff [2] - 43:15, 90:13
stages [1] - 78:2
stand [6] - 42:15,
51:11, 66:11, 74:7,
76:15, 99:14
standard [1] - 45:1
standards [4] - 7:15,
29:18, 61:14, 61:15
stands [1] - 74:10
Stanford [1] - 59:4
stark [1] - 58:4
start [4] - 12:16, 47:3,
48:7, 49:8
started [2] - 8:8, 41:13
State [10] - 4:3, 52:17,
59:20, 59:21, 63:22,
73:19, 79:2, 79:8,
80:8, 109:22
<b>state</b> [29] - 5:7, 7:3,
7:5, 11:22, 13:21,
15:12, 19:7, 19:11,
20:1, 20:15, 22:21,
36:23, 40:24, 49:4,
49:24, 50:4, 54:1,
54:2, 57:5, 59:21,
66:3, 77:10, 85:10,
85:11, 85:22, 86:24,
105:11, 112:6
state's [1] - 54:25
State's [3] - 59:1,
59:7, 59:16
statement [7] - 18:22,
21:5, 22:17, 30:13,
52:13, 76:24, 77:19
<b>Statement</b> [3] - 4:20,
12:25, 39:20
statements [3] - 8:11,
31:13, 76:25
states [7] - 11:4,
11:25, 57:4, 59:9,
77:6, 105:15, 106:16
<b>States</b> [3] - 25:9,
28:12, 87:20
stating [1] - 77:13
<b>station</b> [1] - 50:19
stations [3] - 75:13,
78:12
statistics [1] - 111:21
status [1] - 90:15
stay [1] - 109:6
Steel [1] - 58:6
steel [2] - 53:17, 58:7
step [2] - 15:6, 95:5
Stevens [1] - 48:11
ĺ

stewardship [1] -	submarine [1] - 41:21
74:10	submit [3] - 14:5,
stick [1] - 86:16	46:24, 91:5
stifle [1] - 66:4	submitted [2] - 5:24,
still [16] - 8:16, 44:7,	50:5
44:23, 47:19, 48:1,	submitting [2] - 4:25,
51:14, 55:4, 55:8,	106:7
55:11, 63:6, 64:9,	subsidizing [1] - 49:7
69:19, 70:14, 75:23,	substantial [4] -
95:24, 113:1	12:17, 54:25, 89:15,
stone [1] - 30:21	90:4
<b>Stone</b> [1] - 23:23	substantive [1] - 7:19
<b>stop</b> [5] - 32:15,	successful [1] -
45:16, 65:12, 82:23,	102:12
83:3	successfully [1] - 19:8
stopped [1] - 55:14	suddenly [2] - 43:1,
stops [1] - 12:7	70:5
storage [1] - 111:11 stores [1] - 108:22	suffered [1] - 113:1
storm [1] - 89:22	suffering [1] - 99:19 sufficiency [1] - 11:14
storms [5] - 40:17,	suicide [1] - 103:2
54:10, 62:11, 73:3,	Sullivan [1] - 58:1
90:10	summary [1] - 13:23
story [5] - 37:25,	summer [2] - 65:24,
107:16, 108:9,	109:2
108:10, 113:21	summers [1] - 92:6
straight [1] - 58:12	summertime [1] -
<b>strangle</b> [1] - 48:5	108:23
strategies [1] - 7:10	<b>sun</b> [1] - 73:16
strategy [1] - 87:15	Sunlight [1] - 59:3
stream [1] - 33:11	sunlight [1] - 59:8
street [1] - 67:1	supercedes [1] - 31:1
Street [1] - 87:7 stresses [1] - 44:12	superfund [1] - 65:4
strict [1] - 82:12	Superstorm [7] -
strictly [1] - 9:25	40:14, 47:17, 63:5, 69:14, 73:2, 84:15,
strike [1] - 38:10	90:10
strikes [3] - 44:10,	superstorm [2] - 23:3,
44:14, 44:16	53:2
strikingly [1] - 19:3	<b>supervisor</b> [1] - 64:22
stringent [1] - 12:9	supplier [1] - 49:11
strive [1] - 96:19	supplies [1] - 38:15
<b>strives</b> [1] - 84:8	<b>supply</b> [4] - 23:2,
<b>strong</b> [3] - 24:5, 73:9,	60:13, 62:16, 87:23
99:15	<b>support</b> [5] - 34:20,
strongly [6] - 35:2,	46:12, 46:13, 89:10,
39:25, 40:25, 72:9,	94:8
79:5, 99:15 <b>structural</b> [1] - 53:17	<b>supported</b> [3] - 36:20, 99:25, 109:12
structure [1] - 53:17	supporters [1] - 22:2
struggled [1] - 84:14	supporting [4] - 39:9,
studies [2] - 13:7,	44:19, 46:6, 67:16
91:11	supports [2] - 39:25,
study [2] - 53:12,	96:5
53:19	supposed [5] - 22:13,
stuff [4] - 47:24,	22:15, 47:15, 78:18,
80:14, 90:13, 110:3	91:19
-1-1 100.01	a compand to to

style [1] - 102:21

50:13, 51:20

subject [3] - 44:12,

surf [1] - 94:18

Surf [8] - 94:18, 94:20, 94:21, 96:11, 96:14, 96:17, 103:20, 103:24 surfer [1] - 94:17 **surprised** [1] - 20:10 surreal [1] - 108:9 **survival** [1] - 75:5 survive [3] - 68:23, 68:24, 71:14 **survivor** [1] - 63:22 sustainable [7] -42:23, 43:5, 51:21, 63:12, 66:7, 99:8, 101:3 Sustainable [1] - 50:1 sustains [2] - 19:24, 71:11 swaths [1] - 20:8 **sway** [1] - 77:3 Swedish [1] - 108:1 sweet [1] - 112:21 **swim** [1] - 109:5 switch [9] - 22:10, 28:22, 55:12, 67:8, 75:1, 91:23, 104:15, 109:25, 110:1 switched [1] - 36:6 symbolic [1] - 42:12 **syndrome** [1] - 83:11 System [2] - 5:25, 64:2 system [2] - 111:18, 112:1

#### Т

T-a-k-a-s-h [1] - 89:4 tackling [1] - 108:11 tactic [1] - 86:12 tactics [1] - 86:4 tag [1] - 33:13 Tak [1] - 88:14 **TAKASH** [1] - 89:4 Takash [1] - 89:4 tall [3] - 52:17, 74:7, 75:14 tank [3] - 53:14, 110:7, 110:21 tanker [6] - 44:8, 45:9, 58:11, 80:7, 102:12, 102:17 tankers [4] - 45:5, 52:16, 72:17, 94:5 target [1] - 102:2 targets [2] - 102:9, 102:10 tax [1] - 113:24 taxes [2] - 113:11,

114:11 taxpayer [4] - 100:16, 109:8, 113:10 taxpayers [4] - 49:7, 74:15, 85:23, 100:16 teach [1] - 94:16 teacher [2] - 78:18, 94:16 teachers [1] - 36:3 team [1] - 13:7 tech [1] - 17:7 **Tech** [2] - 12:23, 13:5 Tech's [1] - 13:2 technical [1] - 59:6 technologies [3] -59:12, 66:5, 99:2 technology [6] - 15:2, 22:11, 24:2, 48:3, 67:12, 99:4 tempted [1] - 38:20 ten [3] - 32:5, 32:7, 113:13 tenure [1] - 19:5 term [6] - 32:7, 36:5, 62:20, 67:10, 108:6 terminal [12] - 9:10, 9:24, 21:6, 21:7, 21:14, 40:9, 41:24, 95:3, 102:1, 102:2, 103:14, 114:4 terminals [3] - 57:2, 102:8, 102:9 terms [5] - 8:21, 10:7, 18:2, 18:5, 18:7 terrible [1] - 75:16 terrifying [1] - 63:5 terrorist [4] - 72:18, 102:12, 103:7, 107:3 terrorists [3] - 80:9, 102:2, 102:17 testified [1] - 68:9 testify [1] - 107:19 testimony [1] - 70:23 Tetra [3] - 12:23, 13:2, 13:5 Texas [1] - 76:18 Therefore [3] - 39:20, 58:18, 78:2 therefore [2] - 22:10, 52:23 they've [1] - 100:15 thinking [7] - 68:15, 71:8, 71:9, 71:10, 75:12, 75:13, 92:24 Third [1] - 53:6 third [4] - 42:17, 49:11, 90:14, 90:15 third-party [1] - 49:11 third-world [3] -

42:17, 90:14, 90:15

thorough [1] - 10:18 thousand [2] - 52:21, 99:19 thousands [1] -104:10 threat [2] - 59:11, 62:20 threaten [4] - 26:15, 26:16, 26:17, 94:5 threatened [2] - 14:14, threatening [4] -25:23, 40:13, 44:11, 84:7 threatens [1] - 40:12 threats [1] - 89:22 three [11] - 17:6, 17:20, 32:9, 35:11, 40:24, 78:15, 78:18, 89:9, 91:2, 101:19, 103:1 Three [1] - 105:22 thrive [1] - 44:21 Throughout [1] - 19:5 throughout [4] -13:20, 51:22, 84:4, 101:7 throw [1] - 50:14 thrush [1] - 65:11 tidal [1] - 59:15 tied [1] - 23:20 Tillerson [1] - 91:16 Tim [2] - 5:19, 12:22 tired [2] - 49:7, 99:13 title [1] - 58:25 Tittel [4] - 21:19, 21:24, 74:9, 89:7 **TITTEL** [2] - 21:24 today [23] - 8:22, 14:3, 22:1, 22:12, 28:8, 28:12, 29:11, 30:13, 36:15, 36:22, 55:10, 61:24, 66:10, 70:10, 70:24, 79:5, 96:20, 98:1, 98:16, 99:14, 101:9, 113:2, 114:11 today's [1] - 28:16 together [6] - 6:20, 17:23, 39:19, 71:7, 85:2, 113:18 **Tom** [8] - 67:25, 70:7, 78:21, 81:6, 81:7, 81:8 tomorrow [3] - 28:9, 70:20, 71:2 tonight [22] - 5:21, 12:16, 12:19, 14:5, 16:23, 72:7, 74:7, 86:4, 86:8, 89:6, 89:20, 90:5, 94:19,

94:25, 99:13, 104:18, 107:10, 107:18, 108:11, 110:15, 112:23, 113:20 tons [1] - 45:5 took [2] - 23:24, 47:9 tool [1] - 41:19 top [3] - 23:24, 103:5, 105:3 topics [1] - 14:12 tops [1] - 85:13 totally [1] - 43:3 touch [1] - 61:5 touches [1] - 49:24 tourism [5] - 19:24, 20:4, 20:6, 94:6, 105:11 tourists [1] - 97:10 towards [4] - 20:18, 26:14, 69:17, 101:2 tower [1] - 52:10 town [2] - 107:12, 111:8 toxic [4] - 38:13, 64:24, 65:2, 66:14 toxins [3] - 45:7, 75:8, 113:6 track [2] - 79:25, 80:2 Trade [1] - 76:11 trade [3] - 57:4, 57:6 traffic [2] - 41:21, 44:9 trained [1] - 102:23 transcripts [1] - 6:4 transfer [2] - 63:11, 106:22 transparency [2] -74:16 transport [1] - 111:20 Transportation [1] -89:17 transportation [5] -10:10, 14:15, 34:8, 34:13, 95:13 transporting [1] - 34:4 trapping [3] - 58:12, 58:15, 58:17 travel [1] - 89:15 traveled [1] - 107:10 traversing [1] - 52:16 trawl [1] - 80:3 treated [2] - 23:12, 96:24

trees [2] - 69:22, 75:14

tremendous [1] - 25:8

trends [1] - 53:1

trip [1] - 114:21

87:12

trojan [2] - 28:22,

trouble [2] - 24:15,

64:4 troubled [1] - 100:23 troubles [1] - 91:17 truckloads [1] - 38:13 true [3] - 30:15, 35:18, 95:10 truly [2] - 31:2, 31:3 try [3] - 17:4, 21:18, 104:23 trying [4] - 22:15, 24:25, 25:18, 42:19 Trying [1] - 110:14 tug [1] - 82:8 tugboat [1] - 108:4 turbines [3] - 54:10, 58:8, 69:18 **turfs** [1] - 56:8 turn [8] - 16:24, 17:1, 21:16, 24:14, 66:13, 70:18, 83:1, 114:16 turns [1] - 75:19 turret [1] - 57:9 turtles [5] - 44:11, 65:17, 80:17, 80:18 TV [1] - 107:14 twice [6] - 5:23, 75:18, 90:17, 101:19, 112:17, 113:1 Twice [1] - 105:22 two [21] - 4:11, 11:25, 14:9, 18:10, 22:21, 36:19, 42:3, 56:12, 57:8, 62:22, 66:23, 67:20, 80:6, 92:7, 97:5, 103:1, 106:1, 111:23, 112:5, 112:8, 114:6 type [2] - 19:17, 50:21 types [5] - 20:23, 50:17, 51:12, 111:16, 113:18 typically [2] - 108:23, 108:25

## U

U.S [13] - 12:23, 13:12, 13:16, 13:18, 15:17, 15:21, 16:4, 29:10, 37:25, 38:4, 63:1, 98:21, 102:5 ultimately [2] - 6:22, 87:17 unacceptable [2] - 50:8, 54:25 uncaptured [1] - 58:13 unconventional [1] - 37:18

Under [1] - 20:13 under [15] - 4:1, 4:8, 8:7, 9:13, 11:9, 14:7, 16:17, 23:8, 30:17, 35:11, 63:23, 65:12, 75:9, 91:14, 100:5 underfunded [2] -29:13, 103:6 underground [1] -38:15 undermine [2] - 23:17, 66:6 undermines [1] - 99:7 understaffed [1] -29:13 underutilized [1] -22:9 underway [1] - 55:12 undue [1] - 94:2 uneconomical [1] -91:22 Unemployment [1] -85:13 **Unemployment-wise** [1] - 85:13 Unfortunately [1] -30:17 unfounded [1] - 34:19 union [10] - 36:3, 46:5, 76:12, 76:17, 77:22, 97:15, 98:7, 98:10, 107:25, 108:1 **Union** [1] - 68:3 Unions [1] - 76:14 unique [2] - 85:21, 112:25 United [4] - 25:9, 28:12, 72:5, 87:20 units [1] - 29:16 University [3] - 59:4, 66:23, 87:8

unknown [1] - 29:3

unless [3] - 21:21,

81:19, 110:12

unlike [1] - 31:19

unmolested [1] -

unprecedented [1] -

unreasonably [1] -

unregulated [1] -

unsafe [1] - 99:23

unstable [1] - 42:16

unsustainable [1] -

untruths [1] - 100:21

unwelcome [1] -

101:12

62:24

11:16

74:23

95:8

unknowns [1] - 69:3

up [44] - 17:14, 21:19, 24:14, 31:18, 31:22, 36:10, 39:3, 41:20, 42:25, 48:24, 52:1, 60:2. 62:12. 64:24. 65:2. 68:18. 68:21. 70:20, 70:23, 71:16, 72:17, 72:25, 76:15, 80:8, 80:11, 80:21, 82:14, 82:16, 82:17, 85:25, 95:17, 95:21, 96:13, 96:24, 97:22, 98:3, 105:15, 107:18, 107:19, 108:25, 109:24, 112:25, 113:3 upstream [1] - 41:3 urbanized [1] - 29:23 urge [7] - 36:23, 37:2, 40:25, 51:15, 83:7, 83:11, 95:3 urgent [1] - 55:9 urging [1] - 62:1 **US** [7] - 10:12, 46:24, 54:2. 62:10. 62:19. 62:20.64:12 usable [1] - 45:9 usage [1] - 42:25 **USECHAK** [1] - 39:5 **Usechak** [1] - 39:6 uses [2] - 19:6, 19:10 **USGS** [1] - 63:25 **USS** [3] - 102:4, 102:21, 103:8 Utica [1] - 38:10 utilize [1] - 64:13 utilized [1] - 77:3

27:23

#### V

V-o-n-L-i-n-d-e-r-n [1] - 81:9 vacation [1] - 97:11 variety [1] - 18:1 various [2] - 7:22, 76:20 vast [1] - 76:17 venues [1] - 113:19 verify [1] - 44:19 version [3] - 17:18, 17:19, 17:20 versus [2] - 4:11, 104:14 vessel [6] - 44:10, 53:15, 53:16, 53:17, 53:20, 108:24 vessel's [1] - 53:14 vessels [1] - 7:23

veto [8] - 20:13, 20:16, 53:25, 55:3, 55:5, 65:25, 110:11, 110:14 vetoed [6] - 19:4, 30:1, 39:15, 54:23, 66:9, 110:10 vetoes [1] - 66:10 via [1] - 15:16 viability [2] - 67:10, 67:21 viable [1] - 47:25 Vice [1] - 76:11 vicinity [1] - 14:21 viewing [1] - 6:6 violate [1] - 12:11 Virginia [1] - 53:7 Virtually [1] - 111:3 VOICE [1] - 32:20 voice [2] - 50:7, 75:24 Volatile [1] - 64:1 volume [4] - 24:14, 24:16, 37:23, 58:25 volumes [1] - 34:2 volunteer [3] - 56:3, 96:11, 96:18 volunteers [1] - 95:17 VonLinden [1] - 78:22 VonLINDERN [1] -81:8 vote [1] - 92:17 voted [1] - 75:18 voter [1] - 87:6 Voters [2] - 39:7, 74:6 voting [1] - 18:24 vulnerable [2] - 95:23

#### W

W-e-i-n-s-h-e-n-k-e-r [1] - 36:13 wait [1] - 73:25 waited [1] - 31:7 waiting [1] - 41:22 waive [2] - 17:8, 17:9 wake [2] - 72:25 Wall [1] - 87:7 WALSH [3] - 24:13, 24:18, 24:20 Walsh [5] - 21:19, 24:12, 24:20, 27:11, 89:7 wants [6] - 17:16, 25:12, 27:13, 31:14, 47:11, 94:1 warming [2] - 58:20, wars [2] - 42:20, 74:7

washing [1] - 20:3

Washington [3] -18:24, 28:1, 80:23 waste [4] - 38:14, 64:25, 65:3, 111:20 wasting [1] - 110:16 Watch [3] - 24:21, 36:14, 63:20 Watch's [1] - 36:23 watched [1] - 82:10 water [28] - 4:4, 9:7, 14:13, 23:12, 23:19, 26:1, 26:5, 26:16, 26:22, 30:4, 30:6, 38:15, 39:9, 42:13, 43:22, 45:12, 47:5, 47:7, 48:10, 56:23, 59:8, 59:12, 64:4, 67:3, 68:22, 75:7, 79:19, 84:4 Water [9] - 23:8, 24:21, 36:14, 36:22, 47:5, 59:2, 74:18, 88:4, 109:22 waters [1] - 94:9 Watershed [1] - 63:20 watersheds [1] -38:14 waterway [1] - 84:13 waterways [8] - 7:10, 41:17, 83:23, 83:24, 84:8, 84:9, 84:11, 84:18 wave [1] - 59:15 waves [3] - 40:15, 62:25, 96:14 ways [1] - 18:2 weather [3] - 47:19, 47:20, 62:25 Webber [1] - 90:20 WEBER [1] - 92:4 Weber [1] - 92:4 website [2] - 50:6, 93:3 week [6] - 31:7, 53:6, 55:2, 73:7, 86:14, 108:2 weekend [1] - 108:13 weeks [3] - 40:24, 53:2, 92:7 Weinshenker [1] -34:23 WEINSHENKER [1] -36:12 welcome [1] - 70:16 welfare [2] - 67:2, 67:3 78:15 wells [2] - 25:24, Winters [1] - 74:2 111:6 wise [1] - 85:13 **WENZEL** [1] - 107:9 wish [1] - 89:22 Wenzel [1] - 107:10 withdrawal [1] - 4:15

West [1] - 53:7

Westfield [1] - 68:3 wet [1] - 23:25 Wetland [1] - 89:9 Wetlands [3] - 64:23, 65:6, 66:11 whale [6] - 44:3, 68:14, 68:15, 68:16, 68:17, 80:15 whales [9] - 31:19, 43:25, 44:3, 44:4, 44:13, 65:18, 80:17 what-have-you [1] -114:2 wheel [1] - 100:3 Wheeling [1] - 70:13 whole [2] - 64:16, 67:17 wholesale [1] - 33:7 wide [3] - 53:8, 62:24, 98:25 widely [1] - 13:20 wife [3] - 35:1, 36:3, 99:12 wilderness [3] - 96:2, 96:5, 96:7 wildlife [1] - 14:14 Williams [1] - 46:8 willing [1] - 81:19 **willingly** [1] - 64:6 Willowbrook [1] -23:24 Wilson [5] - 105:21, 105:22, 105:23, 105:24, 106:3 WILSON [2] - 105:24, 106:3 Wind [3] - 58:3, 58:6, 59:2 wind [30] - 19:15, 33:13, 33:16, 35:21, 37:4, 40:3, 49:10, 52:9, 52:14, 54:9, 58:20, 59:8, 59:11, 59:12, 59:24, 60:1, 69:18, 69:25, 77:20, 77:22, 77:24, 77:25, 78:2, 78:4, 78:5, 78:8, 78:11, 82:25, 112:7 windmills [1] - 22:23 window [1] - 63:11 winter [1] - 60:14 Winter [2] - 76:8, 76:9 WINTER [2] - 76:9,

witnessed [1] - 33:10

woe [1] - 110:2 woefully [1] - 103:6 Women [2] - 39:7, 74:6 wonderful [2] - 74:20, 100:2 wondering [2] - 35:14, 58:10 wood [1] - 65:11 word [1] - 66:19 words [4] - 28:23, 28:24, 68:4, 80:25 worker [2] - 46:4, 97:15 workers [3] - 58:7, 89:15 workers' [1] - 36:4 works [1] - 94:21 world [12] - 28:21, 42:17, 60:21, 60:24, 73:6, 81:17, 83:3, 90:14, 90:15, 100:23, 101:2 World [1] - 62:21 worldwide [1] - 95:1 worms [1] - 64:17 worries [1] - 52:7 worry [1] - 68:13 worse [2] - 103:9, 110:19 worsen [1] - 62:12 worst [1] - 35:9 written [9] - 5:13, 5:21, 14:2, 14:5, 17:11, 17:18, 17:19, 17:20, 106:7 Written [1] - 5:24

# Υ

Y-o-u-s-e-y [1] - 111:1 year [10] - 20:2, 45:8, 51:1, 52:21, 57:3, 63:5, 73:4, 79:11, 79:13, 109:2 years [34] - 33:15, 36:19, 46:23, 47:21, 47:23, 47:25, 58:16, 58:17, 62:19, 62:23, 64:4, 64:24, 67:20, 78:24, 79:16, 79:17, 82:19, 83:20, 85:7, 90:7, 93:20, 93:22, 93:23, 96:4, 100:1, 101:25, 102:3, 104:13, 105:5, 108:16, 108:20, 111:3, 113:13 yellowtail [1] - 79:22

yens [1] - 50:24 yesterday [6] - 5:16, 23:7, 53:8, 72:8, 98:13, 98:21 York [45] - 7:4, 12:5, 13:20, 14:2, 16:9, 21:7, 29:22, 35:1, 36:18, 38:10, 39:13, 40:6, 40:19, 41:17, 44:2, 44:5, 52:19, 53:4, 54:7, 56:1, 56:2, 58:2, 59:1, 59:7, 59:16, 59:20, 59:21, 64:9, 72:5, 73:19, 80:13, 81:3, 81:12, 81:13, 82:9, 83:17, 83:21, 84:3, 92:5, 94:10, 102:18, 105:8, 106:4, 106:24 York's [3] - 58:5, 79:12, 94:7 York/New [2] - 15:22, young [2] - 50:3, 51:11 yourselves [1] - 48:19 Yousey [2] - 109:19, 111:1 YOUSEY [2] - 111:1, 112:14

#### Ζ

zero [4] - 28:13, 28:14, 28:15, 59:19 **ZIPF** [2] - 27:15, 27:21 **Zipf** [7] - 21:20, 27:12, 27:21, 74:8, 85:1, 89:7 **zone** [6] - 4:5, 11:21, 40:8, 80:10, 91:3, 94:9 zones [3] - 7:12, 56:8, 56:10

# ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION, BOROUGH OF RED BANK COUNTY OF MONMOUTH

# RESOLUTION OPPOSING OFFSHORE LIQUIFIED NATURAL GAS PORT FACILITIES IN THE NEW JERSEY/NEW YORK CLEAN OCEAN ZONE

Ms. Dardi offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

WHEREAS, the New Jersey/New York Clean Ocean Zone (COZ) is defined as the water from Montauk Point, NY, to Cape May, NJ, offshore to the outer edge of the Continental Shelf (out to 100 miles) and consists of over 19,000 square miles of Atlantic Ocean; and

WHEREAS, the physical, hydrodynamic and biological characteristics of the COZ are unique in the world as more than 300 species of fish, nearly 350 species of birds, seven species of sea turtles, many marine mammals such as 25 species of whales and dolphins and several species of seals and porpoises frequent this region and nine endangered species four of which are whales, can be found in these ocean waters and the COZ also serves as an essential migratory pathway for many of these species; and

WHEREAS, the ecological richness and economic viability of this region depends on a clean marine environment; and

WHEREAS, the COZ is the target location for industrial liquefied natural gas (LNG) port facilities, and

WHEREAS, New York and Connecticut rejected a similar LNG proposal in Long Island Sound, and a proposed LNG facility was also vetoed in California due to significant impacts to marine life and air quality, and

WHEREAS, LNG facilities will devastate important fish habitats, impact endangered, threatened and protected species, damage sea floor habitats and destroy vast quantities of marine life by refilling huge emptied tankers with billions of gallons of seawater to replace LNG cargos which would expose the area to stronger and more frequent hurricanes, nor'easters and wind and wave risks; and

WHEREAS, LNG is an unsafe and risky business where the proposed facilities will straddle shipping lanes in the NY/NJ harbor region, the busiest port on the east coast and the third busiest in the US, will dramatically affect shipping traffic patterns when tankers approach the facility, alter ship traffic and navigation in the busy region and having LNG tankers leaving and entering shipping lanes amidst port traffic will raise the risk of accidents with all vessels such as cargo and oil tankers; and

WHEREAS, LNG is a polluting, climate-changing fossil fuel and the additional lifecycle stages of liquefaction, transportation and gasification emits harmful greenhouse gases (GHG) and LNG produces 20 to 40 percent more greenhouse gases that domestic natural gas; and

WHEREAS, we do not need LNG ports in this region and currently 97 percent of the natural gas used in the United States is from North America, with most of that from the US and existing LNG ports are significantly under-utilized and can more than meet our region's needs; and

WHEREAS, LNG is not domestic and over two-thirds of the world's natural gas reserves are in Russia and the Middle East and proposed sources for NY and NJ include countries like Russia and offshore drilling in Trinidad where environmental laws and controls are often less stringent in these regions and these LNG schemes will increase the burning of polluting GHG emitting fossil fuels and increase our use and dependence on unstable foreign energy sources; and

WHEREAS, the ever-increasing amounts of GHG from burning fossil fuels is changing the chemistry of the sea by increasing its acidity and thereby diminishing the ocean's ability to serve the free and vital role as the earth's largest and most effective absorber of major GHG emissions, as well as making the sea itself harmful to marine life; and

WHEREAS, LNG projects will permanently exclude the public from the ocean with vast areas of the ocean being off limits to the public including boaters and fishing vessels; and

WHEREAS, Liberty Natural Gas LLC's Port Ambrose LNG facility has been proposed and identified for the region; and

WHEREAS, solutions are available and we need to support energy efficiency, conservation and renewable energy technologies and projects which are readily available such as educating the public that eight to twelve medium-sized power plants worth of energy could be saved if NJ citizens were energy efficient and by focusing efforts on efficiency, conservation measures and renewable technologies the region can create a win-win situation, decreasing GHG while working toward long term job creation with green technologies;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Environmental Commission of the Borough of Red Bank of the County of Monmouth hereby opposes the building of Liquefied Natural Gas facilities off the New York/New Jersey coast.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of this Resolution will be forwarded to the Mayor and Council of Red Bank, as well as to the Governors of the states of New Jersey and New York and to Clean Ocean Action.

Dated: July 9, 2013

Bruce Siegell - Aye Boris Kofman - Aye Laura Dardi - Aye Michael Paul Raspanti - Aye Laura Bagwell - Aye

# Statement of Rep. Frank Pallone, Jr. Liberty Natural Gas Scoping Hearing July 10, 2013

I apologize I could not be here with you this evening, but I am in Washington, DC because Congress is voting this evening. I am opposed to the proposed Liberty Natural Gas offshore liquefied natural gas project planned for 24 miles off the coast of Long Branch, New Jersey. This project is strikingly similar to one that was vetoed by Governor Christie in 2011.

Throughout my tenure as an elected official, I have opposed industrial uses of the ocean off the coast of our state. I have fought against offshore oil and gas drilling, as well as worked successfully to end ocean dumping.

Instead of focusing on industrial uses of the ocean, our country and the state of New Jersey should be encouraging renewable energy resources. Any energy development in the Atlantic should be from renewable sources and not polluting fossil fuels. The Obama Administration is prioritizing offshore wind energy development in areas off the Atlantic coast, including New Jersey. This is exactly the type of clean energy America should be investing in that will reduce our dependence on foreign oil and gas and create jobs.

I understand that the LNG project will create jobs during the construction phase, but we must also think of the jobs that would be lost if an LNG spill occurred off our coast. The Jersey Shore is the primary driver of a tourism economy that

sustains nearly 500,000 jobs and generates approximately \$50 billion in economic activity for the state each year. In the late 1980s when beaches were closed due to contaminated material washing ashore, many jobs were lost in the local tourism industry. When the Deepwater Horizon spill occurred in the Gulf of Mexico, local economies felt it the hardest when tourism fell off drastically and commercial fishermen were barred from fishing in large swaths of the gulf. We cannot allow this to happen off our coast.

I am surprised that this proposed project is moving forward given Governor Christie's public opposition to LNG facilities off the coast of New Jersey and prior veto of a similar project in 2011. Under the Deepwater Port Act Governor Christie, as the governor of an adjacent coastal state, has the absolute power to veto the Liberty Natural Gas project.

New Jersey should be taking a national lead on promoting a forward-looking energy policy that moves towards increased use of renewable energy sources that create jobs here at home and away from importing or exporting polluting fossil fuels from other countries. I will continue to work with my colleagues in Congress to incentivize these types of clean energy sources. Thank you.